

Technical Division. Dr. Judy Wood received her B.S. (Civil Engineering. 1981) (Structural Engineering). M.S. (Engineering Mechanics (Applied Physics). 1983), and Ph.D. (Materials Engineering Science. 1992) from the Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in Blacksburg. Virginia. Her dissertation involved the development of an experimental method to measure thermal stresses in bimaterial joints.'1'

Dr. Judy Wood has taught courses including: Experimental Stress Analysis, Engineering Mechanics. Mechanics of Materials (Strength of Materials), Strength of Materials Testing

From 1999 to 2006 Dr. Wood was an assistant professor in the Mechanical Engineering Department at Clemson University in Clemson. South Carolina.

Before moving to Clemson she spent three years as a postdoctoral research associate in the Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics at Virginia Tech.

One of Dr. Wood's research interests is biomimicry. or applying the mechanical structures of biological materials to engineering design using engineering materials. Other recent research has investigated the deformation behavior of materials and structures with complex geometries and complex material properties, such as fiber-reinforced composite materials and biological materials. Dr. Wood is an expert in the use of moire interferometry, a full-field optical method that is used in stress analysis, as well as materials characterization and other types of interference. In recent years, Dr. Wood and her students have developed optical systems with various wavelengths and waveguides. Dr. Wood has over 60 technical publications in refereed journals, conference proceedings, and edited monographs and special technical reports.

Dr. Judy Wood started to question the events of 9/11 on that same day when what she saw and heard on television was contradictory and appeared to violate the laws of physics. Since that day she has used her knowledge of engineering mechanics to prove that the collapse of the World Trade Center twin towers could not...

“RE-INCARNATED” WTC NUKE THEORY AND DIMITRI KHALEZOV

May 19th 2010

Recently, much exposure has been given to Dimitri Khalezov and his detailed presentation about the supposed “nuclear demolition” of the WTC buildings on 9/11. A number of websites seem to be linked to this: <http://www.911thology.com/>, <http://www.911thology.cn/> and <http://www.nuclear-demolition.com/>.

Dmitri also has a YouTube channel “911thology” which was created on 07 Jan 2009. This was how Dimitri contacted me – through YouTube – inviting me to watch his video series (why did he contact me specifically? Though I have an interest in the destruction of the WTC, I am not connected to the military, nor do I have any official standing. Myself I rarely spend much time searching for other YouTube users who have similar interests or videos on their channel – I simply don't have the time!)

Dmitri has also contacted a couple of other people I know who are studying 9/11 and he had also been posting on the GLP forum⁴⁶. He seems to keep himself quite busy and has achieved significant exposure for his flawed conclusions which cannot explain the evidence. We exchanged YouTube messages between 28 Mar 2010 and 05 Apr 2010.

In the videos (apparently now made private on his channel), Dimitri comes across very well and seems very sincere and polite. Sadly, he cannot explain the available evidence concerning what actually happened at the WTC on 9/11. Dimitri Khalezov claims that the nuclear device was positioned 77 metres below ground. He spends quite some time explaining why the normal nuclear effects, which I and others have pointed out, were not seen or not obvious. One problem is that, Dimitri does not explain how or when the nuke was planted and he cannot explain why the WTC bathtub was undamaged (except with very precise positioning of the nuke (how, exactly?))

Once again, people I know seem to have “fallen” for this new posting as if it is some kind of super-duper new explanation – when in reality it is not. Please see below for

- 1) My notes on his youtube video sequence (which now seems to have been deleted)
- 2) My correspondence, via YouTube messaging. Please note where he says he cannot explain the evidence.

Rough Notes on YouTube presentation

I haven't had the time to type all this up and I only got to Part 11 out of 26. However, here are my rough notes...

He states he has some kind of link with Al Qaida terrorists – so presumably he is in hiding?

