

Debt Slavery

The Economics of a Banking Mafia

Rob Ryder

Copyright © Rob Ryder2022

All Rights Reserved. This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, resold, hired out, or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent publisher. The moral right of Rob Ryder has been asserted.

Rob Ryder contact email – robryderkdp@outlook.com

Book cover created by Mayar Akash - mapublisher@yahoo.com

This book is dedicated to my wife who for many years has put up with my pursuit of truth, justice and obsession to be free and to leave the world a better place for our children so they are no longer controlled by complete power mad lunatics. The pursuit of truth can offend many people and the truth is I do not care. The truth is the truth and if someone is offended then maybe they themselves have a problem. Luckily my wife is strong enough to cope with all this and she is the only person who will make me stop and think if she believes I am really being offensive, so far this has not happened. I'm a pain in the backside yes, but an honest one.

Teamo Edith

A big thank you to all the people who have helped me proof read this book free of charge. I say free as I, like most of us, am skint and have to deal with the crashing of the financial system like everybody else. I write as I speak and being from Manchester I tend to shorten words and skip words. I hope that, with the help of friends, I have been able to produce a book that is easy to read, understand and presentable.

Contents

Introduction	7
Something is Clearly Wrong	10
In whom we Trust	13
The Basics	17
Historical Examples	26
Ancient Rome	26
Tally Stick	27
American Revolutionary War	29
American Civil War	30
Guernsey	32
Nazi Germany	32
Cuba	33
Bradbury Pound	36
Court Jews	38
The House of Rothschild	39
The World is Not What it Seems	44
Liquid Black Gold	46
Monetary Giants	47
The World Bank Group	47
The International Monetary Fund	48
The Cochabamba Water War	49
Ukrainian Farmland	50
Central Banks	53
City Remembrancer	56
Bank for International Settlements-BIS	56
The Great Fire of London 2-7 september 1666	58
The Business of War	63
France and US killed Qaddafi for his Gold and Oil	68
The 2008 Financial Crash	71
Shorting the market	72

The UK Debt	78
The Covid Economy	87
Digital Currency	92
Bitcoin	92
Central Bank Digital Currency	95
Centralisation of Everything	97
Regulated to Death	100
The World Economic Forum	103
Back to Basics	105
Promissory Note –	105
Glass Steagal Act	108
Money with Intrinsic Value	111
A Just Money and Economic System for All	114
Robocops – Disconnected, Order Following Morons and Sell-Outs	122
Raping “small boys” – The Glue That Holds the Whole Corrupt System Together	125
When Clouds Clear	131
THE SOLUTION - WHAT CAN BE DONE?	133
Recommended Research	136
Books –	136
Youtube –	136
Interviews –	137
Websites and articles	137

Introduction

For centuries, if not thousands of years, there has been a hidden war raging around the world. A war that now seems to be culminating into a grand finale. Whilst most people are looking at, dealing with, and suffering from the symptoms of this war, here we will try and get to the root cause. The war I am talking about is the war of controlling the money supply.

“Money makes the world go round” and people have been slaves to money for as long as we know and boom and bust cycles in the economy are not just a modern day phenomenon. There is an enormous history to this war that has been covered by many authors, researchers and historians. Far better, I point you to their work throughout this book and at the end which will enable me to stick to the basic points of unravelling the insanity of the system we are forced to live under.

This book tries to focus on the principles of the war rather than the details. The aim of this book is that after reading most people will have a full understanding of the nature of money and the economy. Economic “experts” around the world dazzle us with their knowledge of this allegedly complicated issue to the point that most people just accept things as they are without pursuing a clear explanation due to being overwhelmed with data. After reading this book I hope you will see that these self-proclaimed experts are in fact experts in intellectual mumbo jumbo passed off as economic science and truth.

The real truth of course, as most real truths are, is simple. Only by going back and asking the simple questions and looking at the foundation will we see beyond the lies and find real practical answers.

I am not a “real author” and don’t particularly excel in writing but I am not after any literary awards. The aim of this project is to get clear information out for the man/woman in the street so they can understand

how the monetary system is functioning to work against humanity. Also, to see how behind the smokescreen of allegedly complicated economics are very simple solutions to the debt slavery mankind has been forced and deceived into.

Rob Ryder August 2022

To ask the “right” question is far more important than to receive the answer. The solution of a problem lies in the understanding of the problem; the answer is not outside the problem, the answer is in the problem.

J. Krisnamurti

Something is Clearly Wrong

Two years backpacking around North America, Mexico and South America had left me with many experiences to meditate on. I had come back a changed man but wasn't sure yet in what way. I had seen poverty amongst the wealth in North America but crossing the border into Mexico was another level. The dirt roads, basic amenities and simple lives being lived out in the country side was in stark contrast to the modern landscape of the US. The obvious wealth of some in Mexico City was amongst a majority of people just getting by in the concrete jungle. South America was no different.

I was in Caracas, Venezuela in 1999 and the oil wealth was very visible in the skyscrapers in the city centre. Behind the glass of the tall buildings was a war over control of the massive oil reserves the country had. The corporate men wanted it for themselves and the socialist wanted it for the people and for the country. Similar wars had been going on for a long time for control of resources all over the continent. With any war comes mass propaganda from both sides and finding any real truth is difficult to say the least, especially as this was not my culture.

I had started reading books on the Cuban revolution and found I could better understand my experiences by reading about the struggle of the Cuban people living under the military dictatorship of Batista. Cuba was a gangster's paradise and Batista's Cuba had US approval as it allowed the big corporations to run amok. Castro came along and was the man the country needed. He and the "bearded ones" kicked out the regime, the mafia, the big corporations and bankers with them. After such hard times and struggle in the past it was easy to sell a hero and Socialism with all its promises to the people.

I had a trip to Cuba in 2011 and it was clear the revolution had achieved many good things for the people. Health care, education, low crime, safe streets and a great community spirit amongst the people was clear to

see. The one thing the revolution did not achieve though was what the people were still in need of, Freedom. They appreciated the good Socialism had done but they just wanted economic freedom. They needed the freedom to pursue an idea, a goal and a dream. Socialism kills entrepreneurial spirit in that all is for the community and the individual only has one path.

In Cuba the arts, sports and music are widely promoted so as to fill in the gap within the people where freedom should be. Freedom to pursue economic goals and individual wealth is looked down on in Cuba and therefore suppressed. It seems the arts, sports, music and dance are not enough for all people and economic freedom is what they really want.

Carrying on my studies when I was told to read a book called “The Open Veins of Latin America” by Eduardo Galiano. The whole book is a well written history of Latin America after the European invasion and has masses of details from the time of the conquest right up to the modern day and well worth a read. The book is known as the bible of Latin American history. The whole book though can be summed up in one quote **“The Spanish had the cow, but it was others who drank the milk”**. Those **“others”** turned out to be the International Bankers and Corporations. Slavery had not been abolished, it had been renamed and rebranded, and it was now under the guise of “Free Trade”.

When the 2008 financial crash hit, I was simply not happy with the official explanation. First, I could not understand it properly, and second, I just knew I was missing something, something big.

In 2009/10 I saw a documentary on YouTube, “Money as Debt”, and that gave me my light-bulb moment. It now all made sense. There were obviously many more details to look at but the main mystery of money creation was solved. I then went on to study more of the history and gain a much more precise understanding of economics. What I am putting forward here is my own understanding on money, economics and how it

fits into a system that is enslaving us. How this understanding will give us what I believe is a simple solution that can allow freedom and prosperity for all mankind. I believe that if this system were put into place half of the world's problems would be solved overnight. It would also give us the free time to start thinking about solving the rest.

I intend to put forward the main principles in a manner that most people can understand and therefore act upon the information to bring a better, fairer monetary system to all. A real solution has to be a solution for all and for that to be so, it has to be understood by all. I am not an “educated” man, I left the school system at 16 and have never studied economics, or anything else for that matter, in any school approved of by the system. I have come to these conclusions by contemplating my life experiences whilst travelling, a desire to understand and a desire to live in a better world. I am not trying to tell you the solutions in this book are the only way, but they are one way, and even if the fine details need looking at I feel the principles are true.

The war for control of the money supply is coming to its end and is to be replaced by something new. **They** want to take us from debt slavery and financial crashes to “The Great Reset”. We, in my opinion, need to stop trusting in the people who have caused the mess in the first place and go our own way. This template is at least a start and shows us there is another option than to go the way of another rebranded system of slavery.

In Whom we Trust

This should be the real question we need to ask when looking at the world. Let's take a look at some of the reasons we should question our trust of UK politicians.

Child abuse files lost at Home Office spark fears of cover-up

“A dossier compiled by an MP detailing allegations of a 1980s Westminster paedophile ring is one of more than 100 potentially relevant Home Office files destroyed, lost or missing, it has emerged. The government faced fresh calls for an overarching inquiry into historical cases of paedophilia as it was revealed that a total of 114 Home Office files relevant to allegations of a child abuse network have disappeared from government records.

David Cameron has already ordered the Home Office permanent secretary to look into what happened to a lost dossier given earlier in the 1980s to Leon Brittan, then home secretary, by the campaigning Tory MP Geoffrey Dickens.”

The Guardian Online sat 5 July 2014

This is **“Theresa May oral statement to Parliament on child abuse investigations”**.

“Mr Speaker, in my statement today I want to address two important public concerns. First, that in the 1980s the Home Office failed to act on allegations of child sex abuse. And second, that public bodies and other important institutions have failed to take seriously their duty of care towards children”.

“The investigation found that 114 potentially relevant files were not available. These are presumed – by the Home Office and the investigator – destroyed, missing or not found, although the

investigator made clear that he found no evidence to suggest that the files had been removed or destroyed inappropriately”.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/home-secretary-oral-statement-on-child-abuse>

The Jimmy Saville scandal within the BBC and his connections to the Royal family are now well known.

Epstein's links to the self-proclaimed Prince, Andrew.

A recommended book is **One Nation Under Blackmail: The Sordid Union Between Intelligence and Crime that Gave Rise to Jeffrey Epstein** by Whitney Alyse Webb which goes deeper into Epstein's financial and US Government connections.

The Cyril Smith and Ted Heath child sex abuse allegations.

The list goes on. Many more cases could be mentioned but surely this is enough to see something is very wrong. Add to this the tidal wave of sexual propaganda and teaching being aimed at children by the government through education and the media and it does seem sexual perversion is at the heart of government.

War criminal and proven liar Tony Blair is still walking free, being paid handsomely by corporations and still receiving tax payers' money. The scientist who proved he was lying, Dr David Kelly, was found dead under suspicious circumstances and no real investigation was ever done. When questioned about the 800 000 people killed by his lie, Blair replied calmly and coldly saying he thought the real figure was about 250 000. That is a clear-cut example of a psychopath. Tony Blair incredibly still has a political voice and is being pushed to be knighted. At the same time Julian Assange has been detained - whether directly or indirectly - in the UK since 2012 and is now on the verge of being extradited to the US. What was his crime? It was exposing the governments and their crimes.

In 2008 the UK Government, amongst others, bailed out the criminal banks with our money, allowed the criminal bankers to pay themselves massive bonuses, then along with the courts, police, and bailiffs supported the banks in stealing our homes because of a problem they created.

Boris Johnson jokes about dead bodies in Libya

Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson quipped on Tuesday that Libya can become a new Dubai if it can clear the dead bodies away, the latest gaffe by Britain's top diplomat.

"They've got a brilliant vision to turn Sirte, with the help of the municipality of Sirte, to turn it into the next Dubai," Johnson said. "The only thing they've got to do is clear the dead bodies away and then we will be there." - REUTERS October 3, 2017

There could be many more scandals and examples of crooked politicians I could mention using tax payers' money - "cleaning the moats" around their country homes being one- but why? It's not that we don't know they are crooks, yes some may be morons, but many are just crooked.

In the US we have a criminal family now running the country. "Hunter Biden's laptop" has gone from far-right conspiracy theory to proven fact. If you wish to really open your eyes to the extent of immorality and perversion that is the life of these elite leaders of humanity, then the book **"TRANCE Formation of America - The True Life Story of a CIA Mind Control Slave"** by Cathy O'Brien is a must.

It should be fairly obvious by now that the political system and those who work in it do not work for or represent the general public. They are not public servants. They are simply servants of the system of government. This is the really mind-blowing truth that the vast majority of people just push aside and ignore, continuing to trust those in power when in reality they know they are all crooks. Thinking that voting for another party next

time round will make things better says more about the awareness and apathy of the voters than it does about those who they are voting for. So, when reading a book about the money system we are controlled by, it is important to study with the clear knowledge that crooks run the whole system for their benefit and not ours. It is a fact that all over the world a few individuals get richer every year whilst for the rest of us working harder for less is the norm. For people who still have their minds in the system and think politicians are doing their best under difficult circumstances, this book may not be for you. For people who have sussed that the system is created and organized in a way to control us then I hope this book will at least show you how the control of money is what gives them power over us, and that in reality, it is an illusionary power.

As with all tricks, when you see how it is done it loses its power. I hope to show you that the trick being used against us is very simple, and therefore very simple to fix. To go with any solution from the very people who have enslaved us is sadly something many will do and will suffer from for doing so. With awareness of real solutions, I hope that enough people will come together so that a critical mass of people will reject "The Great Reset "of the world's elite and at last free mankind from debt slavery.

The Basics

Defining words

Money-Something generally accepted as a medium of exchange, a measure of value, or a means of payment.

Currency- Currency is a medium of exchange for goods and services, money, in the form of paper or coins. In modern times we have numbers on a computer screen, electric currency, that represent the currency and function as money. The numbers though can also represent a debt or credit.

Barter – An exchange of goods or services for other goods or services without using currency.

Economy- Management of available resources in terms of the production and consumption of goods and services.

Economics– It is considered as a social science. It is the study of resources, how they are distributed and how they are converted into consumer goods and services. This economy can be used to serve the people or to serve a controlling elite.

Finance- The management of money/currency including activities such as investing, lending, borrowing, budgeting, saving and forecasting.

So here we can see many words that seem to be thrown around randomly by economists do not always mean the same as we are led to believe. It seems money is an intangible concept whilst currency is actually the physical reality of that idea in the form of bank notes and coins or as we stated above, numbers on a computer screen, electric currency.

For the sake of simplicity I will mainly use the term money in this book as it is a term we are all accustomed to and electric currency is a form of

money. Where I need to be specific I will use the term currency. Currency is generally created by the nation and money by the banks though there are exceptions.

It is also clear from the above definitions that an economy does in no way depend on the existence of money, currency, or a system of barter. We can just produce all the goods we wish without the need to put a monetary value on them at all. Nature does this all the time. It just produces and gives and in return after consumption it all goes back to nature. It's a cycle of giving and receiving for the benefit of all creation and we don't even have to ask for it. All life on Earth depends on the sunrise every morning and it comes without fail and without charge. There is no debt attached to the giving of nature. This in a society has been called a **Gift Economy**. This system is one where goods and services are given with no debt attached or expectancy of a return gift. It is clear that if everyone gives then all will receive.

The Greek philosopher Aristotle (c.350 B.C) considered that every object has two uses. The first being the original purpose for which the object was designed and the second is to conceive of the object as an item to sell or barter. So every "thing" has a natural use but to conceive it to be for sale or barter is a man-made idea.

Bartering is a system of exchange that is based on equal exchange. It is based on a human value given to a said product. If I have a spare tomato and you a spare potato then if we agree they both have equal value then an exchange could be made with no debt. If though, I decide my one tomato is more valuable than your one potato and is worth two potatoes then I could still make an exchange. We then decide that you owe me one potato in the future and now a debt has been created. This system of bartering is practically very limited in that we don't always have the right goods to exchange so a system of storing debt, or wealth depending on how you look at it, was needed.

Although most people think of bartering as the oldest form of organized exchange it seems that **debt bondage**, or **peonage**, was taking place in ancient Sumer approximately 5/6000 years ago. This is a system where someone is forced to work to pay off a debt or even give their child to work to pay off a debt. Remember the term **bondage** for later as it is defined as a “**state of being in slavery**”, meaning someone is bonded/attached to the person who he/she owes the debt to, the Creditor.

Forms of money could be salt, grain, oil or even gold. The exchange value placed on it will be decided by the people based on its usage and how much of it is available. So having access and control over resources that are needed for production or sustaining life puts you in a powerful position when wanting to exchange and even more powerful if you want to accumulate wealth in terms of other people’s products. Control over food, water and building materials obviously puts you in a very powerful position as life for human beings could not exist without them. The more a resource is needed the more valuable it is. The scarcer a needed resource is again the more valuable it is. If you have control over a needed resource and you can control and decide how much of it is put out into society then that gives you the ability to control the value and to control the people. This gives you a hint as to what the present monetary system is really all about which is the transfer of, and control of, resources to criminal elites.

So, if the economy was about the production and movement of goods, then you would think the motive of our highly paid economists in government would simply be to organize an efficient system to facilitate this activity for the benefit of the public. Even without going into what is actually happening from an economic point of view, or a monetary point of view, it must be clear by now that our politicians who take money from us in taxes, pay themselves high wages, make all the decisions as to what to do with our money do not have an economic model that serves

the people. Clearly the results speak for themselves and whatever they are doing the wealth always goes in one direction. That direction is always away from the people.

The following is a letter from the Rothschild Bank to former Chancellor of the Exchequer, the self-proclaimed “Rt Hon” George Osborne MP.

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/434155/Rothschild letter to the Chancellor .pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/434155/Rothschild_letter_to_the_Chancellor.pdf)

The Rt Hon George Osborne MP,
Chancellor of the Exchequer,
Her Majesty's Treasury,
1 Horse Guards Road,
London
SW1A 2HQ



10 June 2015

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Dear Chancellor,'.

The government's shareholding in the Royal Bank of Scotland

You asked us to provide you with an assessment of whether or not it is appropriate and in the interests of taxpayers for the government to start to sell its stake in the Royal Bank of Scotland Group plc (RBS). This document summarises the analysis we have undertaken and sets out our resulting conclusions.

As of today, in the absence of unforeseen circumstances, taxpayers can comfortably expect to secure proceeds from their interventions in the banks that exceed the money put in. This contrasts with expectations at the time such interventions were made: in the 2009 Budget, HM Treasury estimated that the ultimate cost to the taxpayer of the financial sector interventions would be in the order of £20-50 billion. Alongside the United States, the UK will be one of the first countries that is able to demonstrate that it can comfortably expect to record a gain on its bank interventions.

As for RBS, it has made significant progress since the time of the government's interventions. It has removed more than £1 trillion of assets from its balance sheet, significantly improved its capital position and now has a much more robust funding and liquidity structure. It is shrinking RCR, the internal bad bank created after HM Treasury's review in 2013, and looks set to complete the wind-down ahead of schedule. The management team, led by Ross McEwan, has re-evaluated the Group's strategy and the bank is on course to be smaller and simpler, focused on serving its customers in businesses where it has real competitive advantage. While much remains to be done, in particular to improve customer service, reduce costs and shrink the investment bank, the new strategy is consistent with the new direction for the bank that was set out by RBS and HM Treasury at the conclusion of the government's bad bank review.

