

“Scotty, 3 to Beam Up”

Several times in his presentation, Mr. Hoagland references Star Trek or terms which might be more “at home” in a script from an episode for that series. For example, at 1:51:40 and 1:58:50 he refers to a “disintegrator beam” (not a term used by Dr. Wood). At around 1:06:40, he discusses the “toasted cars” and missing engine blocks. Referring to the latter, he asks:

How did the engine beam up, Scotty?

Whilst in one sense this may seem harmless, in this particular context, it is a more unfortunate type of “witticism” - due to the earlier methods that have been employed to attack Dr. Wood’s research (i.e. referring to it as “space beams” or “ray beams from space”).

Muddle Up / Cover Up

I was again surprised by Mr. Hoagland’s mention of Dr. Judy Wood’s legal case. However, my “surprise” was short lived – once I had listened carefully to his description. At 1:31:40, he states:

“... look at the official documents and the legal brief they have filed to try to get – under law – access to hidden documents, hidden information, immediate responses by the responders – that kind of thing – she’s got some of that on these pages. And it goes on for page after page after page. It’s an exquisite – really independent analysis – which has come to an unbelievable conclusion – only if you believe that the physics we’ve been spoon fed is the only physics there is in the universe...”

Mr. Hoagland has mischaracterised the legal case – it was a *science fraud case*. It was *not about obtaining “hidden documents”*. Additionally, the filed documents *included details of the first responder testimonies collected by the New York Times*. Had he correctly described the legal case – by using his speaking time to explain the nature of a “*Qui Tam*” complaint – rather than inject witticisms and go off into areas of speculation, he would be acknowledging that Dr. Wood has not just “gathered pretty pictures,” - she actually determined WHAT happened on 9/11, and filed a *federal case* about it! This is far more important and significant than what Mr. Hoagland described. Also, Mr. Hoagland could then have pointed out that one of the defendants in the case (ARA) should have known “whodunit” – as that formed part of their contract with the US Government.

Again, it is interesting that Mr. Hoagland does not acknowledge (or even recognize?) that one of Dr. Wood’s most prominent areas of expertise is interferometry.

These sorts of details are of huge importance and even on their own indicate why Dr. Judy Wood should have been invited to present this research, not Mr. Hoagland!

More interesting is the use of this image:



It appears this image can only be found in [Dr. Judy Wood’s Qui Tam document – Page 6, Figure 29](#). (The colour arrows were added to indicate the effects on the steel pieces.) It would appear that Mr. Hoagland has therefore studied this document. We will refer to the importance of this later.

“We Know What Did It! You Don’t!”

On listening to the presentation, it appears that Mr. Hoagland seems to be trying to repeat an exercise similar to an earlier attempt by Jim Fetzer to “take ownership” of the message – and/or the conclusions of the research.

Initially praising Dr. Wood, he states, at around 59:25

“following the evidence to its extraordinary and totally (Mr Spock) illogical conclusion”

He implies Dr. Wood has scientifically followed the evidence to the conclusions...yet he implies elsewhere that she is clueless as to what happened. For example, at 1:33:45, Mr. Hoagland states:

*So, Judy’s question which is exquisitely logical is “Where did these things go?” **So far she hasn’t got an answer** – but I’m hoping that some of the insights that we’ve been able to put together will help in her investigation.*

Mr. Hoagland then just abruptly states:

“Here is the mystery of melted steel...”

"The torsion field as it was excited by this external energy source – applied as a beam – as an interference pattern by some very sophisticated folks – probably in orbit – probably directing this toward the ground – hundreds of miles below – a la what Ed [Grimsley] has been showing us now for nights on the cruise and then last night up at the hotel – that technology destroyed these 2 buildings – and brought them down by disintegrating at the molecular level – all their components and there's so much more correlating data that I don't have time – obviously but it's out there.