Part 3	3:40	Edna Cintron – He doesn't explain what caused the fire and why Edna citron was there and not burned
		Granite Missile for pentagon 7 ton missile 2.5 mach – thermonuclear warhead! The US didn't detect a nuclear missile??
Part 4	2:00	Demolition feature – built in nukes??? (1984) AN IDEA or an implementation
	3:30 ish	Controlled Demolition Inc thought up the idea in the 60's?
	4:30 ish	“In the 60's nuclear bombs weren't as bad” – umm Cuban Missile Crisis??
		Plan accepted – built in feature “from the beginning”
	6:00	Nuclear demolition treaty not secret early on

Added to all this, the research of Dr Judy Wood documents some effects which would already be familiar to some Coast to Coast listeners – being as they are the result of something very similar to “The Hutchison Effect” – which was discovered in experiments by 4-times-Coast-to-Coast-guest John Hutchison (2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005)³⁵.

It seems very strange that no one involved with Coast to Coast has picked up these connections and/or wants to discuss them. So, I have to ask why they repeatedly have on guests who have (a) just called for new investigation rather than done one, (b) suppress information about the “new and independent investigation” that has resulted in a federal case, and (c) failed to submit the scientific evidence they have supposedly gathered into a legal framework. It should be noted that it is a crime to defraud the government and it is treason if done so by a government agent (Smith-Mundt Act). Perhaps this explains why no one has filed a federal case about this so-called “thermite evidence.” If this group truly wanted the truth to be heard, they would have vigorously supported the federal qui tam case filed by Dr. Wood that made it all the way to the United States Supreme Court.

On Feb 02 2010, an appearance was made by Richard Hoagland regarding the mysterious “Norway Spiral” and he discussed evidence he had posted in a series of 3 articles. The third of which is:

<http://www.enterprisemission.com/Norway-Message3.htm>

However, Richard Hoagland did not mention on air that he had referenced Dr Wood’s research on this page, in relation to Directed Energy Weapons.

So, I would like to ask if Coast to Coast, as the largest late night talk show in the world, has been asked notto have Dr Judy Wood as a guest? I ask this because you have expressed an interest, then cancelled, then had on guests to discuss topics who, it can be shown, are not pursuing matters in a way which is consistent with the impression they are presenting to Coast to Coast listeners and to the public in general.

As she has done for former Coast to Coast hosts, Rolleye James³⁶, Richard Syrett³⁷, as well as other talk show hosts such as Ambrose I Lane Snr,³⁸ Kevin Smith, Frank Whalen, Gianni Hayes, Scott Stevens, Dr Rebecca Carley³⁹, Ralph Winterrowd⁴⁰, and others, Dr Wood (and I) stand ready to present the evidence to you at any mutually convenient opportunity. We think it is important that your audience is given an accurate picture of what appears to be happening in relation to research and action being taken in relation to 9/11 and the free energy cover up – and why it is so very important for people to understand the nature and implications of this evidence. We would also be very pleased for John Hutchison to join the discussion, as he has on several other radio shows.


We very much look forward to your response!

Yours,


Andrew Johnson

Linda Moulton Howe and Richard Hoagland


Additional e-mails were sent to Coast to Coast regular Linda Moulton Howe⁴¹, though she never responded. Also, as shown above, in February 2010, Richard Hoagland (another regular contributor – who is described as “the science advisor” to Coast to Coast) linked Dr Judy Wood’s page about DEW to his Website⁴². However, he did not mention the Hutchison Effect nor the Hurricane Erin studies – neither did he contact Dr Judy Wood to ask her about her research.