Rothschild
New Court, St Swithin's Lane
London EC4N 3AF, United Kingdom

Tel +44 (0)20 7280 5000
Fax +44 (0)20 7280 5671
www.rothschild.com

N M Rothschild & Sons Limited
Registered number 925279 England
Registered office as shown


The returns on the government's interventions in RBS will be determined by the success of the whole of the selling programme, rather than the terms achieved on the first few disposals. Accordingly, as a precursor to a long-term programme, we believe that it is now in the interest of taxpayers for the government to set in train an initial small disposal of RBS shares for a number of reasons:

1. By starting to sell, the government will increase the free float which should in turn improve the marketability of the remainder of its shareholding. This will enable the government to execute larger sales on better terms than would otherwise have been the case;
2. We believe that sending a strong signal that RBS is on the road to recovery and that its reprivatisation has begun may also bring further benefits to the bank and therefore to the taxpayer as shareholder;
3. Market conditions for financial assets and bank shares are currently good;
4. We believe that the current price of RBS shares reasonably reflects its future prospects based on its current strategy and the risks associated with this being achieved; and
5. We believe that RBS is ready to be sold and do not believe that there are other reasons that would preclude a share sale in the short term (i.e. in the next 12 months) or render it poor value for money for the taxpayer.

Given the current limited market for RBS shares, any sales in the short term would need to be carefully calibrated by reference to the depth of the market and the current size of RBS's free float and with a clear objective of maintaining an orderly aftermarket following any transaction.

Yours truly,

For and on behalf of Rothschild



Nigel Higgins, Chief Executive

The obvious questions to ask are.

Why is a man in charge of the country's finances and allegedly representing the interests of the people of that country asking an investment bank for advice?

Surely being a highly paid and "educated" economist would mean he would already have the necessary knowledge to make big decisions?

Why on earth would he think an investment bank - which functions for the benefit of its shareholders- would give advice only thinking about the benefit of the UK Kingdom Corporation and possibly at its own expense and the expense of its own investors?

Who is George Osborne, and therefore the Treasury and the government, really working for and representing?

There are many questions posed by this letter. I came about this letter by chance when I was looking for a connection between the Rothschild Bank and the Treasury and like a gift from heaven this just manifested in front of me.

Between 1999 and 2002 the Treasury under Gordon Brown sold 401 tonnes of gold - out of its 715-tonne holding - at an average price of \$275 an ounce, generating about \$3.5bn. Though I will not be promoting gold as real wealth, or gold backed money as a solution, it is still worth noting what happened to the price of gold after that event. Also, it is worth noting that gold is a physical and not a theoretical commodity. Many people and nations do value gold for whatever reason and it could be said that it was a form of wealth and a commodity that we had and that was ours.

Again, looking at the price of gold since then do we seriously believe this man, Gordon Brown, was acting in our best interests or the interests of others?

Also, if the gold belonged to the nation and therefore the people, why on earth did he feel he had the right to sell off our gold?

Surely that should have been our decision?

This is just another clear example that these people do not represent the people. They have their own masters who they serve and their own agenda.

“No one can serve two masters; for either he will hate the one and love the other, or else he will be loyal to the one and despise the other. You cannot serve God and mammon.”--Matthew 6:24

Now to be clear, I am not a Christian and would not even consider myself religious, but those words for me do describe the system of governance we have. The political elite do not serve, and yes, they may even despise the public. They clearly serve other masters and a closer look shows quite clearly who these masters are as we will see later.


The figures in the graph on the next page show a clear steady climb in the price of gold since 1999. Someone somewhere has made a huge profit and it's not the British public.

On writing this book on sat 20 August 2022 gold stands at \$1 747 per troy ounce.

If tomorrow we got rid of all the currency in the world, still got up, went to work and carried on as normal then we would all eat, all drink and things would carry on as if nothing had happened. There would also be no debt hanging around or necks.

Gold price since 1999

US dollars



Source: Bloomberg



Historical Examples

Let's be clear, any research into history is just one person reading another person's version of history they read from another person's research. They are all opinions based on events that happened years or even thousands of years ago. All we can do is the best we can with the research and information we have and come to our own conclusions based on what we know and how we think the world works.

Ancient Rome

You can read many commentators on the demise of the Roman Empire and most will say the major factor was debasement of the money.

Approximately 211 B.C the silver denarius was introduced into the Roman Empire alongside the existing cheap plentiful bronze coins. The aureus gold coin was introduced sparingly in the first century B.C and with Julius Caesar needing more money to pay his armies, the production of gold coins was massively increased. Most historians point to the debasing of the silver denarius in 64 A.D by Nero as the cause of mass inflation and devaluing of the currency which eventually led to the fall of the Roman Empire. That for me is far too simple an explanation. Obviously, there were many factors involved and the major question to be asked is what was happening in the economy and where was the currency going? Rome had a huge army that had to be paid and the indulgencies of Rome itself and its leaders had to be paid for. There were also plagues to deal with and the cost of expanding the Empire itself.

Now if currency is money in the form of paper or coins and money itself is a form of exchange with a value put on it, then how could the material the coin is made out of be of any importance? The coin itself was minted for no other purpose than to facilitate the exchange of goods when bartering was not possible.

Historians themselves state that “trust” in the Roman coins was affected by the quality of the material used. For example, much less of silver in the silver denarius. This clearly shows that a currency being deemed valuable is a belief. The silver or gold coins we are told have “intrinsic value”, meaning the material itself has value. But again, it is only being used to facilitate trade and the exchange goods. Apart from jewellery or gold goblets what real use would gold have? Simply nothing, it’s just a belief. If someone created an experiment and convinced the people the coins were made of pure silver, when in fact they were made of useless fake silver, the belief alone in the coin being made of pure silver will give confidence and value to the coin. The fact the coin is made of fake silver would not matter, which proves that in the end it is just a belief system. The intrinsic value of a coin means people will still have something of value even if the money gets devalued. But once more this is just a belief as what use is silver or gold to the average man or woman when they cannot be used for building or eating. So what real value do they have?

So, it is possible that belief in the poor-quality coins affected the economy but not the coin itself. Regardless of whether they were using cheap bronze, copper coins, gold or silver, in effect all they were exchanging was a belief, a belief of the value of the coin. I think it’s best to look more into how much currency was produced and where it was going rather than being fixated on the coin itself. We will go more into this later.

Tally Stick

Introduced by Henry 1 in around 1100 AD, Tallies or Tally Sticks were a way of recording debts within a simple and effective accounting system. As they were accepted as payment for taxes this gave them the value and belief needed for any currency. Made out of simple hazel wood or willow they had notches carved into them defining an exact value. The longer

part was called the **stock** and was given to the party which had advanced money (or other items), the creditor. The shorter portion of the stick was called the **foil** and was given to the party which had received the funds or goods, the debtor. As they were a perfect match from an original piece of wood, forging would be almost impossible. As the stock had a value attached to it - the debt - it was widely used as a currency and was a great way of facilitating an exchange of goods and services. This credit system approved of by the king was an interest free form of credit produced under the permission of the King. It was used to pay a debt or receive credit. It was a perfect currency in that no intrinsic value was needed, the only value needed was the value put on it by the King. Yes, wood can be used in building and yes that has value, but the value it had was the value put on it and approved of by the King.

In 1826 use of the tally stick was abolished. Tallies were regularly destroyed over the centuries by the Exchequer which had offices in the Palace of Westminster. As they now had no further use, a large quantity of tallies - said to be two cart loads - were in need of disposal. The clerk of the works decided that two under-floor stoves in the basement of the House of Lords would be a safe place to burn the unwanted sticks.

According to the UK Parliament website **“Both Houses of Parliament were destroyed along with most of the other buildings on the site. Westminster Hall was saved largely due to heroic fire fighting efforts, and a change in the direction of the wind during the night. The only other parts of the Palace to survive were the Jewel Tower, the Undercroft Chapel, the Cloisters and Chapter House of St Stephen's and Westminster Hall.”**

It seems there was “intrinsic value” in the tally stick that could be put to good use after all.

American Revolutionary War

During the war Congress started to produce **continentals** to fund the war against the British. This paper currency was printed and given value by Congress itself. The success of this currency was starting to worry the British, so a major counterfeiting scheme was set in motion. At one point some believed there may have been more forged notes than real ones. This counterfeiting of currency by the British caused mass inflation as it devalued the currency. Simply printing currency and not putting it into the economy is a sure-fire way to cause hyperinflation. Materials from Britain for counterfeiting were shipped to New York, which for most of the war was controlled by the British.

“Without a doubt the massive amount of counterfeiting in all forms had a major effect on the young nation’s economy. As the war progressed the value of the dollar fell dramatically for several reasons. One was the lack of any sort of backing to bolster its value. Paper money is basically a promise that it is worth what it says it is. Without massive gold and silver reserves backing it up the dollar was subject to fluctuation that was based on the strength of the promise, and in this case the strength of the people making the promise, that is, Congress. As such it was very much tied to the fortunes of the army. When the army had success the value of the dollar rose, while failure caused it to plummet; with a few exceptions the army had little success. This led to the devaluation of the currency which made it hard to purchase supplies and necessities that the army needed to win the victories that could cause the currency to be worth something again. Yes, the circle was a vicious one.”

-Stuart Hatfield - **Faking It: British Counterfeiting During the American Revolution** - from the Journal of the American Revolution Oct 7 2015

So again, look at the words. The value of the currency went up and down according to how the revolutionaries were doing in the war. If they were doing well then trust and belief rose, hence the belief in the value of the

currency rose. When doing badly in the war trust and belief fell and so the value fell. Whether or not it was backed by gold or silver - or just backed by Congress - it was still based on a believed value. Yes, silver or gold would have an intrinsic value, meaning it would never be worth nothing. They would retain their physical value, but the point of a currency is just to facilitate an exchange based on a given value. The real point is to make the exchange and the agreed value of the currency allows this exchange to take place. It's all a belief.

American Civil War

At the height of the American Civil War, the US Treasury warned President Lincoln that further funding would be needed if the Federal North was to have the resources needed to defeat the Confederate South. The President initially went to the Rothschild's and the private banks who wanted between 24 and 36 per cent interest. Lincoln knew that if he agreed to take loans from the bankers that he would be putting his country into a debt noose that would strangle the economic prosperity out of his country and which would be almost impossible to pay off.

On the advice of a businessman with proven integrity, Colonel Dick Taylor from Illinois, Abraham Lincoln made the decision to print interest free paper money based on nothing more than the honour of the American Government. Called 'Greenbacks' because they were coloured green on one side only, the US Treasury issued 450 million dollars' worth of these notes and they were immediately accepted as legal tender by a willing and grateful nation. The war was eventually won and this very popular new paper currency seemed set to continue. In the words of Lincoln himself:

"The government should create issue and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the government and the buying power of consumers ... The privilege of creating and issuing

money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government's greatest creative opportunity. By the adoption of these principles, the long-felt want for a uniform medium will be satisfied. The taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest, discounts and exchanges. The financing of all public enterprises, the maintenance of stable government and ordered progress, and the conduct of the Treasury will become matters of practical administration. The people can and will be furnished with a currency as safe as their own government. Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the money power". (Senate document 23, Page 91. 1865)

The response from the House of Rothschild to this sudden threat to their banking empire was swift and brutal as this extract from The Times of London in 1865 shows.

"If that mischievous financial policy, which had its origin in the North American Republic, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that Government will furnish its own money without cost. It will pay off debts and be without a debt. It will have all the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedence in the history of the civilised governments of the world. The brains and the wealth of all countries will go to North America. That government must be destroyed, or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe".

Extract from article - The Case For A "Greenback" Pound – Justin Walker for the UK Column

On Good Friday, April 14th 1865, a lone gunman ended the presidency of Abraham Lincoln. Sadly, his Greenback legacy died with him as the private bankers managed to 'persuade' Congress to revoke this successful initiative, a policy which eventually led to the formation of the privately run Federal Reserve in 1913.

Guernsey

Another example of government issued currency is Guernsey in the Channel Islands, who were in massive debt after the Napoleonic wars. The islands infrastructure needed repairing and there was no money to do it. A committee came together and decided the only way was for the island to issue its own State Notes of £1 sterling to fund the needed work. The notes had an expiry date and were destroyed after the work was done so as not to cause inflation. As a result the currency was printed and used just for the particular projects they were to fund. The notes continued to be used but saw a drop in usage after a new commercial bank started issuing its own notes in large quantities in 1827. After the cost of World War One there was a new increase in the issuing of the State Notes and the utility of the Guernsey pound was realised again.

This article is well worth reading

LESSONS LEARNT FROM THE MONETARY SYSTEM OF

GUERNSEY - Gábor SÁRDI, József VARGA

<https://www.deturope.eu/getpdf.php?mag=det&vol=2017&no=2&artid=3>

Nazi Germany

With Germany crushed economically in 1933 the Nazis came into power. Hitler began to issue “Labor Treasury Certificates” to fund the rebuilding of Germany's infrastructure. Hitler allegedly said, **“for every mark that was issued we required the equivalent of a mark’s worth of work done or goods produced.”** – quoted from book, “Prosperity, Poverty or Extinction? Humanity's Choices” by Allen Cookson. The success was there for everyone to see as Germany went on to be an economical success

that was not built on banking debt. Sadly, although he was an economic genius, he was also a maniac and went on to use his new found economic power to build his fascist dictatorship.

Cuba

My interest in the Cuban Revolution took me and my family there in 2011. Not sure what was in store, I just tried to take it as I saw it. In Cuba there is the official national currency called the Cuban Peso - CUP. The Cuban convertible peso (CUC) was introduced in 1994 after the collapse of the Soviet Union, which Cuba depended heavily on. The basic idea is that the national currency is a debt free credit produced by the government to fund the functioning of the Cuban infrastructure and economy, which is all owned and run by the government. Everything was owned by the government until 2010 when they started to allow small businesses like restaurants and bed and breakfast for tourists. In reality this was an unofficial admittance that total socialism and the prohibiting of free enterprise simply does not work. The CUC is controlled by the government and is equal to \$1 US. The US\$ is exchanged by the people for 1 CUC. This allows the Cuban government to have US dollars for trading with foreign countries which is understandable in their situation.

With the aid of the Soviet Union the Cuban government were able to take complete control of society to the extent I was told by one Cuban that “you could not even grow your own food, not even one onion”. The government controlled and produced everything with the support of Soviet materials and the Soviets buying their sugar at an agreed special high price. After the Soviet collapse came the “special period” of hunger and suffering. It was then realised by the Cuban politicians that an overhaul of society was needed and the now famous Cuban food production initiative, with city centre gardens and allotments everywhere, took off to feed the people.

Without huge oil reserves of its own, Cuba now depends on subsidised oil from fellow Latin Socialist ally , Venezuela. Cuba's traditional main export has been sugar, which brings in foreign currencies with which in turn can be used to buy imports. The trade embargo by the US has affected massively the wealth of Cuba. As tourism is another one of the ways Cuba can make valued currency as a nation the banning of US nationals from visiting by the US Government has also had a huge negative effect on the Cuban economy. You will find though many adventurous Americans in bars in Cuba having a beer. They come in through Mexico, and as Cuba has no interest, understandably, in stamping their passports, they can come and go without being noted by their own government.

When talking with Cubans they are quite open and proud of their health and education systems. The housing market when we were there was non-existent as in Cuba, at least for the masses, (though not publicly talked about there is an elite in Cuba which I'm sure Che Guevara would be horrified about) they build homes for people and not for profit, something we could learn from in the West. The people are friendly, well-educated and it is very safe. Safe is not something I could say about other Latin American countries I visited. When you have gained trust though many will say what they really want is economic freedom. Cubans are no different from any of us in that they have dreams, hopes, imagination and things they would like to achieve. Many of them, like us, want to pursue that through a business venture. The propaganda all over Cuba states that "if we work harder, we receive more".

Sadly, this is not the case and sounds more like something out of Orwell's Animal Farm. The truth is that people work harder when they can get just rewards and Socialism does not allow this at all. With a slight change in George Orwell's' words we could have, all men are born lazy but some are lazier than others. And this is the problem, in that humanity is diverse and we don't all want, or need, the same things or are even capable of the same things. In the total Socialist society the hard worker receives the

same as the couch potato who does just enough to justify being alive. This is something we go deeper into in my collaboration with Patrick Quanten in our book “A Conscious Humanity – morality freedom and natural law” where we take a deep look at the human condition and the communities and societies we create.

The stubbornness of the Cuban political elite and their refusal to evolve will be their downfall. They did well in ridding themselves of the US backed, Corporate backed and Mafia backed Military Dictatorship. But it's surely time to move on and rid themselves of this ideology that has in other areas killed tens of millions and that in Cuba is holding the people back from prosperity.

Again, the West could learn from Cuba's attitude to fame, fortune and material wealth but basically demonising it has obviously gone too far and is holding Cuba back from creating a society worthy of the paradise island it is. The slaughter that happened in other Communist regimes has not happened in Cuba and it's easy to see why as they are well educated, thanks to great state education system, and have a great, strong community spirit which must be admired. But that in itself will not be enough. Behind the virtuous front of the political elite lie the same human behaviours and egos that were allegedly wiped out in the revolution. Gambling, prostitution and elitism may have been dealt with in public but behind closed doors humans are still humans and political elites are still political elites.

They have, however, shown how a national currency backed only by the approval of the state can build roads and the basic infrastructure of a society. To fulfil the true potential of the Cuban people- who have a great foundation with the spirit in their community - they need to let go of the ideology holding them back and allow the free enterprise the people crave.

About a year ago there were mass demonstrations in Havana which were very quickly silenced and I have heard nothing since. Trouble is brewing in the utopian paradise.

Bradbury Pound

The Bradbury to the Rescue!

“In August 1914, at the outbreak of the First World War, to avoid the imminent collapse of the private banks and the Bank of England itself, Parliament passed a Bill through Parliament in two days which authorised HM Treasury – not the Bank of England – to create, issue and control money that was debt-free and interest-free because it was based entirely on the wealth and potential of the British nation. The high-street banks reopened and people who had planned to withdraw their savings in gold were more than happy to accept these new Treasury notes created by HM Government and there were no problems at all concerning inflation. The private banking system was saved from collapse but unfortunately the politicians (who were, and still are, subservient to the wishes of the City of London) went back to borrowing debt-laden ‘money out of thin air’ from the private financial sector which resulted in the bankers being able to make a killing out of the killing on the Western Front. And it also meant that the National Debt went up unlawfully from £650 million in 1914 to £7,500 million in 1919”.

-Extract from **The Bradbury to the Rescue!** By Justin Walker, Campaign Coordinator for the British Constitution Group and The New Chartist Movement

Once more we see that in reality all that is needed to give a currency value is belief. When a government says it can be used as “legal tender” then that gives it value and belief so people can use it for the exchange of

goods and payments of debts. Regardless of the material the note or coin is made out of, it is the belief in its value to exchange that allows things to flow and the economy to work. If the government made coins out of a stone that could not be forged then said they were a legal form of exchange, people would still use them because it's all a belief system. The Bradbury was used to stop a run on the banks, it did though set a president, and there is no reason why a new Bradbury style national credit backed by the people of this nation could not be issued to build our failing infrastructure.

Court Jews

In the Middle Ages in Christian Europe canon law forbade usury, or charging of interest, on loans. As this law did not apply to Jews and Jews were prohibited from nearly every other trade, this gave them the opportunity to create a niche trade and they began to act as moneylenders for the nobility, church and royalty of Europe. These financiers to the elite were mainly Jews of Ashkenazi origin. They were called Court Jews and soon amassed fortunes and social and political influence.