Is Mr. Hoagland therefore saying he can't really explain it? Sadly, Hoagland does not cover any evidence of why he thinks the weapon system might be in orbit. Dr. Wood was the first person to point out the circular holes and cut outs in the tops of the building – [but they may have been created by some type of interference effects, as circular holes have been created in 1 or more of John Hutchison's metal samples.](#)

Buzz Words and Jokes

Mr. Hoagland's presentation is peppered with jokes and buzz words. Do jokes enhance or suppress someone's critical thinking? Is it the case that "non-scientists" can use scientific-sounding buzz words repeatedly to make an audience think they are an authority on a given subject? Remember, Mr. Hoagland does not have a science degree – Dr. Judy Wood has completed 3 science degrees, post-doctoral research, and has been a university professor in her area of expertise.

Dr. Wood has observed in her book {pages 451-452} that using a "buzz word" can often discourage people from thinking about the details of a phenomenon and therefore they are less likely to develop an understanding of it.

Omissions

Even though Mr. Hoagland's presentation lasted the best part of 3 hours, there were many significant omissions and (as described above) a number of distortions.

Here are just a few of the omissions

- Seismic Evidence
- WTC "Bathtub" Description
- Round holes in windows and those double-paned windows where only the outer pane is broken
- Phenomenon of no "stab wounds" in the region of the 18-80th floors of the WTC
- The so called "jumpers" who jumped out of the tower before it was destroyed.
- Transmutation of materials.
- Rust Effects
- Weird Fires
- Ongoing effects at the site.
- Dirt piles

"Judy has found... these are in a huge warehouse at Kennedy airport – they snuck all this stuff out and trucked it to China to quickly melt so that all the evidence would be gone" You are looking at the biggest crime against humanity.

It appears that Mr. Hoagland must be referring to someone other than Dr. Wood because these issues are not part of a scientific analysis of empirical evidence that Dr. Wood has presented.

At around the 48:00 mark, Mr. Hoagland states that

"Judy" is a materials scientist/engineer. Her professional credentials are that she basically back engineers stuff that breaks so the new stuff won't break.

Quite a number of times, he mentions "Judy" rather than "Dr. Judy" or "Dr. Wood" – and in this case he makes no mention of one of her special areas of expertise – Interference and Optical Methods which are used in Stress Analysis.

At 49:30, Mr. Hoagland states he has not publicly spoken about 9/11 until now, but it is clear the evidence has been available for at least 5 years. For example, Dr. Wood had posted: The BBE in 2005, DEW series in 2006, RFC in 2007 and qui-tam in 2007. In 2008 Mr. Hoagland was still talking about Osama Bin Laden (see above).

In a statement quite reminiscent of Richard Gage [of the bogus AE9/11 group](#), at 49:50 Mr. Hoagland asks

"for people to 'demand' a new investigation."

Why did he do this? He seems to be neglecting the comprehensive-forensic investigation that Dr. Wood has conducted and that he is (misre)presenting!

At 51:46, Mr. Hoagland makes a smaller error and refers to the "Union Trust" building – which should be the Bankers Trust or Deutsche Bank building.

At 59:00 Mr. Hoagland mentions the Toasted cars stating

"They burned they melted, they ran like puddles of liquid iron. They flipped over upside down."

Dr. Wood has not catalogued any evidence of melted and "running puddles" of iron. Indeed, this is what Steve Jones and Richard Gage have tried to convince people of – why is Mr. Hoagland so confused about the issue of heat?

Fair Use?

Dr. Wood did the research in her area of expertise as a scientist, began speaking the truth, and in doing so, ended up with no job. Receiving some support from a few others, she has written a 500-page book which has largely been self-financed.

And it is the matter of the publication of the book which we now turn to.

Dr. Judy Wood's Science Book – "Where Did The Towers Go?"

It should be noted that Dr. Wood's compendious forensic study (which Hoagland, at around 56:20 in his presentation, derogatively described as a "25-pound door stop") was published in 2010 and Dr. Wood announced its availability on her website in an interview on Red Ice Creations in January 2011. We have wondered if there is any connection between the recent publication of this book and Mr. Hoagland's presentation of *some* of the evidence contained within it.