Here, Dimitri describes the sequence of events during the first few milliseconds of the nuke explosion and how the rock around the exploding nuke becomes crushed or damaged. He posits that the damaged zone extended “up into the tower” to a height of 300 metres:



He claims that this caused the building to turn to dust (as shown in the above diagram). However, it is important to realize that this contradicts what he said in part 9, as shown below:



Here, in part 9 of his video series⁴⁹, he says that a kind of “nuclear furnace” was created and the building kind of “melted down” into it (this is my own interpretation of what he shows in the

AN OPEN LETTER TO THE POPULAR US TALK SHOW "COAST TO COAST"

10 Mar 2010

"Coast to Coast" is a popular "alternative" US late-night radio Talk Show which covers many "fringe" topics - including things like 9/11 research and JFK assassination research. It is syndicated through 500 affiliates and has a large audience - sometimes up to 10 million people. Following their hosting of guests such as Richard Gage and Jim Fetzer, I had to write to them, following the producer contacting me about possibly being a guest on the programme. However, with a complete lack of response to the messages shown below, Dr Wood and I wondered if Coast to Coast is for entertainment only, and we wondered if it does not care about important truths.

From: Andrew Johnson [mailto:ad.johnson@ntlworld.com]

Sent: 10 March 2010 22:29

To: 'CoastProducer@aol.com.'; 'george@coasttocoastam.com'

Subject: 9/11 Research of Dr Judy Wood - An Open Letter to "Coast to Coast"

An Open Letter to Coast to Coast

Do You Censor Guests who have Scientific Evidence?

Do You Censor Guests who have Submitted a Federal Qui Tam Case with Scientific Evidence?

Is Coast to Coast for Entertainment only, and does it not care about important truths?

Dear George Noory and Lisa Lyon,

In April 2008, a friend of mine spoke to George Noory when he was attending the X-Conference in Washington DC. He advised George Noory of the nature of the research of Dr Judy Wood and how it ties 9/11 into the "free energy" picture that is often discussed by guests on Coast to Coast. At that time, George expressed a general interest in having Dr Wood as a guest, but no follow up contact was made.

On 31 Aug 2009, I was contacted, through my website, <http://www.checktheevidence.com/> by Lisa Lyon to be a possible guest on Coast to Coast. On 1st Sept I spoke to her on the phone for about 40 minutes with regard to the main and most important research I was involved with - which was that of Dr Judy Wood. I asked Dr Wood to contact Lisa Lyon with a view to Dr Wood going on as a guest on Coast to Coast. Dr Judy Wood contacted Lisa Lyon on Sept 1st 2009 and an appearance date of 7th Sept 2009 was agreed.

However, within a few hours, Dr Wood's appearance was cancelled due to difficulties or a "conflict with scheduling", but a statement was made that an effort would be made to "find another time". We received no contact.

On 10th or 11th February 2010, Coast to Coast posted a story about "new" 911 photos being released - as reported by ABC^{28, 29}.

This story was inaccurate, as many if not all the photos had previously been posted, for a period of at least 3 years, on <http://www.drjudywood.com/>.

It therefore seemed an opportune time for me to remind Coast to Coast of their earlier statement about finding a suitable time for Dr Wood to appear as a guest. I therefore sent the e-mail below to Lisa Lyon.

From: Andrew Johnson [mailto: ad.johnson@ntlworld.com]

Re:invitation

Hello,

Thanks for your message and invitation. I may try to watch the video, but I am intrigued to know if it addresses these basic points of evidence, discussed here, in this e-mail exchange:

http://www.checktheevidence.com/cms/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=167&Itemid=60

Also, do you have any connection or have you communicated at all with Ed Ward MD.

In relation to the WTC destruction, 40+ points of explanation need to be addressed:

<http://www.drjudywood.com/wtc/#AA2>

Finally, what legal or similar challenges will you or your associates be making or initiating based on what you know?

Thanks!

Andrew

9:59

911 - The Key Evidence - 1/2

[There is 1 mistake in one of the captions in this video - it is stated that Erin was a Category 5 Hurricane - this is incorrect. It was a category 3, but by some measures, Erin was as big as Hurricane Katrina.] =====

911thology

Re: Re:invitation

Dear Andrew.

