

WHO IS DAVID E. MARTIN?



The following information is a presentation of evidence, combined with my opinions, based on factual details available in the public domain. My opinions are based on the evidence. I do not claim to present an overarching or objective truth, and I encourage all readers to engage in their own research by following the links available in this document. It is up to each individual to research for themselves in order to determine whether or not your conclusions align with my assessment and analysis of the evidence. Consider this document a starting point to a larger body of research and more thorough investigation.

For some of us, this person's duplicity in the psychological operation was evident from the moment he sat down with Mikki Willis for an interview. We didn't even need to research further, because we felt it intuitively. I smelled the deception before reading a single word about him, and now here we are, one year later - and people are *still* promoting this guy as some sort of

truth-teller, and freedom-fighter. But if you understand that this entire COVID19 operation is part of a psychological war, then you might also understand that David's bioweapon story is and always has been a **red herring**. If you don't understand this, then there's a long list of books you can read up on, on the subjects of Virology and Vaccines, and this paper probably isn't for you.

If you are emotionally invested in the idea of David E. Martin as a truth-seeker and a hero of the people (a man you've likely never met), then this paper is also probably not for you.

For those of you who do understand the nature of psychological warfare, some of you may still cling to the hope that this person is on your side. I understand your perspective, and I pass no judgement. In the first dimension of the psy-war, David is one of the 'good guys' because he's "exposing Dr. Fraudci's corruption" - but did you really need anyone to do that for you? Was it not *obvious* that Dr. Fraudci was a liar from the very beginning of this operation? Fraudci has been involved in lies and deception [since the HIV scam](#) in the 1980s, and most people *still* believe that HIV causes AIDS, despite the [overwhelming evidence](#) to the [contrary](#). COVID19 uses the same template as the HIV operation. If you don't understand this yet, then [there's a long list of books you can read, and multiple documentaries](#) that you [can watch](#) on the subject.

Yes, David *seems* like he's fighting for us, but that's because most of us haven't learned how to think past the first layer of deception, yet. I'm not passing judgement. I used to be trapped in this layer, myself. Yes, David *is* doing some good in the world, but I think that's only the skin of the potato. When I peel off the skin, I see a larger, more sinister agenda. The larger agenda always leads back to the same places - the World Bank, the United Nations, the World Economic Forum, etc.

David E. Martin makes an appearance in Mikki Willis's propaganda film [Plandemic II](#), a quintessential [Limited Hangout](#) operation. This [alone](#) should have raised red flags. The goal of this propaganda film was to strike fear into the hearts of millions by putting a sexy, terrifying twist on the outlandish official story (a virus jumped from a bat to a human) and ask us to believe in an even more far-fetched, fear-based story about a virus *created* in a Lab and/or used as a bioweapon. In both scenarios, the virus is real and that's the lie they're selling. They play both sides for puppets and fools, and it will always work as long as the public continues to choose one

of their sides.

The virus is what they agree on, so that should clue everyone in on the lie that both sides are protecting. For me, there was something very suspicious about Mikki Willis from the beginning. None of what he was selling felt authentic or genuine, nevermind the fact that it wasn't supported by any *real* evidence. If you disagree, then I encourage you to watch Mikki Willis [tell his tall tale about being at "Ground Zero" on 9/11](#). Now, you are free to believe that this person is recounting an honest and true story about his experience on 9/11, with absolutely no judgements from me. But if you have studied 9/11 thoroughly, then you know without a doubt that this story is a lie. If you haven't, then you must rely on your intuition.

Here's another [video](#) to consider. Just notice the level of fear and emotional manipulation in his delivery, and decide for yourself what this is all about.

A simple question to ask after watching these two videos would be - what are the odds that one person would find himself right in the middle of the two largest and most influential global events of our entire lives? Divine intervention? Or something else? If you can calculate those odds, then you'll have your answer. If not, then trust your intuition. For those who think that this is all just a matter of random coincidence, then perhaps watch [one last video](#), where you will see Mikki in the far background on the left. You might think it doesn't look like him, but here [he is, telling the story](#). Strange, isn't it?

In short, the *Plandemic* franchise presents a script written for the segment of the population who have doubts about the official story, and for those who have enough awareness to understand that the official story is a lie. In his *Plandemic II* interview, David helps to plant the seed of doubt as to the "origin" of SARS-COV-2. A seed that would eventually grow into the full fledged, official mainstream "Bioweapon" conspiracy theory of the COVID19 PsyOp, thus accomplishing one of the main dictates of propaganda - to divide the populace into two distinct camps, thereby obliterating any dialog in the space in between. Because the public is divided about the *origins* of the virus, whether it is a manmade bioweapon or an organic bat-to-human phenomenon, they won't stop and ask for the *evidence* that the virus actually exists and is the cause of the illness.