“if you lend money to any of my people with you who is poor ... you shall not exact interest from him” - Exodus 22:25

“If your brother becomes poor and cannot maintain himself with you, you shall support him as though he were a stranger and a sojourner, and he shall live with you. Take no interest from him or profit, but fear your God, that your brother may live beside you. You shall not lend him your money at interest, nor give him your food for profit.”

-Leviticus 25:35-37

The interesting thing here is there seems to be no mention of usury or charging of interest being immoral in the New Testament. In the Old Testament Jews could charge interest to non-Jews. It seems the Christian church took the laws of the Old Testament and turned them into principles. It does show though how the Jews were perfect for the job as they could - scripturally speaking - charge interest to non-Jews.

The Catholic Church has historically condemned usury but a look at its investments shows a contradiction. Some estimates put the church's holdings close to 177 million acres, or 277,000 square miles of land and investments in some of the world's largest corporations and banks. It seems talking the talk and walking the walk is not the priority of this

alleged Christian organization. The main issue with usury, interest on loans, we will come to later but the immoral nature of it historically is about making money on money. Money in fact should be just a way of facilitating an exchange of goods or what we call trade.

The House of Rothschild

Mayer Amschel Rothschild was an Ashkenazi Jew born in Frankfurt, Germany in 1774. He was to be known as the "founding father of international finance" and founder of the Rothschild banking dynasty. Through his sons he soon set up major banking centres in five European cities, London, Paris, Frankfurt, Vienna, and Naples. Becoming bankers to the Vatican and almost single-handedly financing the British war effort during the Napoleonic Wars. The family eventually amassed a fortune and influence never seen before. The details and the history of this family are so grand it would take up a whole book in itself. But any mainstream research will show you the massive power and wealth of this banking dynasty.

The Ashkenazi Jew is said to be descended from the Khazars, who according to mainstream research were "a semi-nomadic Turkic people that in the late 6th-century AD established a major commercial empire covering the south-eastern section of modern European Russia, southern Ukraine, Crimea, and Kazakhstan." The area of their Empire mainly covers the area we call Ukraine today. More mainstream reports state "The Khazars were a confederation of Slavic, Scythian, Hunnic–Bulgar, Iranian, Alans, and Turkish tribes who formed in the central–northern Caucasus one of most powerful empires during the late Iron Age and converted to Judaism in the 8th century CE". So, one important point here is the Khazars are said to have spoken a Turkic dialect which is not a Semitic language. The conversion to Islam seemed to be for political reasons.

The Rothschild brothers rose to prominence in European finance thanks in large part to their skill in providing funds to the Duke of Wellington

on (his) campaign against Napoleon, culminating in the Battle of Waterloo in 1815. The links between the families continued, not least because Nathan's son, Lionel de Rothschild, acquired as a London home the neighbouring property to the Duke's Apsley House, Piccadilly.

- From the Rothschild archive website

Our services in Greater China

The Rothschild family businesses can trace their first contact with China to the 1830's. Our business was one of the first Western business institutions to re-establish relations after 1953.

Global Advisory provides impartial, expert advisory and execution services to large and mid-sized corporations, private equity, families and entrepreneurs. We have direct access to the Chinese and North Asian markets through our local Chinese bankers who are based on the ground in Shanghai, Beijing, and Hong Kong, and supported by a partnership in South Korea.

We command an in-depth knowledge of the region's economic development and the challenges facing its industries, and have developed an exceptional understanding of the local regulatory and market environment. Our team is skilled at bridging the cultural gaps that can exist between the managements of foreign and local companies, and are the leading adviser to Chinese companies investing in Europe.

Wealth and Asset Management in Hong Kong provides Wealth Management services to help clients structure and safeguard their wealth, and to invest and manage their financial assets. We serve successful families, entrepreneurs, foundations and charities.

- From the www.rothschildandco.com website

Rothschild family sign historic agreement with Chinese bank

Baron Benjamin de Rothschild has given up a stake in his family's LCF Rothschild Group to the Bank of China, the fifth largest bank in the world in terms of market capitalisation in 2007.

The two companies have signed a long-term strategic investment and business cooperation agreement covering private banking and asset management businesses in their respective domestic markets and other strategic geographies

It is the first strategic investment by a leading Chinese bank in a Eurozone bank. LCFR focuses on the private banking, asset management and advisory services business, and has more than €100 billion in assets under management.

De Rothschild, who succeeded his father Edmond as chairman in 1997 and is the group's controlling shareholder, will hold 74.19% of LCFR with BOC becoming the second largest shareholder.

"I am delighted to welcome this new shareholder," said de Rothschild. "This agreement opens up a new era of development for LCFR in the tradition of innovation and international expansion of our group".

A statement from BOC said it intends to strengthen its international presence, notably in Europe, and further develop its asset management and private banking businesses by leveraging the strong specialist knowledge and product design expertise of the Rothschild Group.

For its part, LCFR is looking to capitalise on BOC's distribution capabilities and substantial customer base.

-Acampden FB article 18 September, 2008

<https://www.campdenfb.com/article/rothschild-family-sign-historic-agreement-chinese-bank>

“There is scarcely a corner of the globe where the Rothschild businesses have not reached” - this quote from the Rothschild archive website.

Business activities

Banking: Merchant banking, Private banking.

Finance: Asset management, Bills of exchange, Equity, Global financial advice, Mergers & acquisitions, Insurance (The Alliance Assurance Company), Venture capital (The Exploration Company), Pensions, Investments.

Government finance: Bonds, loans & sovereign lending, Privatisation.

Commodities: Cotton, Indigo, Sugar, Tea & coffee, Tobacco.

Communications & technology. Civil engineering: Bridges, Tunnels.

Hotels, tourism & leisure. Manufacturing: Cars, Iron & steel (Witkowitz (Vítkovice) Mines, Steel and Ironworks Corporation), Textiles.

Media & publishing. Natural resources (mining & trade): Coal, Copper, Nickel, Oil, Platinum, Diamonds, Rubies, Quicksilver, Salt, Silver.

Gold: Gold mining and bullion, The Royal Mint Refinery, The Gold Fixing.

Power & utilities: Electricity, Hydroelectricity (BRINCO), Gas, Water.

Railways: Kaiser-Ferdinand's Nordbahn, The Chemin de fer du Nord, Brazilian railways, Indian railways, The London Underground, The New York Subway, Mass transit railways. Wine: Château Lafite, Château Mouton.

The Wildlife trusts

It seems they have more fingers in more pies than Del Trotter of Only Fools and Horses.

“but he is a Jew who is one inwardly; and circumcision is that of the heart, in the Spirit, not in the letter; whose praise is not from men but from God.” - Romans 2:29

Can the Rothschild family and the Ashkenazi Jews be said to be real Jews? Do they show “circumcision of the heart”? I feel the answer to that question will be seen in how people act. Let me be very clear here, this is NOT anti-Semitic or anti-Jewish talk. I believe the Jewish people, or the people who believe themselves to be Jews, are some of the most used and abused people on earth. The Rothschild family are a family doing business and they simply do not care who they steamroller over to make their dollars. A look at the treatment of Jews in WW11 will show you there is certainly no “Jewish conspiracy”.

Was Judaism infiltrated?

As for big banking families in China, here are some words from David Rockefeller, another elite banking investor in China and said to be **“the first truly global banker”** by James Wolfensohn, former World Bank President.

“Third, are we and the Chinese prepared to accept our very real differences and still proceed toward the closer mutual understanding that must be the basis of substantive future contact?”

“The social experiment in China under Chairman Mao's leadership is one of the most important and successful in human history. How extensively China opens up and how the world interprets and reacts to the social innovations and life styles she has developed is certain to have a profound impact on the future of many nations.”

“I think my father always believed that as a banker he would enjoy much more flexibility to carve out his own world view. He would have been more encumbered had he been an ambassador.” - David Rockefeller The New York Times Aug. 10, 1973

In my book “Medical Fascism-How Corona virus Policy took our Freedoms away - and how to get them back” I clearly show how the Rockefeller family took total control over medical science, education and big pharma

through the Flexner Report in 1910, making allopathic medical thinking and germ theory the only accepted scientific thinking. It was well known at the time that the Rockefeller family used homeopathy, which is the opposite thinking to allopathy in terms of treating the body in illness. This allopathic thinking was to become the basis for the WHO which now is totally run by big pharma, Rockefeller and Bill Gates.

When looking at world events and the geopolitical arena, we need to understand that behind all this chaos is a banking and financial system that works for no nation and has no borders. It seems that all nations are willing to allow and participate in the dealings of this financial empire at the clear expense of the people these nations claim to serve so they can “carve out their own world view”.

The World is Not What it Seems

Sabbatai Zevi was a Jewish mystic and ordained rabbi born in 1626 who claimed to be the long-awaited Jewish Messiah. He was the founder of the Sabbatean movement, whose followers subsequently were to be known as Dönme "converts" or crypto-Jews.

In February 1666, upon arriving in Constantinople, Sabbatai was imprisoned on the order of the grand vizier Köprülüzade Fazıl Ahmed Pasha; in September of that same year, after being moved from different prisons around the capital to Adrianople (the imperial court's seat) for judgment on accusations of fomenting sedition, Sabbatai was given by the Grand Vizier, in the name of the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire, Mehmed IV, the choice of either facing death by some type of ordeal, or of converting to Islam. Sabbatai seems to have chosen the latter by donning from then on a turban. He was then also rewarded by the heads of the Ottoman state with a generous pension for his compliance with their political and religious plans - Wikipedia

Another article here proposes that his followers from the Jewish sect followed him by converting to Islam and eventually came to be the House of Saud.

The House Of Saud: It's Jewish Origin And Installation By The British Crown - [The Times Middle East section February 1, 2020](#)

“It is virtually impossible to understand the true nature of the relationship between Saudi Arabia and the USA, and between Saudi Arabia and Israel, without the historical information that follows”.

“The U.S. Department of Defense has released translations of a number of Iraqi intelligence documents dating from Saddam’s rule. One, a General Military Intelligence Directorate report from September 2002, entitled “The Emergence of Wahhabism and its Historical Roots”, shows the Iraqi government was aware of the nefarious purposes of the Wahhabis of Saudi Arabia, often known as Salafis, in serving Western interests to undermine Islam”

<https://www.timesheadline.com/middle-east/the-house-of-saud-its-jewish-origin-and-installation-by-the-british-crown-42667.html>

This is the link to the document cited above and well worth reading.

[https://www.academia.edu/36187966/Iraqi Intel The Emergence of Wahhabism and its Historical Roots 0](https://www.academia.edu/36187966/Iraqi_Intel_The_Emergence_of_Wahhabism_and_its_Historical_Roots_0)

This is another area of investigation that seems to connect many dots and though I want to keep the main theme of this book about money creation, I feel it is important to look into other connecting subject matters that will give us a better explanation of the world we live. Britain as always, or should I say the City of London, is always at the forefront of important changing events in the world. Religion, politics, oil production, money creation and ownership and control resources seem to have all been infiltrated by one big mafia gang and are playing a game most of the public are not even aware exists. In this game we are the pawns.

Liquid black gold

Fossil fuel without the fossils

Petroleum - the archetypal fossil fuel - couldn't have formed from the remains of dead animals and plants, claim US and Russian researchers¹. They argue that petroleum originated from minerals at extreme temperatures and pressures.

Other geochemists say that the work resurrects a scientific debate that is almost a fossil itself, and criticize the team's conclusions.

The team, led by J. F. Kenney of the Gas Resources Corporation in Houston, Texas, mimicked conditions more than 100 kilometres below the Earth's surface by heating marble, iron oxide and water to around 1,500 °C and 50,000 times atmospheric pressure.

They produced traces of methane, the main constituent of natural gas, and octane, the hydrocarbon molecule that makes petrol. A mathematical model of the process suggests that, apart from methane, none of the ingredients of petroleum could form at depths less than 100km. - Nature 14 August 2002

Here is a link to the paper by J. F. Kenny

<http://www.csun.edu/~vcgeo005/Energy.html>

Oil and currency are two of the most powerful tools of control over mankind. Along with increasing the currency supply without increasing production, the price of oil probably has the biggest impact on inflation. Virtually all of the economy depends on oil in some way or another and if the price of oil increases the knock on effect will be an increase in most products and services in the economy. If you can control the amount of currency in circulation and the amount of oil available then you control the whole economy. Both currency creation and oil production and availability lie outside the control of the general public. I'll leave it to you to think about what all this means.

Monetary Giants

On July 1944 at the Mount Washington Hotel in Bretton Woods, New Hampshire the United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference was held. Delegates from forty-four nations created a new international monetary system known as the Bretton Woods system. Out of this conference two new institutions were created, The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund which is also known as the IMF. Here straight from the World Bank website.

Founded at the Bretton Woods conference in 1944, the two institutions have complementary missions. The World Bank Group works with developing countries to reduce poverty and increase shared prosperity, while the International Monetary Fund serves to stabilize the international monetary system and acts as a monitor of the world's currencies. The World Bank Group provides financing, policy advice, and technical assistance to governments, and also focuses on strengthening the private sector in developing countries. The IMF keeps track of the economy globally and in member countries, lends to countries with balance of payments difficulties, and gives practical help to members. Countries must first join the IMF to be eligible to join the World Bank Group; today, each institution has 189 member countries.

The World Bank Group

The World Bank Group is one of the world's largest sources of funding and knowledge for developing countries. Its five institutions share a commitment to reducing poverty, increasing shared prosperity, and promoting sustainable development.

Together, IBRD and IDA form the World Bank, which provides financing, policy advice, and technical assistance to governments of developing countries. IDA focuses on the world's poorest countries, while IBRD assists middle-income and creditworthy poorer countries.

IFC, MIGA, and ICSID focus on strengthening the private sector in developing countries. Through these institutions, the World Bank Group provides financing, technical assistance, political risk insurance, and settlement of disputes to private enterprises, including financial institutions.

The International Monetary Fund

The IMF works to foster global monetary cooperation, secure financial stability, facilitate international trade, promote high employment and sustainable economic growth, and reduce poverty around the world.

The IMF's primary purpose is to ensure the stability of the international monetary system—the system of exchange rates and international payments that enables countries and their citizens to transact with each other. It does so by keeping track of the global economy and the economies of member countries, lending to countries with balance of payments difficulties, and giving practical help to members.

Currently there are only five countries that are non-members of the World Bank; Andorra, Cuba, Liechtenstein, Monaco, and North Korea. The main thing to understand about the World Bank is that it is lending money to poor developing countries with the understanding that they will have to promote the private sector. Privatization of water has been one of the main stipulations in receiving loans. Privatization is really taking national wealth and resources owned by the people and passing it on to the corporate elite.

...for thy merchants were the great men of the earth; for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived.

And in her was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth. - Revelation 18, 23-24

The Cochabamba Water War

In Bolivia between December 1999 and April 2000 was an example where the World Bank agreed to lend money on the basis that they privatized the water supply. The company Aguas del Tunari - a consortium between the British firm International Waters (55 per cent) - itself is a subsidiary of the construction giant Bechtel (USA) and United Utilities (UK) - the engineering and construction firm Abengoa of Spain (25 per cent) and four Bolivian companies. There are many details to this piece of history but the main point is the people had to pay for a massive hike in prices for a basic need for every human being. Foreign companies were only in the country to make financial investments for the purpose of profit and it was all backed by the World Bank. They were charging people higher rates and high prices just for connecting to the mains water.

At one point it was said they were basically privatizing the rainwater as it could not be collected by individuals as it belonged to the company. This was a turning point for the people and eventually led to what is known as “the great walk”. As I have an interest in Latin American politics I was following the happenings in Bolivia at that time. It is very hard now to search the internet and find information around these events but my vague memory tells me Evo Morales walked for about 28 days across Bolivia picking up people as he went. His destination was La Paz, the capital, and I believe he arrived there with about 250 000 people. They sat in the main plaza and put their demands in to the politicians. It is important to understand that these were demands that were not up for debate. They sat with the mind-set of intending to stay until their demands were met and nothing less.

I remember one of the demands were against large land owners. This resulted in large land owners being able to keep their land but on the condition it should be used for productive purposes. The important thing to note is it was peaceful and that the people had a firm mind-set in that they would not leave until they had fulfilled their objectives.

In 2006 left leaning Evo Morales became the first indigenous leader of Bolivia and he stayed until 2019. His election was a reaction to the never ending story of corporate takeover and abuses in Latin America. It is understandable to see why Marxism has always had a strong following in Latin America.

Ukrainian Farmland

There is an enormous history to the war in Ukraine away from the one-sided propaganda from the BBC. Here we will just touch on one example of farmland and how big corporations work with the IMF who enables them to invade countries through Free Trade and privatization. The reality in Ukraine is a take-over of the running of a country known to have the most prime arable land in Europe. Following news articles over the years it is clear there has been a long plan to sell-off and privatise arable land in Ukraine coming from the World Bank and IMF. Taking control over a massive food production area gives corporations immense power over the people as food is an absolute necessity of life. It is well known Bill Gates is the biggest farmland owner in the US.

Russia says Western investment in Ukraine's farms is a plot to take over the world

MOSCOW — Ukraine has long tried to sell itself to Europe as the once-and-future breadbasket of the continent, promising that Western investment is the key to making its under-exploited black earth bloom. But official Russia would like you to know that all this agricultural development talk is really just a secret plot to help companies like Monsanto take over the world. Russian Security Council Secretary Nikolai Patrushev told a meeting of his counterparts in the Shanghai Cooperation Organization on Tuesday that the West plans to grow “genetically modified crops” in Ukraine. And it’s a fool’s errand too, he suggested, because, “to put it mildly, Europe will not approve of such products.” “It is becoming increasingly obvious that Western countries

are not planning to restore the Ukrainian economy. Rather, they are going to turn Ukraine into an agrarian country,” Patrushev said, in comments carried by Russian news service Interfax.-The Washington Post April 15, 2015

Zelensky demands swift end to Ukraine ban on farmland sales

Parliament must also speed up privatisation and other stalled reforms, says president - Financial Times - September 2 2019

Ukraine opens up land market in bid for \$8 billion IMF package

KIEV (Reuters) - Ukrainian lawmakers voted on Tuesday to lift a ban on the sale of farmland that has stood for nearly two decades, clearing one of the hurdles needed to unlock an \$8 billion loan package from the International Monetary Fund. President Volodymyr Zelenskiy had urged lawmakers to support lifting the ban or risk plunging the country, one of Europe’s poorest, into default due to the economic shock from the coronavirus pandemic.

At an emergency session that started on Monday and lasted until past midnight, lawmakers also passed a banking reform bill, another IMF requirement, at the first reading but failed to approve a revised emergency budget for 2020. - REUTERS March 30, 2020

Three Large American Multinationals Bought 17 Million Hectares of Ukrainian Agricultural Land

Three large American multinationals bought 17 million hectares of Ukrainian agricultural land. These are Cargill, Dupont and Monsanto (which is officially German-Australian but with American capital). Five percent of Ukrainian agricultural land was subsequently purchased by the Chinese state. For comparison, the whole of Italy has 16.7 million hectares of agricultural land.

In short, three American companies bought more useful agricultural

land in Ukraine than the whole of Italy.