To answer your questions. Yes, I read attentively your mail exchange and yes, I could assure you that you will not feel sorry for spending your precious time to watch the discussed movie. Don't forget that those whom you call 'nukers' are merely conspiracy theorists that are not much different from 'thermitters' or 'nano-thermitters'. They simply guess without actually knowing anything. Here you have totally different approach. You deal with a former officer of the Soviet nuclear intelligence who is firstly a specialist (at least to a certain extent) in actual underground nuclear explosions, who could easily explain to you all their properties, secondly - who is an eye-witness who can confirm (even could confirm under oath in front of a court of law) that in-built nuclear demolition scheme of the WTC and that of the Sears Tower existed long before it was implemented on 9/11, thirdly - who could satisfactorily explain mechanics of the Tower's collapses (including that of the WTC-7 and Marriott Hotel and even that of the Fiterman Hall), and who could satisfactorily explain mechanics of WTC steel beams pulverization (so far no mortal in This World could do so satisfactorily, in my humble opinion). See the difference?

Regarding the next part of your suggestion - about following guidelines described in 'AA2. Some of the principal data that must be explained:'. I agree with some points of that 'must' and I do explain it in the proposed movie in the most satisfactorily manner. However, being a specialist (really a specialist) I can't agree with all points that are listed in the above 'must' list, so, instead of confirming them, I preferred to disprove them - by not leaving a stone standing of some of those points. But in no case they are ignored. They are either confirmed, or disproved. I am a 'black-and-white' kind of man, I don't like to leave any 'grey' areas unaddressed.

Regarding the last part of your question - what legal challenges could I bring? It is difficult to answer. Being a non-American (I am a Russian) I do not have any legal right to demand any justice on behalf of others, perhaps only on behalf of myself. And when it come to me personally, yes, I am planning to sue the US Government one day for their attempt to link me to the 9/11 perpetrators and to the 2002 Bali bombers (since they accused me personally in 2003 of supplying fake passports to non-existent 9/11 hijackers and to several top figures of Al Qaeda

Perhaps Richard Dolan has been threatened that he should not talk about this evidence. If asked whether he had been threatened, he may well deny it. From listening to the actual broadcast, I very much doubt he has been threatened.

Perhaps Richard Dolan has been offered or paid money to not talk about this evidence. Again, if asked whether he had, he may well deny being offered money. I doubt he has been offered or paid money, however.

Speculation and Unpopularity

So, is there a fourth possibility? Could this possibility apply to other people who cannot seem to understand that thermite does not turn steel to dust - rather, it melts steel (or other metal) and burns paper. Could this possibility apply to other people who cannot accept there was plenty of aluminium and iron oxide already in the WTC's structure?

Could this possibility even be applied to Steven E Jones, Richard Gage, Kevin Barrett and a number of others? Are these people being *remotely influenced*? Note: I have *no evidence* to suggest this is what is actually happening, other than observing what people have said (i.e. suggesting thermite turns things to dust is patently ridiculous) and then comparing that to their apparent intelligence and their other behaviour. This tends to make me rule out the first 3 possibilities. Is there some type of "ongoing" MK-Ultra-type programme - which can subtly influence people's *specific* thought processes, behaviour or actions? Perhaps, in a given person certain *strands* of behaviour or thought can be influenced or dictated - i.e. everything else about the person is normal, but they get simple, basic facts wrong - and when presented with contra-evidence, they cannot "process it". There is some "blockage" put in place.

I know this is pretty "way out there" - and also one has to ask what makes some people immune from such remote influence? Perhaps there is even a gene which makes people susceptible to remote influence - just like there is a gene which prevents or allows people from tasting a synthetic compound called PTC²⁷.

Please, Don't Play With Thermite

Whether my speculation about "remote influence" is correct, or wildly wrong, I think it seems worth suggesting that, based on the available evidence, people should not "play with thermite" - they may end up getting burned... either physically or intellectually...

E-mail

From: Andrew Johnson

To: keyhole@rochester.rr.com

Sent: Friday, March 06, 2009 1:05 PM

Subject: Bravo on the recent Paracast Broadcast!!