The *Plandemic II* propaganda film (like its prequel) was given an artificial

boost by the mainstream media and big tech, under the disguise of “censorship.” The film called out [Operation Mockingbird](#) to inform the public about the CIA’s infiltration of the media. But how many watching would even think to consider that Operation Mockingbird might also apply to the makers of this film? Most of the skeptics don’t consider this angle, because they’ve already subconsciously chosen the narrative of the filmmakers. Once we’ve chosen a side, then it becomes more difficult to see the deception that’s coming from the side we’ve chosen. That’s how the Divide and Conquer strategy works.

So, let’s learn what we can about David, not from conspiracy theories, but from his own website. Some of you may have already read some of this, and I will try to connect some more dots and apply some basic principles of logic.

From David’s [Website](#):

*Dr. David Martin **knows who he is**. And he’s here to show others how to remember what it means to put humanity into the human experience. Over the past 5 decades he’s fully lived and has done so in service to humanity. Unlike many who build an identity around accomplishments, he uses his actions to show others the vast extremes of possibility.*


In the opening paragraph, we learn that David doesn’t build his identity around his accomplishments, and then we get treated to an entire list of his accomplishments, which, I must say, seem somewhat super-human, similar to the likes of the Elon Musk types - Superman genius figures who are likely just a front for intelligence and military operations. They may not even know that they’re serving an agenda (although I’m pretty sure Musk does). Somehow these people have super-powers that allow them to accomplish what the average person would in 10 lifetimes. If you think Elon Musk is actually an independent entrepreneur who is flying robots to Mars, then I suggest you do some additional homework. Watch some of David’s videos and pay close attention to how often he talks about his accomplishments, then remember that little bit on his website where they mentioned he doesn’t build his identity around his accomplishments. This is one of many manipulation tactics employed by propagandists, known as [Appeal to Authority](#).

But you don’t have to take my word for it (although I’m happy to give you my opinion) – just read what’s on **his own website**:

*His first invention was a **laser integrated system** to target and treat inoperable tumors. His mathematics helped unravel the way the human body processes hormones and led to the detection and treatment of many diseases.*

So, is David an **inventor** or a **mathematician**? Maybe both? How *precisely* did his “mathematics” help “unravel the way the human body processes hormones?” What does this even mean? What are the details of this technology and what

was David's exact role in bringing it to market so that it could do its magical "unraveling"? How many years did David work on this project and what training/education did he have that gave him the skills to build this...



His observation of human behavior led to his development of technology which deciphers the intention and motivation of communication – a technology that has impacted and saved the lives of billions.

What is this technology and how *precisely* does it work? When did he develop it and with whom? How long did it take? Where did the funding come from? What education / training did he have that gave him the necessary skills to develop this technology? How *precisely* does this technology “decipher human intention” and motivation of community? **What does that even mean?** What are the *mechanisms* of this technology that allow it to do such a thing? How *exactly* did it “save the lives of billions”? How was that measured and what companies were involved in bringing it to market? I’m sure there’s reasonable answers to these questions. I’m not implying anything (yet), I’m just asking the questions.

*His global business activities served to develop the world’s top-performing global equity index (including the **CNBC IQ100 powered by M-CAM**).*

David is the current CEO and Chairman of M-CAM, which he founded, in 1998. Here is their logo.



From their [About Us Page](#):

Our Values

WHERE CREATIVITY AND HUMANITY ARE VALUED THROUGH CELEBRATING AND ACKNOWLEDGING INGENUITY, CUSTOMS AND CULTURES FROM AROUND THE GLOBE, INNOVATION, AND HERITABLE KNOWLEDGE.