Among the main shareholders of these three companies are Vanguard, Blackrock, Blackstone. - May 27, 2022 Australian National Review

Although the last article is very short and does not give links backing up the statement, it does not take much research to see these big corporations have huge investments in Ukraine. It is very hard indeed to find any other news items directly stating this so I have added this link, direct from Cargill's website, showing their huge influence in Ukraine and especially in the grain market. A search for the other big companies will show you similar results.

Cargill becomes majority shareholder of its joint venture Neptune at Port Pivdennyi on the Black Sea

<https://www.cargill.com/2021/cargill-becomes-majority-shareholder-of-its-joint-venture>

These are just two examples of the work of The World Bank and the IMF, run by elites for elites. Free Trade and privatization forced on countries by World Bankers is a way of stealing the world's wealth and resources and therefore controlling the world's population. It's never really about money. **It's always about control.**

The World Bank and the IMF both have their headquarters in Washington D.C, formally known as the District of Columbia. It was founded in 1791 to serve as the national capitol. Capitol Hill in Washington D.C is known as a metonym for the United States Congress which is the seat of power in the US. Capitoline Hill in Rome was earlier known as Mons Saturnius, dedicated to the god Saturn and symbolized the epicentre of the Roman Empire and their power. In Washington D. C you will also find the Federal Reserve, a private bank that controls the currency supply and monetary policy of the US. This again is an area in need of a great deal of research and beyond what this book is really about. It does seem clear though that Washington D.C, like the City of London and the Vatican City, are all

independent masonic states residing within, and controlling, a host nation. This would indicate that all these organizations have their own agenda and do not represent the people of the nations they reside in. There is something here that is beyond nations and it is a sign that there is an organization that is covertly controlling and holding the world to ransom. I use the word covertly but in reality they are “hidden in plain sight”. It actually looks exactly like a parasitic relationship. Here we have the definition of this relationship.

A parasitic relationship is one in which one organism, the parasite, lives off of another organism, the host, harming it and possibly causing death. The parasite lives on or in the body of the host.

Here we see the parasite is not part of the host, it attaches itself to the host for its own benefit and to the detriment of the host. For me this perfectly sums up the relationship with the International Banking System and humanity. It is interesting to note that in nature the parasite thrives in a weak host and not a healthy host. So when pointing the finger of blame maybe we should point it back at ourselves.

Central Banks

This is another huge area so I will try and sum it up as briefly as I can to get over the main points across.

The Riksdag, Sweden's parliament, in 1668, decided to found RiksensStänders Bank (the Estates of the Realm Bank), which in 1867 received the name SverigesRiksbank. The Riksbank is known as the world's oldest central bank.

Established in 1694 to act as the English Government's banker, the Bank of England is the model on which most modern central banks have been based. After a crushing defeat by France England's William III's government wanted to rebuild the naval fleet but a lack of credit made it impossible for the English government to borrow the £1,200,000 it wanted. King William and Queen Mary stated the bank was created to

“promote the public Good and Benefit of our People”. Here from the bank of England website.

The Bank of England was established in 1694 to raise a loan of £1,200,000 for the Government to finance the wars in France. Subscribers to Bank Stock were incorporated as ‘The Governor and Company of the Bank of England’. The first subscription produced 1,520 separate names, contributing from as little as £25 to £10,000 (the amount subscribed by King William and Queen Mary).

This gave the Bank of England, owned by private individuals, control over the nations finances. It was a modern form of the role of the Court Jew. The functions of a modern central bank include

Issuing the nation’s currency

Banker, Agent and Advisor to Government

Custodian of the nation’s cash reserves and foreign balances Lender of last resort

Clearing House

Controller of credit

Protection of depositor's interest

Controls interest rates

You could say a central bank is the bank to the government and the bank of banks that hold their reserves in the central bank. The central bank is supposed to control monetary policies and make sure inflation does not go over 2% so as to keep a stable economy, if though, inflation does go higher, there does not seem to be any consequence to the central bank. On the surface what we are told is that they work for the nation, work for the people and make sure the banks work for the people. With so much control for a private bank it seems crazy to expect they will not function for their own benefit.

The Bank of England Act 1946 brought all of the stock of the Bank of England into public ownership. The Bank of England was nationalized.

The Bank became an independent public organisation in 1998, wholly owned by the Treasury Solicitor on behalf of the government, but with independence in setting monetary policy. The important thing to notice here is it does not matter who owns the central bank at all, it is the function of the bank that matters, and the function of the bank did not change. The US Federal Reserve is openly a private bank controlling the nation's currency but again who owns the bank is not important. It's what the bank is doing.

This is the introduction to economist Richard Werner's book **Princes of the Yen: Japan's Central Bankers and the Transformation of the Economy**

This eye-opening book offers a disturbing new look at Japan's post-war economy and the key factors that shaped it. It gives special emphasis to the 1980s and 1990s when Japan's economy experienced vast swings in activity. According to the author, the most recent upheaval in the Japanese economy is the result of the policies of a central bank less concerned with stimulating the economy than with its own turf battles and its ideological agenda to change Japan's economic structure. The book combines new historical research with an in-depth behind-the-scenes account of the bureaucratic competition between Japan's most important institutions: the Ministry of Finance and the Bank of Japan. Drawing on new economic data and first-hand eyewitness accounts, it reveals little known monetary policy tools at the core of Japan's business cycle, identifies the key figures behind Japan's economy, and discusses their agenda. The book also highlights the implications for the rest of the world, and raises important questions about the concentration of power within central banks.

Richard Werner is known as "The Father of Quantitative Easing". We are told by economists that printing currency or creating new money causes inflation. This is actually not true as Richard Werner explains in his interviews and one of the biggest misunderstanding economists have.

The issue is not how much money you create but what you do with it after you create it. This we will go into more detail later. He clearly shows though that in Japan, where he has extensive experience working in finance, the central bank had their own agenda which was not for the benefit of the nation and the people. Again, it does not matter who owns the central bank, the real issue is what are they doing and what their intentions are.

City Remembrancer

The Remembrancer is one of the City of London Corporation's Chief Officers; the role dates back to 1571. His traditional role is as the channel of communications between the Lord Mayor and the City of London on the one hand and the Sovereign, Royal Household and Parliament on the other. The Remembrancer is also the City's Ceremonial Officer and Chief of Protocol.- Wikipedia

If you have ever wondered why money creation and the banking system are never challenged in Parliament this position could be why.

Is the position there to protect the interests of the parasite that is the City of London?

To think this role is just about tradition is naïve to say the least.

Everything is there for a reason.

Bank for International Settlements-BIS

Our mission is to support central banks' pursuit of monetary and financial stability through international cooperation, and to act as a bank for central banks.

Established in 1930, the BIS is owned by 63 central banks, representing countries from around the world that together account for about 95% of world GDP

This you could say is the bank of all banks. Its headquarters are in Basel, Switzerland.

But again, how many people have heard of this organization? Not many I am sure. Yet according to their own figures they control 95% of the world's GDP. So we have one giant bank controlling the smaller central banks that in turn control the money supply and monetary policies for most of the world's governments and its people. It is the bank of the central banks that in turn are the bank of the banks in the nations in which they reside.

This neutral country was never invaded during World War I and World War II and is not part of NATO. It has a strategy called "**armed neutrality**," which enables it to have an army to defend its own borders but not to participate in foreign wars. Now if all countries were to go for the strategy of "**armed neutrality**," there simply could not be any wars between nations. Now that's a nice thought.

The Great Fire of London 2-7 September 1666

In 2018 I did a talk in Cornwall entitled “Austerity and the National Debt - The Big Lie”. I went through the history of money and how it functions in society similar to this book here. I mentioned in the talk that I believed, though without evidence, the Great Fire of London was a planned event with the purpose of reconstructing the City of London to be the financial capital of the world. For me the fire was just too good to be true and too much of a coincidence. It was clear that redevelopment of the old wooden city would be needed if the vision to build a new financial centre was to be realised. Here I am going to show you just some of the in-depth research of Professor Gloria Moss.

Fire of London 2 – 7 Sep 1666

13 200 houses destroyed, 87 parish churches, the Royal Exchange, Guildhall and St. Paul’s cathedral. Before the fire 350 000 lived in London. It is estimated 100 000 people were made homeless with the value of property destroyed put at around £1.5 billion in today’s money.

Official story

It began on 2 September, spread down Pudding Lane, Fish Lane and to the Thames. There was no organised fire service and the city had suffered a long, dry summer with a drought. Thomas Farriner had claimed that:

“At midnight, a few hours before the fire broke out, only one grate had any smouldering embers and these had all been raked over”.

He had gone through every room in the bakery after midnight and all the doors and windows had been closed to prevent draughts. His son Thomas and his daughter, Hannah, were signatories on the bill accusing the Frenchman Robert Hubert of the fire.

Thomas Farriner died just four years later. Robert Hubert who confessed to starting the fire was hung at Tyburn on 26 Oct 1666.

Was there a plot?

An academic refers to the idea of a plot as a 'plot mentality' (Marshall, 2016).

1. The original monument inscription: In perpetual remembrance of the most dreadful burning of this protestant city, begun and carried on by the treachery and malice of the popish faction" (erased in 1830)
2. Some contemporaries suspected foul play including Farriner himself concluded that 'it was absolutely set fire on purpose' (October Sessions of the Old Bailey, London 1666)
3. Edward Hyde, The Lord Chancellor, told King Charles II that 'there was a universal conclusion that this fire came not by chance'.

Fire and Wind

Fires were common during that time.

Modern scholars say that the summer had been hot and dry, and that the 'houses creaked with dry joints' BUT there was a fire in 1633 also a time of drought and this fire destroyed no more than 122 buildings (42 at the northern end of London Bridge and 80 on Thames Street). Compare this with the 300 buildings burned down in Sep 1666 in the space of just the 1st morning.

Thomas Vincent referred to the 'strange spreading of the fire' on 2 Sep and how on 3 Sep the fire worked 'fast against the wind' so that it 'wrought backwards strangely against the wind' moving its way eastwards to Tower Street.

John Evelyn described a 'fierce, eastern wind' but noted how on 3 Sep the fire 'kindled back against the wind as well as forward'.

Anomalies

Thomas Vincent highlighted many interesting things:

(a) He spoke of rumours that fire balls were thrown to provoke the

furious flames

(b) On 4 Sep he expressed surprise at the way that St Paul's Cathedral, then the largest church in Britain and the 3rd largest in Europe, had been affected by the fire. If you analyse what he said, there are no fewer than 3 factors underlying his concern.

Construction of the building: he singled out the fact that the building was made of stone and that great beams and stones fell and 'great flakes of stone scaled and peeled off strangely from the sides of the walls.'

Location of St Pauls: it was 'naked of houses about it' (you can see this in contemporary engravings) and also stood high above all buildings in the City (it was atop Ludlow Hill).

Where fire took hold – he wrote that 'strangely the cathedral took the fire at the top', a phenomenon clearly illustrated in Hollar's engraving of 1666 showing the fire coming from, inside the roof of the building.

Vincent's opinion? 'It smelt of a Papish design'.

Slow firefighting

Thomas Bloodworth, Mayor of London declared

a. That the fire was so small, 'a woman might piss it out' (so the normal process of forcible demolition of buildings was not used until the 3rd day of the fire when it had already spread extensively) and

b. He declined to supply additional soldiers on the first day of the fire.

Responsibility for beating fires also lay with men at fire posts. But

i. Samuel Pepys noted on 1st day 'nobody to my sight endeavouring to quench it'

ii. On 3rd Sep (2nd day) Thomas Vincent noted how the wood removed from houses had been placed in the spacious and fashionable shopping

area of Cornhill (towards the north of the city) but had not been removed from there. This allowed the wood to catch fire.

iii. On 4th day of the fire, 5 Sep, the diarist, John Evelyn noted how the Gentlemen ‘began to bestir themselves, and not till now, who had stood as men interdict, with their hands a cross’.

iv. On Thurs 6 Sep, many of the constables who should have been at fire posts were reported as absent by the Earl of Oxford who was undertaking a check.

Poor organisation or purposeful negligence?

Just 4 days later, on 11 Sep, Christopher Wren presented his plan for the City to the King. NB On 1 May 1666 (before the fire) he had recommended replacing the tower of St Pauls with a dome, and estimates were ordered on 27 August 1666.

Just 6 days later 13 Sept Charles II issued a Declaration speaking of his desire for “a much more beautiful City,” and stating that with the assistance of the Mayor and Aldermen a design would be set outin a short time” (His Majesties’ Declaration).

Suppression of information x 2

a. People were arrested who published and sold unlicensed books and broadsheets. This suppressed all unauthorised accounts and rumours that the fire had been started by conspirators.

b. The Privy Council in 1667 ordered the public burning of a pamphlet that could have provided evidence presented to the Commons’ inquiry into the causes of the Fire (Dolan, 2001).

Legal action x 3

(a) The favouring of Landlords

The landowners and Crown benefited from the fire and the financing of new stone and brick buildings. Most Londoners lived as leaseholders,

renting their homes from owners. Their leases obligated them to: (a) cover the full costs of any damage and (b) to pay the rent for the full term of the lease even if the property no longer existed.

The trial and execution of Robert Hubert in October 1666 made the fire an act of war and put the responsibility back onto the property owners but a parliamentary report in Jan 1667 concluded that the fire was not a malicious act and put responsibility back again onto tenants. To cover rebuilding costs, Charles II ordered a new tax in 1667 on coal arriving in London, a tax that was doubled in 1670.

(b) Cestuique vie act

Passed behind closed doors, it ensured that all men and women of UK were declared dead and lost beyond the seas. The state took everybody and everybody's property into trust.

(c) Finance

Rebuilding London after the Great Fire caused an explosion in the City of London Corporation's debts, forcing it to default 16 years later in 1683.

However, through some legal manoeuvring - Parliament initiated a Quo Warrant to trial against the Corporation in 1681 and in 1683 terminated its charter and sovereignty. This meant that the Corporation was no longer a legal entity responsible for its debts. When it defaulted a few months later, there were no repercussions for any of its shareholders; none of its shareholders were ever held responsible for this unpaid debt (much of which was borrowed directly from the Treasury and the Orphans Fund).

The previous pages are the main highlights from this article by Professor Gloria Moss.

<https://off-guardian.org/2019/09/01/the-great-fire-of-london-cui-bono/>

The Business of War

“If wars can be started with lies, they can be stopped by truth”. - Julian Assange

In his two books “Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler” and “Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution” Anthony C Sutton shows the funding of communism and fascism by western bankers.


“The contribution made by American capitalism to German war preparations can only be described as phenomenal. It was certainly crucial to German military capabilities...Not only was an influential sector of American business aware of the nature of Nazism, but for its own purposes aided Nazism wherever possible (and profitable) - with full knowledge that the probable outcome would be war involving Europe and the United States'. Penetrating a cloak of falsehood, deception and duplicity, Professor Antony C. Sutton reveals one of the most remarkable but unreported facts of the Second World War: that key Wall Street banks and American businesses supported Hitler's rise to power by financing and trading with Nazi Germany”


“Why did the 1917 American Red Cross Mission to Russia include more financiers than medical doctors? Rather than caring for the victims of war and revolution, its members seemed more intent on negotiating contracts with the Kerensky government, and subsequently the Bolshevik regime. In a courageous investigation, Antony Sutton establishes tangible historical links between US capitalists and Russian communists.”

We can see that when you fund all sides you always win. You win the war and you cash in on everybody who takes part, willingly or unwillingly.

Below graph source ONS

Public sector net borrowing excluding public sector banks, percentage GDP, UK, FYE 1901 to FYE 2022





The two graphs clearly show how much debt war creates. Debt to a nation is money owed to the banking and corporate system. War really is a great business to be in unless you are a regular human being just wanting to get on with your life as most of us are. People don't want war. Bankers want war, the military industrial complex wants war, big corporations want war, politicians want war and the men in uniform need war to give meaning to their existence. Some are being used and

have been fooled into believing they are fighting to defend freedom, others sadly thrive on it. The populations who do not want war are the ones who suffer at the hands of these maniacs.

Who really gains when the West invades Iraq, Libya, Syria and the rest? Take Iraq for example. Invaded on a lie and bombed out of existence and as usual the big banks and corporations and the industrial military complex all made a huge killing, literally. The resources of the countries were taken under control and “democracy and freedom” was given to the people of Iraq. Yes, about 800 000 innocent Iraqis were slaughtered in the name of freeing them from an evil dictator. Another important thing to remember is that the British public overwhelmingly did not want this slaughter in Iraq. Yet despite the protest of the people the British Government used our money and did it anyway. That is your freedom and democracy for you. The world is mad.


Does it not seem strange that we are told Communism, Fascism and Democracy are all opposing systems of governance and yet they are funded by the same financial powers?

Can you see elements of all these tried systems as the world “**builds back better**”.

In the West we have Democracy, we have a vote. Yet if the last two years are anything to go by a Fascist Dictatorship is being built with the smell of Global Communism around the corner. It’s almost like a great experiment in control and governance has been going on the last century and all the things that have been shown to work in terms of controlling people are constructing this “**New World Order**”.

Opium cultivation in Afghanistan, 1994-2013

Hectares (thousands)



Source: UNODC Afghanistan Opium Survey 2013

It seems the US and UK forces were not interested in destroying the opium crop in Afghanistan that is a major factor in the breakdown of society in the West. The data suggests that they were there to protect the production and ensure it continues to get onto the streets in the West. Why? Well you cannot control a healthy, clear minded population with a strong sense of community. It is a deliberate attack on the family unit that holds society together.

France and US killed Qaddafi for his gold and oil

Bob Fitrakis / April 16, 2016 – Canadian Dimension

With the recent release of Hillary Clinton’s emails by Wikileaks, the public now knows exactly how the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) went from a collective defense organization to the new Barbary Coast Pirates of imperialism.

During the 2011 Libyan uprising, the United Nations Security Council passed Resolution 1973 which called for a ceasefire and authorized military action to protect civilian lives. A coalition formed, centered around NATO with the March 17, 2011 passing of the Resolution. Its purpose – a so-called “no-fly zone” over Libya.

The irony that the U.S.-dominated NATO military organization would be concerned with “protecting” Arab civilians is all too obvious since the United States is the nation most responsible for killing Arab civilians.

The real reasons for the attack have been dealt with most directly by America’s famous reformed “economic hitman,” John Perkins.

Perkins points out that the attack on Libya, like the attack on Iraq, has to do with power and control of resources, not only oil, but gold. Libya has the highest standard of living in Africa. “According to the IMF, Libya’s Central Bank is 100% state owned. The IMF estimates that the bank has nearly 144 tons of gold in its vaults,” Perkins wrote.

NATO went there like modern Barbary Coast Pirates – to loot Libya’s gold. The Russian media, in addition to Perkins, reported that the Pan-Africanist Qaddafi, the former President of the African Union, had been advocating that Africa use the gold so plentiful in Libya and South Africa to create an African currency based on a gold dinar.

“It is significant that in the months running up to the UN resolution that allowed the U.S. and its allies to send troops into Libya, Muammar al-

Qaddafi was openly advocating the creation of a new currency that would rival the dollar and the euro. In fact, he called upon African and Muslim nations to join an alliance that would make this new currency, the gold dinar, their primary form of money and foreign exchange. They would sell oil and other resources to the US and the rest of the world only for gold dinars,” Perkins explained.