At this point, it is unclear if Mr. Hoagland was aware the existence of or had read a copy of the book before his presentation.

However, what is known is the reason why Dr. Wood's book has been "self-published".

Publish and Be Damned

Following an arrangement by someone else, Feral House was approached to publish the book that Dr. Judy Wood has spent considerable time compiling. As mentioned near the start of this article, this publisher is the same one that has published Dark Mission (Hoagland / Bara) and several works by Joseph P Farrell.

In late 2008 and into 2009, there was discussion about the length of the book, that it would be in colour throughout with many photographs, and that it needed to remain confidential until released, but in early 2009 the publisher did sign a contract with Dr. Judy Wood to publish the book and a small advance was paid to her. A cover was designed for the book, based on the design that Dr. Wood completed.

The story of what happened over the next few weeks is rather long and convoluted, but the end result was that the publisher made various claims and eventually published a listing for a book which indicated it would have been much reduced from the original proposed length – and it would not have had enough images in it to illustrate properly to the reader *what actually happened on 9/11*. Also, it was priced as a *black-and-white book, which is much-much cheaper to produce*.

Realizing there had been no "meeting of minds" for the contract, Dr. Wood asked to terminate the contract, but the publisher refused to allow her out of the contract and refused to publish her book. One of the reasons given was that her book (540 total pages) was too many pages, yet a book (Dark Mission) by the same publisher had more pages. It had begun to seem like Adam Parfrey, who runs Feral House, had no intention of publishing the book. Numerous people had been impatiently waiting to buy the book and were contacting the publisher, asking about it. Eventually Dr. Wood was allowed to buy back the contract.

Farrell's Research. However, at no point in the presentation does Mr. Hoagland describe Dr. Judy Wood as a friend. Also, he does not state whether she gave permission for Mr. Hoagland to present her research (as already implied, she did not – as she was never contacted and asked this question). However, he refers to Dr. Wood by her first name only for much of his presentation, giving the audience the impression they are "close friends." Dr. Joseph Farrell has had a number of his own books published with the same publisher as Mr. Hoagland – [Feral House](#) – a topic we will return to later. [Richard Hoagland has appeared with Joseph Farrell on "Coast to Coast" on August 21 2008.](#) Joseph Farrell has also spoken about Dr. Judy Wood's research on one or two radio appearances – for example, in one of a number of broadcasts on ["The Byte Show"](#). More recently, however, [Dr. Farrell seemed to be rather less interested in talking about 9/11, it seems.](#)

Another Friend – John Hutchison...?

At around 95:20 into the presentation Mr. Hoagland states (presumably showing a slide):

This is John Hutchison. John is a character – I've known him for 10 or 15 years. John is one of those exquisite, no holds barred 'let me see what I can come up with tomorrow to just play with the universe' kind of garage tinkerers.

[As Dr. Wood has documented](#) – [and I have also stated](#) – John Hutchison's research is one of the keys to understanding how 9/11 was "done" – few people will talk about this in relation to 9/11 (so I was initially surprised when Mr. Hoagland did).

At around 95:30, Mr. Hoagland stated that John Hutchison was in the Navy – I doubted this was true, so e-mailed John to ask him. John confirmed *he was never in the Navy* (see e-mails below).

At about 103:00, Mr. Hoagland stated there was a petition to "get John out of his apartment" (in New Westminster, Vancouver, Canada) - John said in e-mail to me that no one wanted him to leave - he left to be with his partner Nancy Lazaryan and work on the Gulf Oil aftermath - with some success. (see e-mails below)

These are significant errors – the second of which appears to be some kind of attempt to cast John in a bad light.