“WE DEVELOPED STRUCTURED FINANCE SOLUTIONS WHICH EMBRACE INNOVATION AND OBSOLESCENCE SO THAT LARGE SCALE INFRASTRUCTURE AND CIVIL SOCIETY PROJECTS CAN USE PUBLIC FUNDS MORE EFFICIENTLY”

*Along the way, we developed **structured finance solutions** which embrace **innovation** and **obsolescence** so that large scale **infrastructure** and **civil society projects** can use public funds more efficiently. Realizing that public expenditures are made across the **globe**, we’ve integrated **sovereign technology credit obligations** and **trade credit offsets** to form the most efficient and accountable **investment** products.*

*Along the way, we developed the only government-recognized and used (both for **Congress and the U.S. Treasury**) technology platform to audit patent and intangible asset quality and market enforceability. We are reminded of President Garfield’s quote from 1880, “I am an advocate for paper money, but that paper money must represent what it professes on its face. I do not wish to hold in my hands the printed lies of the government.” Well, President Garfield (and all of us) can rest easy because we find the counterfeit innovation and get it out of circulation.*

*Along the way, we M·CAM’d ourselves and innovated a variety of **products and services** that allow businesses, schools, governments and individuals a means of using the Global Innovation*

*Commons and our unstructured intent-based communications analysis systems to gain unprecedented visibility and actionable intelligence to insure that effective, legitimate innovation gets deployed **to benefit all of us.***

I'm not even digging deep here – it's on their website. If you can figure out what any of this **actually means**, then you might touch upon some themes like Social Engineering and Restructuring Society through new Financial Investment schemes. All for the benefit of humanity, of course.

Let's continue with David's bio:

*He's brought the world's largest white-collar criminals to justice **[who?]** and brought the world's most oppressed and disenfranchised transformative ways to engage. **[What does this mean?]** From the starry expanses of **Mongolia** to the flashing lights of New York, his work is as passion-filled whether it's with a camel herder or a global CEO.*

When was David in Mongolia and what was he doing there? What were the circumstances that brought David to Mongolia? Here is a [speech](#) he gave in Mongolia in 2010, at the EBI ([European Banking Institute](#)) Think Tank Freedom Dinner. You can read their mission statement on their website and you can read the EBI's *Pandemic Crisis Related Economic and Financial Regulation Measures* document [here](#).

If David's technology can help bring white-collar criminals to justice, can it also be used to help white-collar criminals get away with their crimes and avoid detection?

On June 4, 2010, David delivered a "Plenary Address At **Mongolia's** First International Forum On Business Incubation". His speech was titled: [Telling the Mongolia Story: An Economic Vision Beyond Resources](#).

*"This conference brought together over 500 delegates from across Mongolia to discuss **new ways to develop the economic and social potential of Mongolia.** M·CAM is working with a number of local communities in the South Gobi desert and in the highlands in the Western part of the country to begin the process of **establishing a Heritable Innovation Trust program** for the country and its communities in partnership with Tsend Enkhtuya, Vice President of the Mongolia Business Incubation Federation. For a complete transcript of Dr. Martin's speech, please download the link below."*

Unfortunately, they don't actually provide the link, so I couldn't read the speech, but if you look down at the footer at the bottom of the page, you will see all of David's patents listed.

Ahh okay, so David's patents and indexes are connected to these projects? It's still not clear what exactly brought David to Mongolia. Why Mongolia? I have no

idea. But what I do know is that Mongolia has “rich deposits of **copper, coal, gold, silver, iron ore, zinc, fluorspar, molybdenum, uranium, tin, tungsten, natural gas and petroleum**. Abundant mineral reserves are found throughout the country, although only around 30% of Mongolia's territory has been explored.” You can read more about [Mining in Mongolia here](#).

It's not a red flag per se, and I'm not going to speculate or engage in conjecture but I will make a connection back to it later.

He tells his own story in the critically acclaimed documentary Future Dreaming and breaks down economic injustice in Patent Wars. An author, public speaker, business visionary, professor, researcher, oracle, father, and friend, David is a man Fully Living.

Oh wow! So David isn't just an inventor, mathematician, Chairman and CEO, he's also an author, public speaker, business visionary, professor, researcher and ...
oracle?

Google's definition of oracle:

a priest or priestess acting as a medium through whom advice or prophecy was sought from the gods in classical antiquity.

Well, that's quite a claim. At least he's humble.

All that was in the first 50 years, now that he is warmed up even greater things are coming! Equipped with his 'Integral Accounting insights' and his 'Breathing Enterprise implementation', what's happening today is more interesting than everything before. And the best thing of all is that, if you'd like to experience what it's like to be fully living, you can be part of the action!

*Together with Kim Martin – the woman that taught him some of his most important lessons about fully living – and a core team of masterful colleagues, you too can step into the full essence of what it means to **Fully Live!***

Wow! Where do I sign up, and how much does it cost? What exactly are these “Integral Accounting Insights” and how does his “Breathing Enterprise Implementation” work? Can these things really help me learn how to **fully live?**

Well, if you go to [one of his many websites](#), you can find the answer.