Richard,

I thought your recent Paracast broadcast/podcast was great. Very much in agreement with you on most issues.

I am also concerned about the Exopolitics scene - too many self-appointed figures running with insufficient information. However, more worryingly, certain Exopolitics figures are muddling up (knowingly in my opinion) 9/11 Evidence. A summary article I wrote is below.

The events of 9/11 are closely related to the ET cover up and my basic view now is this: the Disclosure issue is being used to hide the identity of the group or groups that are in control of planet earth - and they "did 9/11". They have the tech. which turned the towers to dust - and almost no one is looking at this issue - e.g. Salla, Bassett and others ignore it. Webre has helped muddle it up in quite a bizarre way (see below). Others such as Jim Fetzer have lent a hand (see other articles on my website <http://www.checktheevidence.com/>)

AE911 "TRUTH" AND OTHER SITES AGAIN CENSOR THE EVIDENCE

04 Apr 2010

AE911 - Silently Deletes A Petition Signer

Architects and Engineers for 911 Truth (AE911) is a group which claims to be interested in finding the truth about what happened on 9/11⁵⁰. A short while ago, I was contacted by a Medical Student named Abraham Hafeez Rodriguez who found out his name had been *deleted* from the AE911 truth supporters' petition following his efforts to raise awareness of Dr Judy Wood's research. Abe had been a donor to the group as he supported their (apparent) efforts to uncover what had happened on 9/11.

Abraham explained that his name was removed from the petition following his sending Richard Gage a private email, which contained a question about Dr. Wood. He asked Richard Gage if he had ever heard of her or her research, and if he would be willing to contact her to collaborate and help support her legal cases. He never heard back from Richard Gage

Abe began posting material and comments in relation to Dr Judy Wood's research and also information about Dr Steven E Jones connections into Los Alamos National Labs. He had posted information on a number of forums (including the UK 9/11 "truth" forum⁵¹, which I helped set up in 2005). After a few days, he discovered his name had been deleted from the AE911 petition.

Abe explained that it was strange that they could later contact him to offer him a refund, but they couldn't reply to an email that was intended to help Richard Gage and AE911Truth.

From: Mark Graham [mailto:mgraham@ae911truth.org]

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2010 8:45 PM

To: Abraham@Mindoutpsyde.com

Subject: Abraham, a message from Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth

Abraham,

I am writing to you on behalf of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth to explain why we removed your name from our list of petition signers and to offer you a full refund of your generous donations.

We decided to remove you from our list of petition signers because, whether you knew it or not, we have chosen to carefully limit the scope of our message to the collapse of the World Trade Center and the need for a new investigation that would specifically consider the use of explosives in bringing it down. Our message is displayed on our website in the petition and mission statement. The evidence supporting our message is also found on our website, which I am sure you have seen since you signed our petition.

www.ae911truth.org

Your suggestion about contacting Judy Wood and engaging in a discussion with her about her theories about directed energy weapons and other things is a suggestion for action that is outside the scope of our message. We would lose more than we would gain. If nothing else we would lose the time required to make such a contact and engage in a discussion / debate whose duration would be unknown. We are also well aware of Judy Wood and her theories. The reason we don't support her or her theories is that they are outside the scope of our message.

There is a lot of evidence besides the characteristics of controlled demolition seen in the collapse of the Twin Towers and Building 7 that strongly suggests or proves that the official story of 9/11 is

thermite. Now, regular thermite is an incredibly effective explosive – and you don't just find this stuff lying around.

[Karyn: It would never be used in construction? And they wouldn't use it for welding or anything like that?]

No, because it's used to blow things up.... Thermite, when it's heated to a certain temperature causes explosions and that's why it's valuable – it's a great explosive. The type of thermite that we're talking about is only available in the military... it's thermate ... and it's nano-engineered...in other words it's incredibly highly um... integrated with itself....