Masonic Symbols and Presidents and the 9/11 "Truth" Movement

In his presentation, Mr. Hoagland does not start talking about 9/11 until around the 37 minute mark. In doing so, he mentions Masonic symbolism (e.g. the Twin Towers represent Jachin and Boaz). At around 39:30, he criticises the 9/11 "truth" movement, suggesting it is

...not truth, at least in the sense of the leadership – because the story they're spinning is as fictional as the story that the Bush administration

the UK distributor was a company called [Turnaround Publisher Services Ltd](#) so I wrote to them and they have recently agreed to update the book listing.

A Macabre Twist

In my attempts to find out who the UK Distributor for Feral House was, I came across some disturbing information. [In 2001, Feral House decided they would publish a book by convicted serial killer Ian Brady.](#) This I found deeply troubling – as the book itself was called “The Gates of Janus” and is about serial killers. [There was, not surprisingly, considerable consternation about the publishing of this book.](#)

Perhaps it is a very good thing that Dr. Wood’s work was not published by Feral House. Perhaps it is also a good thing that there is not, nor has there ever been any direct communication between Mr. Hoagland and Dr. Judy Wood.

John Hutchison E-Mails

From: john hutchison

Sent: 05 April 2011 20:46

To: ad.johnson@ntlworld.com

Subject: Re: Quick Question - were you ever in the Navy?

no i worked on taking apart navy ships and sinking the articial reef society of bc canada also more info at peswiki on what iam doing in the gulf cheers john

<http://www.sandyfoxworld.com/> check out sandy fox site

richards new tv stuff

<http://www.t TMZ.com/videos?autoplay=true&mediaKey=6e8c2b37-b241-4a32-a3d5-db4617ff8c4e>

www.teymusic.com latest hit

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UeNQGDlZrR0>

(And support the Q Initiative) It going bigger

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!<http://www.youtube.com/user/OnQinitiative>

ALL LINKS <http://www.myspace.com/johnkhutchison> linked to facebook, fan box ,mtv,

<http://www.youtube.com/user/johnkhutchison1?feature=mhum> you tube channel with all links lots of them and my tv shows

<http://www.youtube.com/user/HUTCHISONEFFECT2009> more stuff

latest tv film look here <http://www.youtube.com/user/NEWSCNNTOP1> more stuff

film footage www.gryphonproductions.com contact peter

Both myself and especially Dr. Wood work very, very hard to get details correct – science and engineering are nothing without details being correct. Hence, we both felt we needed to point out where there are mistakes in his presentation so we can ensure a “true and accurate record” of these matters becomes available to people.

Some people, I am sure, will criticise me – or us - for what may be termed “nitpicking” – however, my response is simply to refer to the previous paragraph about why details are important. Additionally, both Dr. Wood and myself are, or have been, involved in education professionally – which again means we *must* do our *absolute best* to ensure that information is passed on accurately and truthfully to those whom we are attempting to guide towards a complete understanding of whatever topic we are tasked with explaining to them. Finally, if “details” are to be submitted to court (as Dr. Wood and Jerry Leaphart did), they must be *absolutely solid and definitive*.

Telling the Truth

People will need to [analyse the presentation closely](#) to see some of the subtlety of the errors and mis-representations – and also, again, consider why Mr Hoagland did not present research from “Dark Mission” or other research from his own lengthy articles. Perhaps it is a measure of the importance of Dr. Judy Wood’s research that he decided to do what he did.

It is worth noting carefully what [Richard Hoagland stated about the events of 9/11 in a Coast to Coast Broadcast on 16 April 2008.](#) In discussing some developments in Solar Power with George Noory, he seemed to believe (what can be called) the “Bin Laden fantasy”:

{mp3remote}http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/9/11/Richard%20Hoagland%20on%209-11%20Coast%20to%20Coast%20-%20Apr%2016%202008.mp3{/mp3remote}

At 1:00 into this clip he states:

*“This is a revolution that’s here - and all it’s waiting for is the right president to say ‘this is what we’re going to do – who wants to get on board’.... This is the way we change the world, I mean if you look at CNN, you look at NBC, you look at Fox, you look at the litany of awful bad news that we’ve been living with for almost 10 years now – since 2001 – since 9/11. **What was the single biggest reason why Bin Laden ostensibly killed 3000 Americans?**”*

So, an initial question then is, what made Mr. Hoagland change his mind *so much* in the intervening 3 years? Dr. Judy Wood’s research has been posted quite prominently since at least 2006 and she has been speaking about it since then.