<https://canadiandimension.com/articles/view/france-and-us-killed-qaddafi-for-his-gold-and-oil>

Any war has to be sold to the public and demonising the leader of a country through the controlled media gets public backing through fear and disgust. And if they don't fall for it then they just invade anyway knowing there is nothing the public will do. The obsession of the West with going around the world and invading countries in the name of “freedom and democracy” is really about getting them into the debt based system. The countries demonised in the West are mainly countries who wish to take control and ownership of their own infrastructure and resources. Yes, Socialism has never worked and the regimes in Communist Russia and China are just two examples that have killed tens of millions. I am not defending regimes like these. I am simply pointing out that the western interest has nothing to do with freeing the people from a regime. It's about taking control of the money supply, resources and enslaving them into debt.

Saudi Arabia has a horrific regime with horrific human rights abuses yet the West does billions of dollars' worth of business with it in the form of military goods to bomb the hundreds of thousands in the disaster that is Yemen. Not only do our politicians do business with this regime and others in the business of war, but one man, former US President Barack Obama, even got the Nobel Prize for peace for being the biggest warmonger of the lot. Yes, it's so obvious and yet we let them get away with it. Obama gets the Nobel Peace Prize and Blair gets knighted and they are both warmongers.

The whole of western civilisation is built on debt but the good times are over. The whole world has looked on wanting to be part of the western success but has neglected to look at the bank balances of these nations. The success and wealth of the Democratic West was destined to collapse from its very conception due to its foundation in debt. Yes some very brave men have tried to challenge this, some American Presidents tried, but the bankers by fraud, force or assassination got their way. The days of freedom in democratic nations are over as debt slaves live to serve their master until the debt is paid back. This debt though can never be paid back.

The 2008 Financial Crash

We are told that the 2008 financial crash was caused by a housing bubble in the US. Interest rates were low and times were good on the surface, so people bought houses a bit bigger and grander than they normally would as credit was easy to come by. The subprime market was booming with people who would normally struggle to be accepted for a mortgage getting these mortgages, but at a higher interest rate, the subprime rate. The dreams and aspirations for owning a nice home for many Americans were being materialized, even those who could not really afford it. These loans were then sold on to the world-wide casino, something of which I know very little about except the term **casino** seems to sum it up nicely.

In 2004 The US Federal Reserve started raising interest rates and about Oct 2006 the rate hit 5.25% and stayed there till about Aug 2007. With interest rates so high mortgages were less inviting and the price of a home began to plummet leaving the subprime borrowers owing more money than the value of their home. They were in negative equity with mortgages with rising interest rates, and consequently higher repayments. The main issue here not often mentioned is that new money, or credit, was being created but not being put into the real economy. This meant a mass of people were getting into mortgage debt without the backing of a thriving economy. There was only one thing that could happen, a housing asset bubble. From 2007 one subprime lender after another went bankrupt as borrowers could not pay back the loans even by selling as the plummeting house prices bankrupted everyone. The knock on effect was the total crash of the system.

In about 2005 Investor Michael Burry told his investors to place a bet against the housing market. When his bet came in after the crash he returned a personal profit of \$100 million and \$700 million for his investors in what is known as “short selling”.


Shorting the Market

Short selling occurs when an investor borrows a security and sells it on the open market, planning to buy it back later for less money. Short-sellers bet on, and profit from, a drop in a security's price. This can be contrasted with long investors who want the price to go up.


Imagine if you spend all day watching a system you know is rigged and you know how it really works. Enormous amounts of money can be made gambling on people's lives. It's a sorry state of affairs when so much wealth can be gained from so much misery.

Here are some graphs that will give you a picture of what was happening around that time. As the second graph is color-coded and will print in black and white I will say the \$100 dollar represents the larger shade with the \$50 next. It works out the smaller the bill the less it is represented.


Below- Federal funds effective/interest rate in the US 2000-2022 – source statista



Value of Currency in Circulation*
(Billions of dollars, as of December 31 of each year)



*Includes Federal Reserve notes, U.S. notes, and currency no longer issued, but does not include denominations larger than the \$100 denomination.




Previous graph-Value of consumer credit outstanding in the United States from 2000 to 2020 – source statista

You can see how the lowering of interest rates was enticing people to get mortgages they would not normally afford. There was not a substantial increase in the amount of currency in circulation 2005-2007. The amount of new money (credit/debt) circulating was slightly increasing until 2008 when it started to fall until 2011. There are many ways to interpret this information but it seems that after luring people in with cheap interest rates, available credit and mortgages being given out without any real checks the asset bubble burst and banks stopped lending or creating credit/ new money as they did before even though they received huge amounts of bailout money. What this actually does is reduce the amount of money in circulation.

When credit is given it increases the money supply but as it is paid back it takes that new money/credit out of circulation as it is based on a debt. We know the governments bailed out the banks and massive money printing or quantitative easing ensued. It seems the massive amounts of debt taken on by the US Government in the form of money to bail out the banks did not go into the real economy.

U.S. National Debt Is Growing Rapidly


Federal debt held by the public as a percentage of GDP



@StatistaCharts

Source: Congressional Budget Office

Forbes **statista**



In the UK the situation was the same, with incredible amounts of money used to bail out the banks. It seems the businesses who caused the problem, within the banking system, were bailed out by the government on behalf of the people without the people's consent. The banks then, instead of lending out money into the collapsing economy, simply hoarded it and even had the audacity to pay themselves huge bonuses. The banks then went about repossessing/stealing the houses of the people caught up in the gun fire and who through no fault of their own could not pay their mortgages. All of this was supported by the government, the police, the courts, bailiffs and local councils.

After this crash I was not happy with the explanation of the world governments, economists and the banks. First, I knew nothing about economics and second I didn't really know anything about money. Something though did not smell right.

In 2009/2010 I saw the documentary "money as debt" on YouTube and instantly all the pieces fell into place. I could also see that the system that caused the problem was not fixed and that the only outcome would be another crash, but this time even bigger. The British Government said they had learnt their lessons, they really had no choice to bail out the banks and it will never happen again. Yet me, an uneducated window cleaner, could see the future. It was obvious. It was calculated and could not be avoided. The one academic subject I did enjoy in school was maths and easily got my "O" level qualification. In maths $2 + 2$ always equals 4, unless you are a quantum physicist.

Things clearly did not add up. George Osborne, the Chancellor of the Exchequer in the UK at the time, said they would "ring fence" high street banks from investment banking so the disaster would not happen again. Well if there is one thing I know about crooks is that they can get under, over and around fences. Complete separation was needed. But as we have seen earlier Mr Osborne has a special relationship with investment banks as "The Rothschild Letter" shows. It was clear to me that the

accepted cycles of boom and bust were not just an unwanted side effect of the system, but they were an effect deliberately put into the system. After each cycle wealth could be pushed into the hands of the global elite who were running and controlling the whole show.

-The banks control the amount of new money/electric currency in circulation at any given time.

-The central banks control the nation's currency and the interest rate at any given time and enslave the host nation into debt.

-The banks control where the flow of new money goes.

They can, and do, create boom and bust as they see fit. Add to this the fact that a lot of investment banking is based on information and even rumours and that the corporate elite control the press and the politicians, then complete manipulation over the whole financial system is easy.

The UK Debt

National debt clock UK 9/7/2022 - **£2 698 750 600 000-** and growing at a rate of **£5 170** per second. The clock calculates this at **£43 345** per citizen and **£74 554** per tax payer.

NOTE –the debt clock refers to the UK Government debt only, not the full National debt.

The national debt clock counter also states this

UK National Debt Surpassed £1 Trillion

Mainstream media headlines today are focused on Britain's record national debt, which just surpassed £1 trillion, a figure that can only exponentially increase unless the entire mechanism of Government finance is overhauled. The truth however is much worse, factoring in all liabilities including state and public sector pensions, the real national debt is closer to £4.8 trillion, some £78,000 for every person in the UK.

Here from are some interesting data points from

<https://themoneycharity.org.uk/money-statistics/march-2022/>

The average total debt per household, including mortgages, was **£63,582**.

People in the UK owed **£1,767.1 billion** at the end of January 2022.

Per adult this was **£33,410**, around **107.5%** of average earnings. This is up from the revised **£33,382** a month earlier.

Based on January 2022 numbers, the UK's total interest payments on personal debt over a 12-month period would have been **£44,940 million**, an average of **£123 million** per day.

The average annual interest per household would have been £1,617 and per person £850, 2.73% of average earnings.

At the end of January 2022, outstanding consumer credit lending was £198.0 billion, falling by £110 million on the revised total for the previous month.

Within the total, outstanding credit card debt came to £58.4 billion, an increase of 3.11% in the year to January 2022. Credit card debt averaged £2,100 per household and £1,104 per adult.

Net mortgage lending rose by £5.60 billion in the month, while net consumer credit lending decreased by £64 million.

Government debt increased by £204 million a day in the three months to February 2022.

Borrowers paid £123 million a day in interest in January 2022.


Here are some interesting things to notice.

Government debt plus household debt comes in at **£ 4 465 850 600 000**. Yes, well over four trillion and counting and this does not include the enormous black hole that is the non-existent pension fund.

Banks make **£44,940 million** a year or **£123 million per day** for creating new money out of thin air on computer screens.


It seems mortgage lending is on the increase while consumer credit lending is on the decline. This means that more money is being created to finance an asset, a house, and less money is being pumped into the economy. We know interest rates have been rock bottom for a long time and are now increasing. Is this 2008 all over again?

Remember it is the banks who decide how much new money is in circulation and where it goes.



Source: Office for National Statistics - Public Sector Finances

UK Debt Interest Payments



www.economicshelp.org | Source: ONS and Office for Budget responsibility

The two previous graphs, though not fully up-to-date, show you the huge uptake of UK Government debt as a % of GDP after the 2008 crash and the interest payment on UK Government debt. This money goes directly into the pockets of the big banks, insurance companies and corporations who buy the Government Bonds. This means that we, as the hard working taxpayer, have over one billion pounds of our money stolen from us every week by the government, which is then put into the hands of the global corporate elite.

Some of this debt is held by the Bank of England when they buy government debt by the process of Quantitative Easing. This is basically creating money out of thin air and buying existing UK Government debt. This can be seen as the government, through the Bank of England, buying up its own debt thereby reducing it. Only the debt is still held by the Bank of England. This new money is given to the pension fund or whoever holds the bonds and is supposed to be used to stimulate the economy as the pension funds will invest this money in other financial assets. There is nothing here though about the money being used in the real economy of producing consumer goods. The pension funds will just gamble it in the great casino as usual.

Below- an extract from the Bank of England website

Why do we use quantitative easing?

It's our job to keep the prices of things you buy low and stable, as this helps to support people's jobs and incomes.

Rising prices is called inflation and the UK government has set us an inflation target.

To keep inflation on target we usually change a key interest rate in the economy (called Bank Rate). Changes in Bank Rate feed through to how much interest you get on savings, and how much interest you pay on a loan. That affects the amount of spending in the economy and so helps inflation to either fall or rise.

But things changed during the Global Financial Crisis that began in 2008. At that time, we quickly reduced Bank Rate from 5% to 0.5% to help the UK economy recover.

Even with Bank Rate that low, we needed to do more to boost the economy and meet our inflation target. That's where quantitative easing comes in.

How does quantitative easing work?

Quantitative easing (or QE) acts in a similar way to cuts in Bank Rate. It lowers the interest rates on savings and loans. And that stimulates spending in the economy.

Here's how QE works:

We buy UK government bonds or corporate bonds from other financial companies and pension funds.

When we do this, the price of these bonds tend to increase which means that the bond yield, or 'interest rate' that holders of these bonds get, goes down.

The lower interest rate on UK government and corporate bonds then feeds through to lower interest rates on loans for households and businesses. That helps to boost spending in the economy and keep inflation at target.

QE also affects the prices of other assets like shares and property.

Here's an example. Say we buy £1 million of government bonds from a pension fund. In place of those bonds, the pension fund now has £1 million in cash.


Rather than hold on to that cash, it will normally invest it in other financial assets, such as shares, that give it a higher return.

In turn, that tends to push up on the value of shares, making households and businesses holding those shares wealthier. That makes them likely to spend more, boosting economic activity.

So if it is the job of the Bank of England to **keep the prices of things you buy low and stable** then why can they simply not do it? **They** are supposed to be the experts. There are many people who saw the 2008 crash was coming and yet they, the experts, seemed so surprised. **They**

are supposed to be the experts yet here we are again on the verge of financial and economic Armageddon. **They** claim to be experts and think they can create money and give it to financial institutions that have been shown to be corrupt and these institutions will do the right thing and put this money to use in the real economy of the production of consumer goods.

Below from The Bank of England website regarding The Bank of England Quantitative Easing programme since the financial crash of 2008.



The big issue here is not how much money is being created, but where it's going. Creating new money and giving it to big banks, corporations and pension funds in the hope they will do good for the real economy is wishful thinking at best. The only result will be inflation, or as we are seeing now with the billions created for the "Covid Economy", hyperinflation.

So let's be clear on this idea of the government creating a debt, borrowing money, in our name. If I were to take the identity of a friend and use his/her name to get credit and spend that money as I wish and then expect them to pay the bill, that would clearly be fraud and I would justly be arrested. This though is what the governments of the world do every day by selling government bonds backed by our name and without our consent. It is plain and simple fraud, only it is legal fraud. This money goes into wars, buying up of resources and the building of the global prison, a prison we ourselves are paying for. It is also clear that the Bank of England staff are **not** civil servants. Here from the **Bank of England Staff Handbook 2020**

“In 1946, the Bank of England Act brought the Bank into public ownership but provided for the continued existence of the Governor and Company under Royal Charter. Although the Bank thus became more than ever a national institution, the staff are not Civil Servants. However, because the Bank performs essential functions for the community, they are, in a very direct sense, public servants”.

So the Bank of England employees are employees of the corporation that is the Bank of England. The British Government may be the shareholders but the employees are bank employees and not civil servants. Civil Servants are employed by **The Crown**. Though **“politically impartial and independent of government”** and **“servants work in government departments and are therefore employed by Government Ministers”**.

“As we're accountable to the public we need to meet the highest possible standards in all that we do. We aim to have:
integrity - putting the obligations of public service above personal interests
honesty - being truthful and open
objectivity - basing advice and decisions on rigorous analysis of the evidence

impartiality - acting solely according to the merits of the case and serving governments of different political parties equally well”

So Bank of England employees are not “accountable to the public”.
Things are starting to make sense!

World debt data 2022

<https://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/countries-by-national-debt>

Japan, with its population of 127,185,332, has the highest national debt in the world at 234.18% of its GDP, followed by Greece at 181.78%. Japan's national debt currently sits at ¥1,028 trillion (\$9.087 trillion USD).

China's national debt is currently 54.44% of its GDP, a significant increase from 2014 when the national debt was at 41.54% of China's GDP. China's national debt is currently over ¥38 trillion (over \$5 trillion USD). An International Monetary Fund report from 2015 stated that China's debt is relatively low, and many economists have dismissed worries over the size of the debt both in its overall size and relative to China's GDP. China currently has the world's largest economy and the largest population of 1,415,045,928 people.

Russia's debt ratio is one of the lowest in the world at 19.48% of its GDP. Russia is the ninth least indebted country in the world. Russia's debt is currently at a total of over 14 billion pyб (\$216 billion USD). Most of Russia's external debt is private.

Germany's debt ratio is currently at 59.81% of its GDP. Germany's total debt is at approximately 2.291 trillion € (\$2.527 trillion USD). Germany is Europe's largest economy.

So how is it possible the whole world is in debt?

It seems all the governments are in debt via the selling of **bonds** (remember that word again). When the big corporations have taken over the government this could be called Fascism. Under Fascism your vote counts for nothing as the rules of living are given to you and there is no choice. You must comply!

Our own public servants have committed treason of the highest order and sold us out into an unlawful debt we can never pay back. Many have done it knowingly and many are just plain ignorant and too stupid to even see what they have done. Ignorance cannot be an excuse in their position, they have **ALL** committed Treason.

The Covid Economy

ON Feb 6 2020 I had a chat on my friend's sofa for his YouTube channel which has subsequently been taken down and deleted by YouTube. Not just the chat but the whole channel due to him talking about - amongst other things - "Hunter Biden's laptop". Following is a link to part of this chat from a back-up channel. We spoke of the "virus" hysteria taking place in China and noted that the West were looking on enviously of the power the Chinese Government had over its people. I stated that in my opinion it was a psychological operation straight out of the UN Agenda 21/30 programme. I stated in the chat **"the only thing going around the world making people ill is fear and hysteria"**.

<https://odysee.com/@jim1a2a:b/part-2-immunising-baby-s-rob-and-jim:3>

A few weeks before lockdown I did a public talk in Totnes in Devon about perception controlling behaviour and Mike Robinson of the UK Column also spoke and warned that whatever was to happen with "the virus", we did not know at that time, the crashing of the economy was certain and the "Green Economy" was going to be pushed through behind the smokescreen of "the pandemic". The UK Column has been one of the few organisations talking about money creation and the banking fraud that

goes with it. They have also been warning since 2008 that an enormous crash is on the way.

When the lockdown happened I just went home and had a cup of tea to see what my next move was going to be. After a day or so I decided my only move was to carry on as I normally would. The world may be going mad but I didn't have to go mad with it. The announcement of "3 weeks to flatten the curve" I knew, as I had tried to warn people, was the beginning of the end of the old normal. Things would never go back and this was it, the reshaping of the global society. When the obvious happened and lockdown stayed and the furlough scheme was announced it became clear that behind the Trojan Horse of "the Pandemic" the crashing of the financial system was being pushed forward. It was now a runaway train, unstoppable.

Then we had the nonsense of "the virus" being spread via cash. You could not make this up. In one move they had signalled the beginning of the end of this financial system and the cashless "Great Reset" was eminent. I knew then that before long hyper-inflation was round the corner. How far I wasn't sure, but it was on the way. You simply cannot create new money and give it to people to not work. Money is just a representation of goods for a transaction. When you create new money and basically close down the majority of the economy even an uneducated window cleaner can tell you what happens next.

Does it not seem strange to you that our highly educated and highly paid economists were telling us things were ok and a quick recovery was around the corner?

So who really gained from this "free money" bonanza?

Amazon certainly gained as people were sat at home, imprisoned at home, with all this free money and nothing to do but shop online. China certainly gained as most of the stuff bought on Amazon is produced there. The continued lockdown though in China is now starting

to affect their economy and it is believed their banks are on the verge of collapse as I write. Big supermarkets and businesses gained as all small stores were either forced to close or were struggling with the rules. Masks manufacturers and disposable glove makers gained, not to mention toilet roll makers.

Above all medical science and tech giants had the biggest gain from vaccine manufacturing, producers of track and trace systems, PCR test kits, lateral flow test kits, respirators. The drug midazolam saw a huge increase in use in care homes killing an unknown number of elderly people. Boris Johnson pledged £330 million per year over the next five years of our money to Bill Gates and his GAVI organisation. So am I right in thinking that the world is giving billions of dollars to fund a project, that will make a product, that will be sold back to the very nations that funded it? Seems like a good business deal to me and not the actions of a rich caring philanthropist. The NHS budgeted £37 billion for the first two years of track and trace to track and trace the British public and not a virus. The UK Government claimed they underspent by £8.7 billion in the first year but as we are talking in billions it's hardly relevant.