I do not think it is a good use of time to point out all the serious problems with this brief segment. However, I think almost everyone would agree that aluminium dust and iron oxide dust should have been found in what remained of the building – as those substances were present in the building in large quantities (see above). Also, the last 10 words are a pretty good guide to the general “bogosity” of this segment.

In an overly judgemental fashion, at 37:38 Dolan said:

Any reasonable mind looking at this is going to think “this is a smoking gun”.

At this point, you should, of course, stop reading this article – because clearly I don't have a “reasonable” mind. I used to have a reasonable mind – because I used to think that the thermite story was valid and that the main proponent of the story was telling the truth. However, further study of the evidence has clearly made my mind “unreasonable”, because I found out that this so-called “smoking gun” was a fake – planted to distract “reasonable minds” from looking at other more powerful, more substantive and corroborative and far more significant and compelling evidence. This evidence, it seems, also ties in - at some level - to Dolan's own field of research – in relation to Black Projects and Black technology.

Finding the Truth

Near the end of the broadcast, Richard Dolan stated the importance of finding the truth about 9/11 - at 73:05 he said:

9/11 was a day that affected every single person living on planet earth. We owe it to ourselves, we owe it to future generations to find the truth of what happened – because that day is the point on which all other subsequent points we have lived through hinge upon. If we don't have an open full discussion of that critical day, it's like a sore that will not go away... ..we've got to get to the truth.

If you have read this far, then you will perhaps empathise with me when I report how hollow those words sounded to me. As I have said before, finding the truth is not possible when so much evidence is ignored (see below).

It was an “Exclusive” Broadcast!

It would take too long for me to list all of the evidence that Richard Dolan excluded from his broadcast, but here are the key items:

- Contra-evidence to thermite and molten metal
- Any critique of the “Active Thermite” nonsense paper
- Connection of Steven E Jones to Cold Fusion
- Dr Judy Wood's RFC to NIST
- Directed Energy Weapon effects in WTC evidence
- Links between NIST's contractors and Directed Energy Weapons companies (i.e. the Military Industrial Complex, which Dolan has written about in his books).
- Hutchison Effect and WTC Evidence
- Hurricane Erin Study
- Court Cases of Wood/Reynolds
- Contra-evidence for plane crashes at the WTC

http://photos-d.ak.fbcdn.net/hphotos-ak-snc3/hs483.snc3/26465_819259076229_14802233_46160188_7960697_n.jpg

I am extremely upset.

-Abe

Abraham Hafiz Rodriguez

M1 Medical Student

B.S. Biology / Neurobiology

Forums Censor or Ban Discussion of Evidence

We later received this from Abraham

Dr. Wood and Mr. Johnson,

I have compiled a list of the places I have been banned / censored at, and this list is in text form and image form. This would make a great article if Andrew has time (I know you are super busy), because it would give more documentation and strength to the censorship surrounding Dr. Wood. Here is the text form, and the image form is attached:

Forums / Discussion Boards I (Abraham Hafiz Rodriguez) Have Been Banned or Censored At

1. <http://www.Wikipedia.com> (account blocked)
2. <http://www.911oz.com> (censored, only can post in small subsection of forum)
3. <http://www.911forum.org/uk> (censored, only can post in small subsection of forum)
4. <http://www.forums.randi.org> (banned)
5. <http://s1.zetaboards.com/LooseChangeForums/forum> (banned)
6. <http://pilotsfor911truth.org/forum/> (banned)
7. <http://ae911truth.org> (was silently removed from their petition)
8. <http://forum.prisonplanet.com/> (banned)
9. <http://www.thescienceforum.com> (banned)
10. <http://tpuc.org/forum> (banned)
11. <http://waronyou.com/forums> (banned)

...and more. These are just the ones which I could both remember and gather proof of. Click link below to download collection of screen snap shots.