It makes it all the more ironic – laughable even – that in his [Apr 3rd 2011 presentation](#), Mr. Hoagland should rebuff a statement from the audience about Mohammad Atta’s Passport being found on the ground. That is, at 1:14:50 Mr. Hoagland states:

Subject: RE:Quick Question - were you ever in the Navy?

Hope you're well...
I am working to correct some information... be great if you were able to let me know!
A presentation I listened to by Richard Hoagland said that you left Vancouver because there was a petition by neighbours - is this true?
All the best
Andrew

Please See

<http://www.drjudywood.com/>

<http://www.wheredidthetowersgo.com>



Is Richard Hoagland on a 'Dark Mission'?

Andrew Johnson (ad.johnson@ntlworld.com)
Apr 11th 2011

Richard C. Hoagland

Readers of other articles I have written will perhaps be wondering why I now seem to be writing an article about Mr Richard Hoagland – a well known researcher who is a regular guest and contributor to the popular “alternative” late night US syndicated talk show “Coast to Coast”. Mr Hoagland is billed as “Science Advisor” to the programme, though he does not have any formal qualifications in science subjects. Mr Hoagland, however, did apparently work as a Curator for NASA for some time and he also worked with famed CBS reporter, Walter Kronkite – presumably on reports relating to NASA and/or the Apollo and other space missions.

Richard C. Hoagland's Main Areas of Research

Starting in about 1983, Richard Hoagland has presented some very interesting information about [Mars](#), the Moon and especially [Iapetus](#) and he (and others) have highlighted a number of anomalies in various photos which have been sent back by unmanned probes. Mr Hoagland has posted some quite fascinating, if at times verbose, articles on his website “[Enterprise Mission](#)”. He has mainly focused on “space-related” research topics and he has covered these at length in books such as “[Monuments of Mars](#)” and more recently “[Dark Mission](#)”. Readers of some of his articles may conclude that Mr Hoagland is something of a “trekker” – although unlike this author, [Mr Hoagland apparently knew Gene Roddenberry for some time](#).

Outside of space research, Mr Hoagland posted a very interesting series of articles about the so-called “[Norway Spiral](#)”. [However, in July 2010, he stated on Coast to Coast that a large undersea region in the Gulf of Mexico could explode from a methane build up, causing a tsunami](#). This has not happened, however.

Secret Space Programme Conference

With this said, it can perhaps be seen why I was interested that on Sunday April 3rd, 2011, [Richard Hoagland gave a presentation](#) in Amsterdam, as part of a conference entitled “[The Secret Space Programme](#)”. In August 2010, I was made aware by the organiser, Jeroen Van Straaten, that this conference would be happening. At that time, I had spoken to Jeroen at the Exopolitics Leeds Conference, where I presented “[The Case For Antigravity](#)”. (This presentation fits directly into the “Secret Space Programme” research area.) Jeroen did invite me to have a table to distribute some materials there. However, due to certain timing and family commitments, I decided not to attend. I was also grateful to Jeroen for offering to display a few copies of my self-published book entitled “[9/11 Finding the Truth](#)”. Jeroen also displayed some DVDs of a [TV presentation by myself and Dr. Judy Wood that was done in Feb 2009 - about the destruction of the WTC](#), along with some flyers for [Dr. Judy Wood's hugely important book "Where Did the Towers Go? Evidence of Directed Free-Energy Technology on 9/11"](#)



Is Richard Hoagland on a 'Dark Mission'?