House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts

Test and Trace update

Twenty-Third Report of Session 2021–22

HC 182 Published on 27 October 2021 by authority of the House of Commons – Government paper

“NHST&T’s continued over-reliance on consultants is likely to cost taxpayers hundreds of millions of pounds. Our previous report found that NHST&T was overly reliant on expensive contractors and temporary staff and recommended that it needed to reduce this. Despite NHST&T committing to reduce the number of consultants it employed, the number of consultants employed was higher in April 2021 (2,239) than in December 2020 (2,164). The Department pays

consultants an average of £1,100 per day but some are paid more. NHST&T does not have a firm grip on its overall spending on consultants. It estimates that it will spend a total of £195 million on consultancy in 2021–22, but at the same time, indicated it would be spending £300 million on its top ten consultancy suppliers alone.”

This doesn't even include the unknown millions of our money given to the mainstream media by the British Government to push out unscientific propaganda so that people would accept being locked down and needing permission to have a cup of tea with their own mother.

99.5% of government Covid debt has been matched by so called Bank of England ‘money printing’ – Extract from NEW ECONOMICS FOUNDATION 25 October 2021

Between April 2020 and July 2021, the Bank of England’s ‘money printing’ programme – creating new money to buy up government debt — matched 99.5% of total new debt issued by government to pay for Covid support schemes like furlough, new analysis from NEF shows. While total borrowing between March 2020 and July 2021 was £413bn, the Bank of England’s total purchase of government debt was £412bn, or 99.5%.

For the most recent financial year, the percentage is even higher, with new net government debt coming to £320.5bn in 2020/21 and net new purchases of government debt at the Bank of England coming to £328bn over the same period. This move has helped to bring government borrowing costs to record lows and shows that government is sometimes effectively able to finance itself in times of crisis, with the Bank of England passing any profit it makes on debt interest back to the Treasury. This is one example of how debt-to-GDP ratios, or other simplistic indicators linked to the government’s balance sheet, fail to capture the complex, multifaceted nature of fiscal space – the amount a government can safely borrow.

So the whole “covid economy” was funded by new debt and new money creation. I will continue to repeat this. Increasing the money supply does **NOT** cause inflation. It’s what you do, or don’t do, with it that does. The whole covid economy was created to pass money to the selected elites. In reality money was borrowed and created and made into a debt in our name so that the politicians could launder off this money to their elite friends to aid them build the global prison of control, a prison we will pay for in absolute poverty and hardship whilst they enjoy champagne cocktails on the beach paid for by us. It’s a scam folks and they don’t even try to hide it.

Digital Currency

Bitcoin

A new style currency invented in 2008 by an unknown person or group of people using the name Satoshi Nakamoto. **“Bitcoin (฿) is a decentralized digital currency that can be transferred on the peer-to-peer bitcoin network”**– Wikipedia

This interesting and confusing alternative currency took the world by storm when it started being used in 2009. People are putting this forward as a solution to the global banking domination of our financial lives and that the “digital revolution” is here to save us from the globalist centralised plan. When I first heard of bitcoin I instinctively smelt a rat. Something was not right and I knew, as we will see, a digital currency was the globalists tool they were going to use to enslave us. Like any tool it can be used for good or bad depending on the intentions of the people who control it.

Here are my reasons for not backing bitcoin or other digital decentralised currencies as the solution to global slavery.

- 1 – I do not understand the technology or the terminology.
- 2 – It requires the use of the same technology that is being built to enslave us.
- 3 – It is a non-physical token of exchange and has no value in itself.
- 4 – It is generally valued in dollars.
- 5 – “They” have claimed ownership of all land, resources and people.

I believe I represent the majority of the population who simply do not understand the technological world in which we are being forced to live in. It has its conveniences yes, but as for understanding it most people like me just press the button we are told to press and let the system do the rest without really thinking about what is going on. I have done public talks about money and people try to convince me that if I understood it I

would “see the light” and realise its potential to free us all. But that is the whole point. I do not understand it and even the people who are trying to explain it to me don’t do a good job of explaining what it is and convincing me they understand it themselves. What is “mining” and “block chain” and how does the system really work? Maybe I am getting old and simply too stubborn to learn this new technology and maybe it is simple after all , but that is the point as the vast majority of people are like me. Either they don’t understand it, can’t understand it, or simply do not want to understand it. Areal solution has to be for everyone therefore everyone has to understand it.

We are being led into global slavery via the “smart grid”. The future prison will be one built out of technology (computer says no). Why would we think a solution will come from the same technology that is being built to enslave us?

In essence bitcoin is a pure token of exchange with which I see no problem. Its value is a belief system which, once again, there is no issue with. Most people though are buying bitcoin and then valuing it in dollars, the very currency that is collapsing around us now. Bitcoin can only have a value if it is widely accepted for all transactions and exchanges. The more belief people have in it the more it will be accepted as value for an exchange. At the moment it has very limited use and it is hard to imagine it will be accepted as payment for your taxes or energy bills.

Maybe the biggest issue though is that if we understand that bitcoin is a currency for exchange then to make exchanges we need products. The global elite have now claimed ownership of all land, all resources and all people. What use is a currency for exchange when we will have nothing to exchange?

The World Economic Forum-**“you will own nothing and be happy”**.

I see the digital revolution mainly being pushed as a solution by bankers and investors. We are being encouraged to replace fake debt with fake wealth. These are the people who like to get rich on the back of other peoples work. For them it is another way to gain wealth whilst relaxing in a hammock in Bermuda and pressing some keys on a laptop. These people are so disconnected from the reality of how an economy works and how things get produced. They are a different class of people with a different mind-set. I also believe many alleged “freedom fighters” are in it for the same reasons of easy money and an easy ride built on producing nothing and receiving everything. I personally see bitcoin as created by the globalist to prime us for a digital future and that it will eventually be a way of exchanging outside of global governance for the very rich and criminal elites. Prove me wrong.

**“Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where moth and rust doth corrupt, and where thieves break through and steal:
But lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where neither moth nor rust doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through nor steal:
For where your treasure is, there will your heart be also”.**

-Matthew 6:19-21

It also pushes us away from the real issue. The world political system, at least in the West, is run by child raping, warmongering psychopathic crooks or people who support or ignore those people and their actions. Add to the mix another huge percentage of total morons and that is the character of our self-proclaimed leaders. To think we can avoid this without confronting it is plain ignorance beyond belief. We have billions of humans being controlled by a few thousand political and corporate elites to whom we all bow down. I think a mass coming together and saying a big NO is in order rather than trying to hide away denying what is really going on and trying to get around the truth with some kind of alternative currency that in no way helps produce the needed things for an economy and for the basics of life.

Central Bank Digital Currency

With the idea of digital currencies now clearly in the minds of the general public the central banks were clearly not going to be left behind. All the talk now is about central bank digital currencies (CBDC). The current system sees the high street banks creating most of the money supply through the issue of credit/new money. This is enormous power in itself but too diverse for the real banking elite behind the scenes.

In my opinion the plan is to roll out CBDC and if, or when, the banks fail again they will not be bailed out. The public will accept this as the memory of bailing out the banks in 2008 is still fresh in our minds. The solution will be CBDC as the only currency available which in effect means a communist style one bank control system. It is very hard to control a decentralised system and true diversity is not a good thing for power mad maniacs. The fact that every year fewer and fewer banks control the credit supply is not enough for those who want total centralised control. They may even demonise the banking system and even admit that the banks create money out of thin air and that that is part of the problem. They may say the banks can't be trusted and that the government and we the people need to take back control of money creation with CBDC being the people's currency. Money by the people and for the people! The only thing they never really tell you is that Government and the people is not the same thing. The government claims to represent and to be of the people but it should be obvious by now they are of the global elite and represent the global elite. Being a threat to the British Government and a threat to the British people is not the same thing.

The phrase "problem - reaction – solution" comes to mind.

It seems clear now that the CBDC will connect to people through some kind of smart chip in our smart phones or directly implanted under our skin. As pointed out in my book "Medical Fascism" the pursuit of everyone having an official ID is now a global agenda. It is being sold to us so we can access benefits and aid but in reality it is being used to create

dependency and in the end slavery. Who knows maybe they will use Bill Gates Microsoft chip with the patent number 060606 if and when it is approved. The technology is here now to totally control CBDC and they will be able to control the amount you spend, where you spend it and what you spend it on. The **Personal Carbon Allowance** is already being spoken about and this explains why they want an all-electric smart grid, including our cars. It's nothing at all to do with "saving the planet", because at least as far as carbon goes the planet is doing fine and if we want to green the planet, as they claim we need to, it could do with a bit more carbon. More carbon equals more plant life, equals more trees and more food for us. The Personal Carbon Allowance won't affect Mr Gates and pin up boy of the global war on carbon Leonardo Di Caprio, who will both keep their mega yachts and private jets as will the rest who are in the club.

"Firms that align their business models to the transition to a net zero world will be rewarded handsomely. Those that fail to adapt will cease to exist" – Mark Carney, former Governor at the Bank of England

The green economy is here whether we like it or not. Straight out of the bankers mouths. Remember Mark Carney is a banker and does not work for the public. The green economy is a banking vision that will be imposed on mankind. No vote, no choice. It is nothing at all to do with "saving the planet" and all to do with control. Apart from the big corporations and the industrial military complex trashing the planet, the planet is doing fine and will continue to do so whether we continue with it or not. If you believe in man-made climate change then I challenge you to simply look at the polar bear numbers over the last 30 years.

Remember those pictures of them dying years back because the ice-caps were melting because of our consumption of fossil fuels? Well it turns out the polar bears are not just surviving, they are thriving. Just research about the child labour used and what goes into the mining of lithium for all those smart batteries for our cars and you will see carbon is not the

enemy and the people pushing it do not care about the environment. The climate, carbon con is another psychological operation to control mankind's behaviour and to build the global prison. A central money system controlled by the bankers controlling everything you spend and spend on because if they don't we'll somehow kill the planet.

Please read **“Green Fraud: Why the Green New Deal Is Even Worse Than You Think”** – by Marc Morano as a good starting point to this scam.

If the CBDC does take over and become the only access to credit and effectively money then this can only be seen as world-wide global communism. As I stated earlier we have a democracy where your vote is at best you expressing your opinion. We have now seen in your face Fascism being built since “the pandemic” was announced with global lockdowns. CBDC is the future which in effect will be the BIS taking control of all the money creation in the world with the exception for now of very few countries. I'm sure some more demonising of those countries and their leaders in the mainstream media will lead to more wars to promote “Freedom and Democracy”. Interesting to note that Russia and China are members of the BIS though Russia's membership was suspended due to the invasion of Ukraine.

Centralisation of Everything

To be able to control a huge world population within 195 countries centralisation of everything is imperative. Diversity and power spread out makes it impossible to impose an agenda on all of humanity. What is needed is the consolidation of decision making into one organisation. The WHO, a department in the UN, will have centralised control over our “health system” and more important protection against “future pandemics”.

Bill Gates' **Germ Team** will provide a global rapid response team to combat future pandemics.

In a future pandemic scenario, a GERM team — per respective country — would monitor an outbreak and work with the national government to combat the virus. The government and national businesses would receive recommendations about necessary drugs and vaccines to assist the public.

Mr Gates has been quoted as saying **“we need to “practice, practice, practice” by holding regular pandemic exercises and by funding a 2,000-strong team of global pandemic fire fighters,”**

“that greater investment is needed to avoid another pandemic” and “a team of international experts ranging from epidemiologists to computer modellers should be created to quickly identify global health threats and improve co-ordination between countries”

They will also have a surveillance team who will be going around the world using genomic sequencing techniques looking for possible new viral pathogens. The only thing is none of these techniques, whether PCR test or lateral flow tests or others, actually test for the presence of a virus. They do not and simply cannot because no viral particle has ever been taken from a human or animal sample and purified and isolated and its genome identified. It's the same as economics as the starting point is two plus two equals five. So anything they do after that will always be wrong. As my book “Medical Fascism” shows, there was no “new novel virus” going around the world making people ill. What went round the world was a fraudulent test, fear, panic and mass manipulation of data all started by epidemiologists who again know nothing about disease and start their computer models with two plus two equals five.

Here a reminder from Dr Patrick Quanten on the PCR tests.

None of the studies with regard to the existence of SARS-CoV-2 have been able to confirm that the viruses they worked on had been purified. Most clearly stated the virus had not been purified.

Conclusions

PCR test does not identify viruses

PCR test has not been standardised and results are therefore not comparable.

The origin of the detected genetic material has not been established

<https://activehealthcare.co.uk/literature/science/210-pcr-test-what-why-how>

The other 14 major departments of the United Nations.

Food and Agriculture Organization

International Civil Aviation Organization

International Fund for Agricultural Development

International Labour Organization

International Maritime Organization

International Monetary Fund

International Telecommunication Union

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

United Nations Industrial Development Organization

Universal Postal Union

World Bank Group

World Intellectual Property Organization

World Meteorological Organization

World Tourism Organization

The World Trade Organization is said to be “related to the UN”.

“The World Trade Organization (WTO) is an intergovernmental organization that regulates and facilitates international trade”.

We have total control over all areas of life on this planet by rich, unelected men and women whom you will never see, never mind have a chat with. The global problems we are seeing now with regards to the economy, food, energy and more are ALL coming from one place and it's all planned and coordinated. What many experience as local problems are actually global problems because the global elite agenda is manifesting in a community near you.

Regulated to Death

This is what is happening. The people and areas of life that go with or support the elite global agenda will be supported. Any individual, community or business that does not align with the agenda will simply be regulated to death by more rules and more taxes. This is happening now and meat eating is already under attack to the extent that it won't be profitable to slaughter an animal at a slaughter house as the costs for the slaughter house are making it all unaffordable. Also people with their own animals will be heavily regulated to the point they may not even be able to have their own animals for their own food. I know of a family who are being evicted from their own land, where they live off grid with their own water supply, own composting toilet, own solar energy and where they grow some of their own food and have converted an old ruined stable into a comfortable home.

Why? Because the local council won't grant them permission.

At the same time they are promoting sustainable living they are giving big contracts out to big businesses for large house building projects. This is a perfect example of being regulated to death by people who have claimed ownership of all the land and all the people and what they can or can't do.

All in the name of "freedom and democracy" and "saving the planet" of course.

It's all a scam folks and again, it's all about control.

This system of regulation, which is killing off freedom and enterprise, works alongside a deliberate interdependence of nations. The global system has now become so interconnected that one problem in one area of the world can affect many countries. This interdependency is making countries weak and vulnerable which means they can easily be controlled. Free Trade is again responsible for this. A nation should only need to trade for things it cannot produce itself. When the basics of life like food are dependent on imports than something has gone very wrong.

We were told many years ago the idea of a “World Government” was just a “conspiracy theory”. Any one warning the public of this coming elite control system was ridiculed and said to have a “tin foil hat”.

The World Government Summit is an annual event held in Dubai, United Arab Emirates.[1] It brings together leaders in government for a global dialogue about governmental process and policies with a focus on the issues of futurism, technology innovation and other topics. The summit acts as a knowledge exchange hub between government officials, thought leaders, policy makers and private sector leaders and as an analysis platform for the future trends, issues and opportunities facing humanity.

The World Government Summit was formed by a team of experts from different disciplines to bring government, business and civil society together with the goal of improving the future for the seven billion people on the planet.

The first World Government Summit was held in Dubai in 2013, and has been held annually since then. In 2013, themes included building citizen trust in governmental entities, social media as a tool for civic engagement, private-public sector partnerships, and measuring development.

In 2014, themes included partnerships and innovation in government service delivery, government smart toolboxes (using information

technology for citizen engagement, anti-corruption efforts and helping citizens affected by conflict) and digital government.

In 2015, themes included smart cities, innovation, and better jobs.

In 2016, themes included the Sustainable Development Goals, the state of sustainability, and advanced science and the future of government (robotics and artificial intelligence, genomic medicine and biometrics).

In 2017, the summit focused on four main themes:

- 1) climate change & food security,
- 2) citizen well-being & happiness,
- 3) government agility and geopolitics & humanitarian aid, with the goal of focusing on fundamental questions that aim to pave the way for that future across the globe. The summit was held under the patronage of Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktoum, the Vice President and Prime Minister of the UAE and ruler of Dubai, and included participation of seven international organizations as strategic partners, including the International Monetary Fund, the United Nations, UNESCO, Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and the World Economic Forum.

In 2018, the themes included artificial intelligence and happiness.

- Wikipedia

Former speakers have been **Richard Branson** - founder, Virgin Group, **Deepak Chopra** - author, **Steve Wozniak** - co-founder of Apple Inc, **Barack Obama** - former US President, **Elon Musk** - technology entrepreneur; co-founder and CEO of SpaceX; co-founder and CEO of Tesla, **Jim Yong Kim** - president of The World Bank Group, **Ban Ki-moon** - secretary general of the United Nations, and our warm and loving good friend(not a bond villain) **Klaus Schwab** - founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum just to name a few.

The World Economic Forum

From their website

The World Economic Forum is the International Organization for Public-Private Cooperation.

The Forum engages the foremost political, business, cultural and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas.

It was established in 1971 as a not-for-profit foundation and is headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland. It is independent, impartial and not tied to any special interests. The Forum strives in all its efforts to demonstrate entrepreneurship in the global public interest while upholding the highest standards of governance. Moral and intellectual integrity is at the heart of everything it does.

Our activities are shaped by a unique institutional culture founded on the stakeholder theory, which asserts that an organization is accountable to all parts of society. The institution carefully blends and balances the best of many kinds of organizations, from both the public and private sectors, international organizations and academic institutions.

We believe that progress happens by bringing together people from all walks of life who have the drive and the influence to make positive change.

Were you aware that there is a group of unelected (not that that means anything) very rich individuals working with big corporations and governments to build and shape your future?

The idea of “stakeholder” theory being used in reality means **they**, the self-proclaimed experts, will decide what is best for me and you. **They** know better, as we are all just too stupid to know ourselves what is good for us.

Again these organisations are just a few that are working together world-wide to manifest a vision they have for the world and for humanity. This agenda can be seen being implemented in all our local councils and local areas of governance. The same policies and the same visions that come directly from these elites are being manifested into reality in your home town. I call this **communism by stealth**.

World Governance is not just a conspiracy theory it is an actual factual construct being built right in front of our eyes. Klaus Schwab himself has publicly stated that Boris Johnson, Emmanuel Macron, Justin Trudeau and the alleged enemy of the West, Vladimir Putin, have all been through the W.E.F leadership programme. Add to this the known western banking ties in China and it does seem that the whole of humanity is being played. China and Russia are all in on the technocratic future. In Orwell's 1984 three super states, Oceania, Eurasia and Eastasia played out a permanent war that kept the proles (us) in a state of fear and under control. Are China, Russia and the West playing out the roles of Orwell's famous book? It certainly does seem that way and all three are building their own form of dictatorship.

Back to Basics

Most people, even politicians and economists, think the government creates money and it is pushed into circulation, that the banks receive some through our deposits and that they then lend it back out to people and companies. This though could not be further from the truth.

Only about 3% or less of money in circulation is currency generated through central bank printed notes and coins, real currency. The Central Banks Reserves in the Bank of England are also considered real money. The rest is credit created out of thin air by banks when they make a loan. When we go into a bank for a loan, whether personal, business or mortgage, the bank does not move money from their reserves and deposit it in your account. Your promise to pay, promissory note, is what actually allows the bank to manifest the agreed amount in your bank account.