<http://www.checktheevidence.co.uk/cms/images/stories/BannedList/911BannedList.jpg>

Abraham experienced similar results when he tried to post on the “Above Top Secret” Forum⁵². He has also run into similar problems when participating in Facebook groups.

with – namely that of Dr Judy Wood^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} – as readers of this article and website may already be familiar. Unfortunately, I did not have a long period to talk with Richard Dolan about these issues, as I had to return home to collect my children from school. I did have time to mention the presence of Hurricane Erin^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} and in particular, the Alaskan Magnetometer readings^{Error! Bookmark not defined.} which Dr Wood had uncovered. (At the time of meeting him, I had forgotten I had already sent him brief details by e-mail.) I gave Richard several A4 sheets about these things, which I had purposely printed out and brought with me. Also, I knew I would be seeing him on the following day, for the Conference itself, and I then intended to give him further information.

On the 27th June 2009, as the first speaker of the day, I delivered a presentation about Wilbert Smith¹⁰. Speaking to Richard Dolan later, I was, I have to say, flattered when he complemented me on this presentation (though I can't take much credit for it, because all of the data it was compiled from was sent to me by Grant Cameron¹¹).

During one or more of the conference intervals, I spoke to Richard about the “mysterious” behaviour of Alfred Webre - with regard to Dr Judy Wood's research into the connection between the WTC Destruction, Directed Energy Weapons, the Hutchison Effect and Hurricane Erin^{Error! Bookmark not defined.}. I gave Richard a copy of a booklet I had printed out “9/11 Evidence and Analysis Volume IV”¹². I discussed with him, in brief, the contents of this booklet. I am fairly certain I gave him a set of 5 DVDs – including Dr Judy Wood's Madison Presentation¹³, September Clues^{Error! Bookmark not defined.}, 9/11 and the Hutchison Effect^{Error! Bookmark not defined.}, 9/11 and Hurricane Erin¹⁴, and 9/11 Finding the Truth¹⁵). I at least gave him a copy of the “9/11-Finding the Truth” DVD, because that includes a summary of most of the other information.

When the conference was over, I drove Richard and another friend of mine to Wagamama's restaurant in Leeds, but (for no particular reason) I did not sit with him there. However, when we left, I placed in his hands an envelope containing the above materials.

I left with the impression that Richard was a polite, charming and affable person, with a good sense of humour. As we are fairly similar in age, and have children of a similar age, I also felt I had a few things in common with him.

I was therefore very hopeful that Richard would have found what I told him sufficiently interesting to explore the material that (at my own expense) I gave to him. I hoped that he would be able to use his existing knowledge, background and the research he had done to put the information I had given him into a meaningful context.

Following the conference, I e-mailed Richard a few photographs I had taken on the Friday and Saturday¹⁶.

In hindsight, perhaps I was being too optimistic in expecting him to respond to either the photos or the information I had supplied to him.

It was only a few days ago that I discovered the likely reason for Richard Dolan's lack of response – it seemed to be “explained” in a broadcast he made in September 2009.

Richard Dolan's Anniversary Broadcast

On Sept 11th 2009, Richard Dolan, along with his wife Karyn, made a podcast/broadcast on the Paranormal Radio Network discussing the events of Sept 11th 2001¹⁷. This broadcast only recently came to my attention when it came up in a telephone discussion I was having with a fellow researcher - so I downloaded it and listened with interest. I re-processed the audio to get rid of some of the buzzing and I tried to boost some of the volume levels, although there are small portions/words which are a little difficult to make out¹⁸.

Richard Dolan by describing how he began to realise the official story of the 9/11 attacks was bogus. For example, at 5:32 in the broadcast, he said

I purchased a copy of David Ray Griffin's first book on 9/11 called the New Pearl Harbour.

UK

P.S. This letter is posted on my website⁵⁸, and any response you may choose to give will also be posted there - probably in the same section as the response of the BBC's Mike Rudin - who also wanted to censor the 9/11 Qui Tam Case, it seems.^{Error! Bookmark not defined.}

¹ [http://keyholepublishing.com/About Richard Dolan.html](http://keyholepublishing.com/About%20Richard%20Dolan.html)

² [http://keyholepublishing.com/UFO Secrecy and the Death of the American Republic - Richard.htm](http://keyholepublishing.com/UFO%20Secrecy%20and%20the%20Death%20of%20the%20American%20Republic%20-%20Richard%20Dolan.html)

³ <http://www.theparacast.com/podcast/february-22-2009-richard-m-dolan/>

⁵ http://exopolitics-leeds.co.uk/first_leeds_exopolitics_expo_a_big_success

⁶ <http://www.anthonbybeckett.com/>

⁷ <http://www.lloydpye.com/>

⁸ <http://www.starchildproject.com/>

⁹ <http://www.flickr.com/photos/60419646@N00/3670772029>

¹⁰ <http://www.checktheevidence.com/WBSmith/>

¹¹ <http://www.presidentialufo.com/>

¹² [http://www.checktheevidence.co.uk/pdf/911 Evidence and Analysis Volume 4.pdf](http://www.checktheevidence.co.uk/pdf/911%20Evidence%20and%20Analysis%20Volume%204.pdf)

¹³ http://www.drjudywood.com/videos/Hiroshima_videos.html

¹⁴ <http://www.drjudywood.com/videos/videoj2008.html>

¹⁵ http://www.checktheevidence.co.uk/cms/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=192&Itemid=60

¹⁶ <http://www.flickr.com/photos/60419646@N00/sets/72157620574888189/>

¹⁷ <http://paranormalradionetwork.org/2009/09/11/through-the-keyhole-guest-richard--karyn-dolan-discuss-911.aspx>

¹⁸ [http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/Paranormal Radio - Richard and Karen Dolan on 9-11 - 11 Sept 2009.mp3](http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/Paranormal%20Radio%20-%20Richard%20and%20Karen%20Dolan%20on%209-11%20-%2011%20Sept%202009.mp3)

¹⁹ <http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/911/index.php?dir=DRG/>

²⁰ <http://www.scribd.com/doc/4092363/David-Ray-Griffin-The-New-Pearl-Harbor-911-Terrorism-America-WTC-Bush-Neocons-Fascism-Iraq>

²¹ <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/author/richard-falk>

²² <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GRQilbGOSA>

²³ <http://www.drjudywood.com/articles/dirt/dirt4.html>

²⁴ <http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=13049>

²⁵ <http://911thermitefree.blogspot.com/>

²⁶ <http://tinyurl.com/911qtam>

²⁷ <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2004/06/040627223325.htm>

²⁸ <http://www.coasttocoastam.com/photo/last-30-days/43763>

²⁹ <http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/newly-released-sept-11-photos-world-trade-center/story?id=9798856>

³⁰ <http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/911/Gage%20Press%20Conference%20-%20Coast%20To%20Coast%20AM%20-%20George%20Noory%20-%20Coast%20To%20Coast%20AM%20-%20Feb%2018%202010%20-%20Hour%201.mp3>

³¹ <http://tinyurl.com/911qtam%20>

³² <http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/911/Linda%20Moulten%20Howe%20on%20Active%20Thermitic%20Materials%20at%20the%20WTC%20-%20Apr%2030%202009.mp3>

³³ <http://www.coasttocoastam.com/guest/mallove-eugene/6005>

³⁴ <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0AGBTuFKxyc>

³⁵ <http://www.coasttocoastam.com/guest/hutchison-john/5809>

³⁶ <http://www.mystreamingserver.com/index.html>

³⁷ <http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/911/Dr%20Judy%20Wood%20-%20Richard%20Svrett%20-%20CFRB%201010%20-%20WTC%20Destruction%20and%20Field%20Effects%20-%2019%20Sep%202008.mp3>

³⁸ <http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/911/We%20Ourselves%20Dr%20J%20Wood%20J%20Hutchison%20and%20A%20Johnson%2018%20Jan%202007.mp3>