Promissory Note

A signed document containing a written promise to pay a stated sum to a specified person or the bearer at a specified date or on demand.

So out of thin air an agreed amount, say £100,000, appears in your account as numbers on a computer screen. The retail bank does not have permission to print currency, only the central bank does. If you asked for them for £100,000 cash then they would have to get the cash from their cash reserve but that alone gives the bank very limited scope in terms of making money out of lending money as they would be limited by the amount of currency they have in reserve.

So if you wanted cash they would still credit your account with £100,000 first in digits then reduce that amount according to the amount of cash you take away. A separate account created would show you have a deficit of £100,000, an asset of £100,000 to the bank, which is the amount of

debt you have to pay back. This way they are still creating new money and increasing the amount of money in circulation.

In this way credit/new money and currency are identical in that they are both being used as forms of money with the same value. The difference is that currency is in a permanent state of being unless it is destroyed by the Bank of England or taken out of circulation via government taxes and destroyed. Credit brings new electric money into existence when it is created out of thin air which then expands the money supply, but it is then killed as it is paid back with the effect of reducing the money supply. The £100,000 created, say to buy a house, appears in your current account as new electric money, but as it is also your debt to the bank then you start your separate mortgage account in the bank at minus £100,000.

So the new electric money is used by the receiving bank as real money which increases their money supply. The lending bank now has an account for you with minus £100,000. When you start to pay back the mortgage what you are actually doing is taking money out of circulation and basically killing it until your mortgage account stands at zero. So in short £100,000 gets created and goes out as credit/new money then £100,000 comes back to pay off the equivalent debt and gets taken out of circulation. I hope this makes sense.

So far, in my opinion, nothing is actually wrong with this process. The real issue is the interest. If £100,000 is created as new money but in reality I have to pay back, let's say £130,000 over an agreed term, and the bank does not create the interest, the extra £30,000, then there will not be enough new money created to pay back the loan with the attached interest. As about 97% of money comes into circulation this way as electric currency and only about 3% is physical currency, then even with the extra physical currency in circulation there is nowhere near enough money in circulation to pay off the debts with the attached interest. With interest rates ranging from 2% for mortgages, to 1000% for pay day

loans, it is clear there is massive discrepancy between actual money in circulation and actual debt. This way there will be some who can pay back their loan with interest but many who cannot due to the fact that in reality we are all fighting over a tiny amount of money to pay back enormous debts. The only way then to pay back the debt is for the banks to keep creating new money so as to keep increasing the money supply. This is never ending and explains why the economy always has to grow and the conveyor belt of madness has to continue, because if the banks stopped creating new money the whole system would collapse.

Now just think for a moment that if you knew how this system worked and you had control of interest rates and regulation of banking, you could then control the amount of money in circulation at any time and where it was going. You could also control how much money was created as debt and how much interest had to be paid back.

The important thing to understand is it is not the amount of money in circulation that causes inflation. The economists tell us that low interest rates makes credit attractive and available which increases the money supply and therefore causes inflation, and that higher interest rates will make credit more expensive, lower the creation of money and hence lower inflation. This is not actually true at all and is very bad thinking. It is in fact the type of thinking that leads people to put a gold standard forward as a solution to inflation and to create a stable money system. What causes inflation is **not** the amount of money in circulation, but the amount of money circulating in relation to production in the real economy. Raising interest rates is basically just a pay rise for the bankers for doing nothing.

Remember money is just a representation of a value put on something we wish to exchange. If we have a lot of money but no production then we have inflation. If we have little money but masses of products we have deflation. It really is as simple as that. So money creation out of thin air is not the problem. The real issue we need to look at is why that new

money is being created and where is it going. If it is going into the production of goods and the real economy then we would not want a cap on that. We do not want to cap human imagination, invention and the creation of new products and goods. Maybe some environmentalist will have an issue with my last statement but as you will see this will not lead to an insane conveyor belt of goods being produced which are needed to go from production factory floor to land fill as quick as possible to keep the system going as we will have no un-payable debt in the system. This is what we have now and what is causing environmental destruction and contamination and this is mainly because of the interest payments (un-payable debt) that have to be paid back making us chase money that does not exist.

When you deposit money into your bank account most people think the banks are just holding the money for them. The reality is you are lending the bank your money. As it is now their money they can do with it as they wish. The object of a bank is to make profits whether in the form of money, currency or assets. They can now gamble with the money you lent them through their Investment Banks. This is a major issue in why boom and bust happens and why the world casino is making all of us fail. This interconnection means we are all vulnerable to the games being played out in the world wide casino. The solution though is simple. Complete separation of Investment Banking and Commercial/Retail Banking.

Glass Steagal Act

In 1933 during the Great Depression in the US, Senator Carter Glass, a former Treasury secretary, with Henry Bascom Steagall, House of Representatives and chair of the House Banking and Currency Committee, created the Glass Steagal Act. At the time the Commercial banks involvement in the Investment Banking casino was seen as a major factor in the financial problems the USA were facing. It seems when you give bankers your money it is not keeping it secure what is on their minds

but how they can use it to gamble and reap huge rewards for themselves in the knowledge that in reality they are playing with other people's money. The act restored the people's confidence in the banking system which was at an all-time low. On June 16 1933 the Act was signed into law by President Franklin Delano Roosevelt and helped bring about the stability it was created for.

In 1999 under the Presidency of Bill Clinton came the establishment of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, or the Financial Services Modernization Act, which effectively cancelled the separation of Commercial and Investment Banking to the delight of the bankers. This cancellation of Glass Steagal separation was one of the main causes of the 2008 crash. In fact you could go as far as saying the 2008 crash would not have happened if it was not for the cancellation of this Act.

\$153 million in Bill and Hillary Clinton speaking fees, documented

CNN - Hillary Clinton and her husband, former President Bill Clinton, combined to earn more than \$153 million in paid speeches from 2001 until Hillary Clinton launched her presidential campaign last spring, a CNN analysis shows.

In total, the two gave 729 speeches from February 2001 until May, receiving an average payday of \$210,795 for each address. The two also reported at least \$7.7 million for at least 39 speeches to big banks, including Goldman Sachs and UBS, with Hillary Clinton, the Democratic 2016 front-runner, collecting at least \$1.8 million for at least eight speeches to big banks. – CNN politics February 6, 2016 by Robert Yonn

It seems like Tony Blair is not the only one who gains from a job well done.

The system we have can be manipulated and it is not that boom and bust is an unwanted part of the system we don't really know what to do with,

but it is an integral part of the system put in place so that elite people behind closed doors can manipulate humanity and how we behave via good times and bad times, times they have created themselves. Push loads of cheap credit out into society and convince the population that we are in the good times and when you have got them all up to their necks in debt simply raise the interest rates, slow down the creation of new money/credit, which in turn means there is even less money in circulation to pay off the debts and mass bankruptcy ensues.

This way the banking elite, or those who control the banking system, investment companies like Blackrock, Vanguard and the Rothschild Bank, can get what they really wanted all along, the real wealth of our homes, businesses, resources and land. Do this to governments and you force them to privatise to pay off the debts or to just keep up with the interest payments of a debt in our name, which can never be paid back. This way they don't just get to own the country's infrastructure, they get to own **YOU. You have become a Peon or a Debt Slave.**

Money with Intrinsic Value

Many economists it seems believe that a currency should have “intrinsic value”. Many go for a gold backed currency, The Gold Standard, or silver.

The gold standard is a monetary system where a country's currency or paper money has a value directly linked to gold. With the gold standard, countries agreed to convert paper money into a fixed amount of gold. A country that uses the gold standard sets a fixed price for gold and buys and sells gold at that price.

Here will use the term currency as money created by the nation and money as credit created by the banks.

There is a great documentary on YouTube called “The Secret of Oz” by Bill Still where he goes into the history of currency and shows evidence that a gold or even silver backed currency has never worked. He, like me, does not believe the currency itself needs intrinsic value. Currency is needed just to facilitate an exchange when no direct form of bartering is possible. All it needs is to be given a value and accepted by society as “legal tender” (**coins or banknotes that must be accepted if offered in payment of a debt**). This given agreed value and the knowledge that it can be used to pay off all debts in society and to buy all products in society is all the value it actually needs. Value in the end is just a belief system, but when we have an agreed legal belief put on it then we can all go about our business knowing the currency will be accepted, intrinsic value or not. And anyway, what real value does gold have apart from looking nice? Even that is an opinion, a belief.

The other issue is if we are creating a currency based on our gold reserves then that will mean our economy is limited by the amount of gold we have. This we are told is what will control the amount of currency in circulation and bring stability and stop inflation. Again this is nonsensical thinking. The amount of currency in circulation has nothing

to do with inflation or deflation. It's all about the amount of currency in relation to productivity. This is what needs to be stable to avoid inflation or deflation. As long as currency represents the amount of productivity then we will have a stable economy and we can all plan ahead for a life of security. This of course depends on economists working and representing the public and not the corporate and banking elite. For this to happen we need to hold to account our public servants, again not leaders, and make sure they have no conflicts of interest.

Another point is does this mean that if a country has no gold then it cannot have a valued currency?

Does this mean there will be nations going to war to obtain gold?

Will gold rich nations be invaded by big corporations with their right to buy because of Free Trade agreements?

Whoever then controls the gold will control the currency and hence control the economy. This is way too much power for one person or organisation.

Intrinsic value is just a belief that something has value and not an absolute fact. You could say an oil backed currency has intrinsic value as oil is used for pretty much every part of economies around the world. So having rich oil reserves could bring in wealth as it gives you plenty of power when you want to trade. Most countries though will have some form of wealth, some resource they can use and even have in excess for trading. Cuba for example have always relied heavily on sugar production so it can be used as a trading resource giving them the ability to trade with other countries for things they struggle to produce. Some countries may have mineral reserves or great soil for agriculture. All countries will have something. It's just a question of making the most of what you have.

If a country has massive oil reserves and this gives them the opportunity to trade and bring great wealth to their country then great for them.

There is no economic race the general public are interested in. Only governments talk about the global race and being ahead. Most people

just want to make the most out of what they have then just get on with enjoying life. If this means that one country has more material things and another has less then so what. The drive to bring about equality is just as destructive, if not more, than the drive to be the best.

Also another issue is if countries create their currency out of a precious metal or backed by something by a precious metal then what will new money/credit be backed by from the banks? We need free enterprise and free enterprise needs credit. We don't want the government to have total control of currency and creation of credit, especially credit that will be limited by the amount of gold the nation has. Free enterprise needs credit backed by the productive ideas of the person asking for the credit, this is what will give it its value.

What people, and it seems economists, have forgotten is that the true wealth of any nation are the people themselves, their imagination, the resources and what they do with them. This is the real value.

A Just Money and Economic System for all

Now you have seen my breakdown of the monetary system we have and the problems it is giving us, it is time to look at a solution. Remember I am not an economist and not an “educated man”. This is my solution based on simple thinking and the simple mathematics of two plus two equals four. These are the principles of a new system and not all the details. I am not the one who could put this into practice as that would entail an awful lot of study by me and as my wife knows, “**I hate thinking**”.

I am putting forward the framework of a solution and I’ll leave it to the real experts to fill in the gaps and put in into motion.

Stop Government borrowing **NOW**. This should come in alongside a criminal court case investigating the lawfulness of the current government debt in our name.

Abolish Government and bring in a body of accountable public servants whose only duty is to provide the infrastructure for society and defend the Nation on home soil only. **NO** soldiers should be involved in overseas wars and the Nation should not be signed up to International military agreements.

The completely independent courts need to deal with criminal offences and contractual disputes, which could easily be funded by the public body. For criminal offenses we need to keep the jury system.

The funding of a police force whose only role is to keep the peace and to uphold the common law based on actual harm or loss. They do not take orders from the new body of public servants and are totally independent and accountable to the public who will pay their wages.

Direct from the treasury we should produce our own National Credit, debt and interest free, based on what we need to produce as a Nation. Fiscal Expenses will go on roads, energy, water infrastructure, an accident and emergency service, engineering, science schools and other public development and the wages for public servants. You may add more to this list but it should be no more than a foundation to allow society to function. The majority will go into the public sector and where it is needed it can go into the private sector that supports the projects. A special help should be given to farmers to bring the country as close to self-sufficiency as possible.

Nationalise the infrastructure and make sure any resources stay owned by the people. The basic infrastructure should be owned by the people as this is the foundation for a society and an economy. Privatisation of these basics services and "Free Trade" in the form of foreign companies coming in to own businesses should be banned. This will then stop the elites from registering their businesses in tax havens to avoid paying tax. Every company in the country should now contribute to the infrastructure it uses to make profit.

Build our own National Bank outside of the foreign land of the City of London. In fact this separate piece of land that is the City of London should be brought back into the land of Great Britain.

Ban all interest on loans. Introduce an upfront service charge as a fee for banking services which will include money creation in the form of credit. The upfront fee will pay the banks staff and expenses and will come from the existing money supply. This means that when the new money is created there will always be an equal balance of the debt created to new money meaning the paying off of this debt can always be achieved within the present amount of money in circulation. The understanding should be we should not be making money out of money but make it clear money is just a tool to facilitate trade and production.

Bring in a complete separation of Investment Banking from Retail and Commercial banking such as the USA Glass Steagal Act. This will protect our hard earned money in day to day life from the investment banks gambling that money in the world wide casino. If Investment Banks or Pension Funds fail, DO NOT bail them out. If people do not want to gamble they can just stay out of the casino.

No ring fencing. **Total separation!**

Encourage as many local community banks as possible with permission to create credit. What this does is to put money creation back in the hands of the people themselves and not in the hands of a global banking cabal. We need as much decentralisation and diversity as possible. We must have a well regulated system so that the lender and the borrower are equally responsible for the new money created and if it is local then accountability will be a lot easier. We don't want money created for friends with dodgy hand-shakes and potential accomplices in corruption; Money that won't be paid back and with no consequences. New money should be created for real economic purposes. Money for new technologies that a company needs to upgrade its system of production and money for new premises to expand businesses are two examples of how money can be created to go into the creation of products for the real economy. We can have small local banks for local people and businesses and bigger banks for bigger national businesses.

As we will have a steady economy anyone wanting a mortgage will have to in advance show evidence of their future earnings to be eligible. Money going into an asset like a house needs to be backed up by a thriving economy. Without money going into the real economy mortgage lending cannot really happen without causing problems and creating an asset bubble.

Houses need to go back to being built as homes and the idea of landlords owning hundreds or even thousands, needs to go as this gives great control of the market to just a few people or companies and inflates

house prices and rents meaning people are literally working for the privilege to live in a home. With other alternative types of living accommodation being outlawed bit by bit like the travelling community, people living in vans and people not being allowed to live on their own land, the choice for the vast majority of people will be renting for life with no control over the price of the rent. Companies like Black Rock and Blackstone are investing billions in the real estate market to add to the billions in other resources and you can be sure that when the crash gets worse companies like these and other people with a few spare million will be in like vultures to snap up the houses of the decent hard working people who through no fault of their own cannot afford their house payments.

This is an area that needs a massive overhaul and some serious changes need to take place. Maybe a limit to how many homes one person can buy?

Here in Cornwall the second home market is artificially inflating the house prices so the local working people are pushed out of the market and in many cases onto the street by rich people from London who may visit once a year. Cornwall needs holiday homes, it needs guest houses and it needs the money of some second home owners who visit regularly and bring money into the county.

Similar to the Costa del Sol in Spain there has to be allowances for the tourism industry, especially in places that rely heavily on tourist money to keep the local economy alive. What Cornwall does not need is people investing in houses just for investment purposes. There will always be a need for rental properties and landlords. For example, if a worker is on a two year contract here in Cornwall from up country and he/she does not want to, or cannot, buy a house for just two years, then renting is the perfect solution. Just like the rugby players who again maybe on a few years contract and just need to rent.

Also in big cities, where University students need apartments during their four year studies renting is essential. There are many reasons why we need rental apartments and rental homes but the whole philosophy needs to change in that houses should be built as homes for people to live in and not for investors to make a fortune out of.

This is a complicated issue but one that needs a serious consideration if the majority of people wants to get out of rental slavery. I suggest people being able to live on their own land would be a good start. It would be easy to build simple homes for just a few thousand pounds where people can live happily in security and peace. This way we can allow people with lots of currency to fight over the luxury home market, in the knowledge that it does not affect the rest of the population.

Money creation for investment in the world casino or the purchasing of assets should be banned and if people wish to raise currency for an asset, say buy a work of art, they should get investors together and buy it out of money that already exists. Money creation should be put into the real economy and not into speculation.

We don't want too much of society owned and run by a body of public servants (new name needed) and therefore the Nation. The failing of the Cuban Revolution lies in the fact that it was backed by a Marxist Socialist ideology that allows for laziness and does not reward hard work and imagination. For people to be truly free, we just need the foundation owned and run by the people. The rest of society needs to be built by the people themselves through free enterprise and taking responsibility for our own lives and how we want to live in our local communities.

We do not want, or need, the "visions" of elites being manifested in our local communities. Hard work and enterprise should have the ability to manifest rewards as this incentive pushes people and Nations forward. We all need a reason to get up in the morning and there is nothing wrong in the pursuit of material wealth if it is done in an honest and moral way.

A debt free money system like this will bring wealth to any Nation. People who cannot work for genuine reasons will be looked after by the people in the community who are thriving due to the lack of debt around their neck.

The failings of both Socialism and Corporatism should be looked at and it seems the best balance would be for the infrastructure and resources to be owned by the people as this is the foundation for any economy. After that we should encourage the rest of the economy to be private businesses and the running of local communities should be done by the people who live there and not by the central public body. Again we should not allow foreign nationals or companies to invest in our country as there is no reason we can't do it all ourselves.

Cuba has had restrictions of foreign investment and when I was there I was told that a foreign company can invest a maximum 49% into a project with a time limit. Basically they got in, made their money, and got out. This left the Cuban Government in total control of its projects. A drive for self-sufficiency and trading with our excess should be encouraged as at the moment we have a system of interdependency in all areas of life. It's crazy that we have food rich countries exporting food for money whilst their own people go hungry. All nations have some form of wealth that can be utilised for trade to make up for what they do not have. This means there will be real diversity in the world and we will not all be the same and of equal wealth and there is nothing wrong with that. I'm waiting for the Socialist call of "no country left behind" to come.

A society where people can create and achieve their business goals will not cause a huge increase and production in consumer goods and therefore trash the planet even more. In fact it will lower the production of goods. A debt free society will mean we can actually build quality products that will last and this in turn will mean a complete change in production and the idea of real sustainability. Really looking after the environment can be easily achieved. Humanity, in its natural state, is

diverse and not everybody is interested in making money, material wealth and getting ahead. Here in Cornwall many people are just happy to do a day's work then spend time on the beach in the spring and summer with family and friends, or go on country walks in the autumn and winter. Some people have many needs and some people have few. This real diversity will mean trashing the planet will be a thing of the past. I care about the planet and have witnessed the complete trashing of the Amazon by people in towns who have no solution to the tidal wave of plastic brought into their culture. Instead of pointing the finger of blame at the people, we need to look at where this problem came from and the debt based money system and the greed of the big corporations which are a big part of this problem.

Taxation will only be needed to fund the basic infrastructure of society for all of us and to control the amount of money in circulation so it matches the work that is being done. No more taxes going to billionaire bankers and big corporations to pay off debt. At the moment there is work to be done but no money to fund it. The truth is we can create it at any time, debt free and the work needed should dictate the money supply and not the other way round. We only need money to facilitate the trade taking place for the work. When there is work to be done we create money. When there is no work to be done we don't, simple.

Also we need a massive awareness programme about this subject matter and encouragement for people to take on other more diverse ways to exchange. The more diverse society is the harder it will be for one person, or group of people, to take control. Bartering should be encouraged, local currencies, gifting, in fact the more the merrier. If we all have the support of the national infrastructure we can all imagine and manifest anything we want and just maybe many of the other problems society, therefore people and communities, are facing can be dealt with one by one.

National credit and a debt free system can only come about via public awareness and public pressure. The present system is coming to an end and if we are not careful it will be replaced by something worse. In the end I guess it's a choice. Continue to go with the people who have a reputation for being child raping, warmongering crooks or decide to take control and trust in ourselves. The outcome really will depend on the people, and that includes YOU.

RoboCops – Disconnected, Order Following Morons and Sell-Outs

This may sound like a harsh statement but it is time for the public to realise that the police do not work to serve and protect the public anymore. They work to serve and protect the political elite and the sooner people wake up to this fact the better. In our book “A Conscious Humanity – Freedom, Morality and Natural Law” myself and Patrick Quanten go deeper into this subject from a psychological point of view which is beyond the scope of this book. Here I just want to point out that thinking this problem can be solved via the police and the courts is wishful thinking at best.

The police have been totally psychologically reframed over the past decades so that when they put on the uniform, or even with the uniform off, they have totally disconnected from common sense, the real purpose of their job and what it is to be human. They have no interest in investigating real crime but are more concerned in either pursuing thought crime or extorting money from people for breaking man-made rules. They have been totally politicised to the point they have LGBT all over their cars and uniforms. They support this ideology that is sexualising children to the point that they actively protect them (the activists) and are willing to arrest anyone if their opinions “cause anxiety” to someone from the activist community. They are supposed to police “without fear or favour” yet this open allegiance shows not only do they discriminate but they are a total embarrassment and a complete joke. Only the public who suffer at their hands do not see the funny side. They are no more than enforcers for a government mafia.

Here are three simply examples of why we live in a police state in the western world which once had a police force the people could trust in. We had a police force that was only there to keep the peace, to protect the people and uphold the common law. They are now just following

dictates from a political elite class who are now beyond the public and beyond law, sanity and accountability.

Most of the world has seen the police brutality in Victoria, Australia during the alleged pandemic and the lockdown that came with it. If arresting a pregnant woman in her home for a Facebook post was not enough, then military police in full armour, beating and firing bean bag bullets at people out in lawful protest and all this being done in the name of keeping people safe should be more than enough evidence to see the whole police force is totally out of control. It seems whatever Dictator Dan says goes. I have also never heard the word “comply” from the police as much as in this dark period of Australian history. The scenes were what we have been educated to believe happened in Nazi Germany or Communist Russia or China. One single brave high ranking woman police officer publicly resigned on TV as she feared the biased propaganda and a smear campaign if she did it in private. The rest sadly just did as they were told, many unconsciously following orders, many though enjoying the power they were given to get the public to comply.

In January 2021 outside a London Court a 92 year old man with walking sticks, Eric Levy, was arrested for “breaching coronavirus restrictions”. The fact he was protesting the continued inhumane detainment of Julian Assange was surely an embarrassment to the British Government. To use any ridiculous law to arrest a frail 92 year old man is obscene to say the least, especially as he was not harming anyone. The video of him being led away by a group of police was about the lowest point I have seen the police stoop to during the alleged pandemic and there was a huge choice of stupidity and tyranny to choose from. The police who took part in that are an absolute disgrace to the history of common law policing in this country, but sadly this is how the police behave and how they see their job in the present era of controlled insanity.

During a period of lockdown here in England I happened to be out of my house and in Truro in Cornwall on a permitted trip by the government as

it was deemed “essential shopping”. Whilst I was in the center I saw a large group of people in the pouring rain outside the cathedral protesting about the unlawful imprisonment of the people of this country. The protest was peaceful but attracted at least thirty police officers with a riot van. One young girl who turned out to be a nineteen year old student was talking to the people about the importance of art and expressing oneself for young people who were having their mental health destroyed by the government policies, deliberately in my opinion. There was a rush by the police and they chased the girl and kidnapped her in front of the crowd which included her father then drove her off to the police station for a 24 hour interrogation. What was this girl’s crime? It was simply being out of her house and in a crowd in public without permission.

This is what it has come to and this is ALL the fault of the British public for letting it get this far. Not only have the majority stood back and allowed this to happen but in many cases they have actually supported it. Anyone who feels a police state is not a great system to live under is called a far right conspiracy theorist and a threat to the nation. We collectively have failed to hold our public servants to account and we have turned a blind to the police becoming order followers to criminal politicians. Yes the whole system is against us but that does not mean we should just accept it for what it is and we should not expect things to get better on their own and complain when they don’t.

We are not building a police state, it’s already here, we are supporting it in getting stronger. We are seeing many new bills now being passed in Parliament to control protests and free speech and if we don’t stand up to be counted now it will soon be too late.

Raping “Small Boys”-

The Glue That Holds The Whole Corrupt System Together

One question many people ask when they can see the fraud being committed is, “how do they get away with it?” The answer is simple and the establishment are not even shy about telling us

To get a politician to do what you want, and to pass the policies you want, blackmail is the perfect tool and having a child rapist in power is the ultimate person who you can manipulate and control so the agenda you are pushing gets passed. The dodgy information is kept in what is known as a “**dirt book**” or “**black book**.”

“Tim Fortescue was serving as a conservative whip when Edward Heath was Prime Minister. It was Heath who on 22 Jan 1972 signed Britain into the European Economic Community. This is the same Edward Heath who had a multitude of child sexual abuse allegations against him, including satanic ritual abuse. As per usual no real investigation was done and Heath died in 2003 with the police admitting that if he was still alive a full investigation would be done. Timely late you could say.

Here is an extract from a BBC interview with Tim Fortescue who was a conservative whip from 1970-73.

“anyone with any sense, who was in trouble, would come to the whips and tell them the truth, and say, ‘Now, I’m in a jam, can you help?’ It might be debt, it might be ... a scandal involving small boys, or any kind of scandal in which a member seemed likely to be mixed up in. They’d come and ask if we could help, and if we could, we did. And we would do everything we can because we would store up brownie points ... and if I mean, that sounds a pretty, pretty nasty reason, but it’s one of the reasons because if we could get a chap out of trouble then, he will do as we ask forever more ...” – Trevor (known as Tim) Fortescue

This very same quote can be found in the government document **INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE Allegations of child sexual abuse linked to Westminster** (page 80) – February 2020 link on page 128

Was Heath one of these conservative politicians who people like Tim Fortescue helped to get him out of a jam?

Was he blackmailed to push Britain into the European Economic Community?

It is widely known that D notices (Defence Advisory Notice) are issued by government as warnings not to publish intelligence that might damage national security. I guess having child rapists in powerful political positions is a threat to the integrity of the UK Government. It would certainly be in the interests of the British public to arrest these child rapists to protect their own integrity. As we have said before though, the British Government and the British people are not the same thing and the British Government do not have the interests of the British people on their agenda. The UK Column are presently researching if D-Notices are being used by the police to keep quiet the mass vaccine adverse reactions from the covid jab.

I myself about eight years ago contacted three national newspapers and their health editors regarding the vaccine data which is in my book “Medical Fascism”, the government’s own vaccine data, showing the huge drop in so-called infectious disease happened BEFORE vaccine programmes. One email with the data attached received no reply. One email with the data attached received a reply of receipt but no more contact. I actually spoke to one health editor on the phone before I sent him the data but no more contact ensued. I also sent this data to my local newspaper and received no reply and have spoken and shown the data to two local MP’S who have shown no interest. Nothing to see here!

Is there a D-Notice on these vaccine graphs that show a huge drop, or in some cases a complete drop, in death from so-called infectious disease

before vaccine programmes?

These graphs it is to be noted are not shown to doctors in medical training.

Are these graphs a threat to the security of the British Government and the politicians?

Don Hale was a former editor of the Bury Messenger. **Hale, who was awarded an OBE for his successful campaign to overturn the murder conviction of Stephen Downing, a victim of one of the longest-known miscarriages of justice, said he was issued with a D-notice when editor of the Bury Messenger. He had been given a file by Castle, by then an MEP, which had details of a Home Office investigation into allegations made by the Tory MP Geoffrey Dickens of the existence of a Westminster paedophile ring. The files contained the name of 16 MPs said to be involved and another 40 who were supportive of the goals of the Paedophile Information Exchange, which sought to reduce the age of consent.**

Hale said he asked the Home Office for guidance on the dossier and the progress of the investigation but was stonewalled.

Hale said: “Then shortly after Cyril Smith bullied his way into my office. I thought he was going to punch me. He was sweating and aggressive and wanted to take the files away, saying it was a load of nonsense and that Barbara Castle just had a bee in her bonnet about homosexuals. I refused to give him the files.

“The very next day two non-uniformed officers, about 15 uniformed officers and another non-uniformed person, who didn’t introduce himself, came to the office waving a D-notice and said that I would be damaging national security if I reported on the file.”

<https://amp.theguardian.com/society/2014/nov/22/media-gagged-westminster-child-abuse-ring>

Yes this would damage the security of the British Government and politicians. Arresting them would show the integrity of the British police. Arresting them would improve the security of the British people.

It seems officials running the D-notice system, who work closely with MI5 and MI6 and the Ministry of Defence, have the power to destroy the files, whether legally or not, which would contain the record of the D-notices. Cleaning the scene of a crime is something these officials have a lot of knowledge in and they are able to put it to good use, at least for the child rapists they seem to be protecting.

This paper - **INDEPENDENT INQUIRY CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE Allegations of child sexual abuse linked to Westminster – February 2020**

12. Three retired police officers – Robert Glen, Paul Holmes and Malcolm Sinclair – raised concerns about a possible cover-up of child sexual abuse by prominent individuals associated with Westminster.

- **Mr Glen had a 30-year career in the Metropolitan Police, retiring at the rank of superintendent in 1994. Between 1977 and 1978, he spent nine months to one year posted to the Clubs Office. At that time he held the rank of inspector.**
- **Mr Holmes was in the Metropolitan Police between 1971 and 2002, and he spent the majority of his policing career at the Clubs Office, with an initial posting from 1975 to 1980 as a constable, followed by a second stint as a sergeant from 1987 to 1992 and then a final posting from the mid-1990s until he retired at the rank of inspector.**
- **Mr Sinclair started in the Metropolitan Police in 1966 and retired as an inspector in 1994. He was posted to the Clubs Office as a constable from around 1977 to 1979.**

- Page 24-

21. At the time, Mr Glen felt that he had done all he could about his concerns relating to Mr Diver and the Smith investigation. He told us that the culture in the police in those days was such that:

“we did as we were told and you were not encouraged to question operational decisions made by senior officers ... If one rocked the boat too much, it would be very much viewed upon that you were there to cause trouble”.

He did not think to say anything once he retired, because he thought no one would be interested. However, in November 2012, following press reports about Cyril Smith, Mr Glen reported his concerns to Operation Yewtree. This led to the IOPC investigating his allegations.

– page 27

26. Mr Holmes went further. He gave us a candid explanation of the situation facing the Clubs Office in 1978:

“The proposal that – whether you call it higher-echelon people, establishment, Westminster – (these people) were involved in exploiting vulnerable prostitutes, as far as we were concerned was a given. It wasn’t whether it existed; it was a given. The issue was the extent to which it was networked, how high it went, and how on earth you could prove it. That was the issue; it was not the issue of whether it existed” – page 28

Mr Sinclair recalled that during these observations he and Mr Holmes saw Cyril Smith, Jeremy Thorpe, Edward Heath and Leon Brittan. He personally saw Smith enter the flat with “little boys”. Mr Holmes confirmed that Cyril Smith’s name came up during the Twiss investigation.

“Cyril Smith was allegedly all over it. The name Cyril Smith wasn’t news ... It was expected. We anticipated that he may be seen” – page 28

Conclusions and recommendations

K.1: Conclusions

Addressing and allaying public concerns

2. It is clear that there have been significant failures by Westminster institutions in their dealing with, and confrontation of, allegations of child sexual abuse. This has included not recognising it, turning a blind eye to it, actively shielding and protecting perpetrators, and covering up allegations of child sexual abuse. – page 148

A full read of this document is worthwhile though this would be beyond the scope of this book. The document also goes into the government connection to the infamous, perverse and criminal organisation The Paedophile Information Exchange commonly known as PIE.

<https://www.iicsa.org.uk/key-documents/17579/view/allegations-child-sexual-abuse-westminster-investigation-report-25-february-2020-amends-may-2020.pdf>

We know time after time these allegations come up yet nothing happens. We also see the mass sexualisation of children is part of the school's curriculum from the unbelievable age of three. There is something very perverse behind the running of our society and government and it is time people stopped watching soap operas and football and start to stand up and do something about it. When a population will not stand up for its children then that society is doomed and rightly so.

When Clouds Clear

In 2008 a documentary, “When Clouds Clear”, was released about the history and struggle of the remote Ecuadorian town, Junín, and the conflicts that have arisen due to international mining interests. Apart from this YouTube trailer clip attached below I cannot find the full documentary anywhere anymore. It was about the allegedly poor people of a forest region in Ecuador who were looked down on by some because of their perceived poverty.

The documentary clearly showed the real wealth of the people in the region. It also showed how the temptation for wanting more material wealth, again perceived wealth, can bring about the divide and conquer that can destroy strong communities. This divide and conquer is something the foreign mining companies used to good effect as they bribed and tempted the locals with money for their land. Many resisted and a conflict that was totally started by the mining company and backed, or allowed, by the Ecuadorian government ensued. It culminated in armed mercenaries being sent to the region to threaten or even kill the stubborn resistance.

As the violence from the mercenaries was starting to get out of control one night the word went out and many of the population of the area came out with spades, machetes, wooden sticks or whatever they could get hold of and surrounded the camp of the mercenaries. Totally outnumbered and without a shot being fired or any violence the mercenaries were peacefully but forcefully taken to the local church and the locals called the government and told them that they had people in their region who were not welcome and needed to be taken away.

The government then sent in helicopters to pick up and fly out the paid mercenaries and at least one big battle was won. Because of it being difficult to get information of what is happening now in the region I’m not sure if they just won the battle or won the war.

It is though a good example of what can be done when people come together and overpower an enemy with just numbers and minimum lawful force.

Lawful force and violence are not the same thing and violence is never the answer.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Tu1zfnxHyg>

The Solution - What can be done?

Humanity and society are facing problems on a scale maybe never seen before. The breakdown of society is continuing rapidly as is the building of the fascist prison. Many people turn to activism and fight their own personal cause which turns out to be one of a million other worthy causes. The problem is that fighting your own personal cause is like tying yourself to a tree to save it whilst the whole forest is being destroyed by bulldozers. Whilst the sentiment is fine, it basically achieves nothing. My solution is to focus our activism on the fuel that is giving energy to the bulldozers. The control of money is what holds this whole system together and that gives the criminal elite the power they desperately need because they are at their core, weak themselves.

The war for control of the money supply has been going on for thousands of years and is now coming to an end. The collapse of the present system is eminent and we will be given a choice.

Go with the very same people who have created the problem and allow their “Great Reset” to be the solution to our problems. A solution that will be the end of freedom as we know it.

Take responsibility and take back control of the currency supply and the power that goes with it. This control of currency will bring humanity the freedom and prosperity it desperately needs.

The choice is ours. This will only be done by mass awareness of how the system works backed up by people getting off their backsides and lawfully doing something about it.

- We need to come to an agreement that the system is run by crooks for the benefit of themselves and the elite they serve.
- We need to come to an agreement that we deserve better and we have a natural right to be free and not in slavery.
- We need to come to an agreement to fire the existing politicians.

- We need to come to an agreement that the politicians who take their place are to be public servants and not leaders.
- We need to be in agreement that ALL criminals should be arrested and put on trial and those blocking any investigation should be arrested too for perverting the course of justice.
- We need to take peaceful, lawful action to bring about a fair society and to end debt slavery.

The system though is rigged and controlled in all areas.

- The politicians are against freedom and against us.
- The courts are against freedom and against us.
- The police are against freedom and against us.
- The illusionary rules are against freedom and against us.
- Many well-meaning activists are against freedom and against us.

The system has no intention of changing and many people within it don't have the awareness to see that change is needed. How then can we take back control of our money supply and end Debt Slavery? First we need a critical mass of aware, moral and clear-minded people coming together with a desire to be free and the intention to end debt slavery. With this critical mass of lawful human beings I can see only one way.

The examples of the people of Bolivia and Ecuador are two great examples of strong-minded, moral people coming together and peacefully demanding justice. Another example of what needs to be done was given to us by a man 2000 years ago.

“And Jesus went into the temple of God, and cast out all them that sold and bought in the temple, and overthrew the tables of the money changers, and the seats of them that sold doves, And said unto them, It is written, My house shall be called the house of prayer; but ye have made it a den of thieves.”- Matthew 21:12-13

It's time to turn over the tables of the money men and these thieves reside in the State known as the City of London. If the City of London falls then the whole world-wide system of debt slavery falls and I'll be having a pina collada on the beach. Now that's a nice thought.

Recommended Research

Books –

Prosperity, Poverty or Extinction? Humanity's Choices by Allen Cookson

One Nation Under Blackmail: The Sordid Union Between Intelligence and Crime that Gave Rise to Jeffrey Epstein by Whitney Alyse Webb

A Conscious Humanity – Freedom, Morality and Natural Law by Rob Ryder and Patrick Quanten

Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler by Anthony C Sutton

Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution by Anthony C Sutton

A History of Central Banking and the Enslavement of Mankind by Stephen Mitford Goodson

Alice and the Money Tree by J.M. Weston Briggs

Open Veins of Latin America, Five Centuries of the Pillage of a Continent by Eduardo Galeano

Medical Fascism, How Coronavirus Policy took Our Freedom Away And How To Get Them Back by Rob Ryder

YouTube –

Money as Debt

Bill Still The Secret of OZ

Bill Still The Money Masters

Werner Economics

Sorelle Amore Finance

PBD Podcast - Worlds #1 Climate Contrarian - Marc Morano | PBD Podcast | Ep. 157

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=avjUGrEojhQ>

Interviews –

Joe And Hunter Biden When Will It All Collapse For Them

<https://rumble.com/v1d8mxd-joe-and-hunter-biden-when-will-it-all-collapse-for-them.html>

The Corbett Report- Interview 1714 - Iain Davis on the New World Order and How to Oppose It

<https://www.corbettreport.com/davis-newworldorder/?fbclid=IwAR03DRFmKXqP4ccqUuvlzzK2p37JyCq-YnVGtI1Nkw2ElGJbYX22jNTH1pU>

Rob Ryder Financial Collapse and Unconditional Giving

<https://rumble.com/v15h6y5-financial-collapse-and-unconditional-giving.html>

Websites and Articles

UK Column <https://www.ukcolumn.org/>

The Corbett Report <https://www.corbettreport.com/>

David Icke - <https://davidicke.com/>

Check the evidence Knowledge Not Belief

<https://www.checktheevidence.com/wordpress/>

RICHPLANET TV <https://www.richplanet.net/>