What:

A 4 Day Intensive Workshop

When:

March 19th - 22nd 2021 with a welcome dinner Thursday evening 18th March, 6pm-8pm.

Where:

Charlottesville, Virginia

How Much: \$2750 USD or \$4800 per couple

Payment plans available.

Lunch, Snacks, tea & coffee provided

For more information, email Kim Martin at fullylive-world@gmail.com

www.fullylive.world

Now, I know what you're thinking - \$2750 for a 4-day workshop sounds expensive! But keep in mind - that includes lunch, snacks and coffee! It's really not **that** expensive, is it? Just think about how you'll be **fully living** when you're done with this 4-day workshop!

I encourage you to read all of the text on the page (which reads like a Tony Robbins' brochure) at the link above, and **come to your own conclusions**.

You can start at the sentence that reads: "David and Kim's (his wife) workshops are uniquely designed to give you **the tools to navigate your purpose in the world without dependency on belief, programs or experts.**"

Well, except for *these experts* and their \$2,750 **program**.

One suggestion - after you reach the end of each sentence ask yourself the following, simple question about the sentence you just read:

What does this even **mean?**

Now again, I'm not doing any deep sleuthing here, I'm just showing you what's on his website.

Next, if you can stomach it, read through [this paper](#) that David wrote in 2010. You probably won't find any immediate or obvious red flags, but you'll notice a few interesting themes emerging, namely the narrative about **investing in foreign**

countries. For humanitarian purposes, no doubt...right? If you don't want to read the entire paper, then just skim through it.

Back to David's Bio:

*Dr. David E. Martin is the Founder and Chairman of M·CAM Inc., the international leader in innovation finance, trade, and **intangible asset finance**. He is the developer of the first innovation-based quantitative index of public equities and is the Managing Partner of the [Purple Bridge Funds](#).*

*He is the creator of the world's first quantitative public equity index – **the CNBC IQ100** powered by M·CAM.*

The **CNBC IQ 100** is an exclusive, data-driven index of the 100 large-cap companies best using technology to invest in and profit from new business opportunities.

[Look at the companies](#) in this index. Among them you will find companies like Amazon, Apple, Chevron, CitiGroup, JP Morgan, Clorox, Coca-Cola, Exxon Mobil, **Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, MasterCard, Visa, and more.**

Now remember, this **CNBC index is powered by M-SCAM International** – which is David's company. He's the **Founder and CEO**.

In other words, if Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer and these other companies do well, then David's index also does well. And how did these giant corporations do during the pandemic? They did *really, really* well. To put it another way, if Johnson & Johnson and Pfizer sell a lot of vaccines, David will be **fully living**.

*Actively engaged in **global ethical economic development**, Dr. Martin's work includes **financial engineering** and investment, public speaking, writing and providing financial advisory services to the **majority of countries in the world**.*

Financial advisory services to the **majority of countries in the world? How?** And how *precisely* is he "**advising**" the majority of the countries in the world to invest their money? In one of his indexes? Does investing in Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, MasterCard, Visa, JP Morgan, CitiGroup, etc. qualify as **ethical?**

Note that phrase "global ethical economic development" ... it sounds beguilingly positive. The word 'ethical' is defined as "relating to moral principles" – so, "global ethical economic development" is really only ethical if **their** moral principles align with **yours**. In other words, "ethical" in this context is *subjective*. What **they** consider ethical may not be what **you** consider ethical, and vice versa.
*Dr. Martin is the architect and founder of the **Global Innovation Commons** ...*

What is the [Global Innovation Commons](#)?

The Global Innovation Commons is a massive **interactive archive of energy-saving technologies whose patents have expired**, been abandoned or simply have no protection. The idea is to let entrepreneurs and national governments query the database on a country-by-country basis to identify helpful technologies that are in the public domain. Once identified, these technologies for energy, water and agriculture are prime candidates for being developed at lower costs than patented technologies.

The World Bank is a partner on this project, along with the International Finance Corporation's [infoDev](#) unit. The World Bank has estimated that the technologies in the GIC database could [save more than \\$2 trillion in potential license fees](#). The Global Innovation Commons essentially seeks to bring the advantages of the open-source software development model — open participation, **faster innovation**, greater reliability, cheaper costs — to technologies that are claimed to be patented.

... David E. Martin, an **intellectual property activist** who works with many developing countries, argues that a great many **green technologies** are already in the public domain and ready to be developed. **They just need to be identified and used.**

Martin's brilliant and **subversive innovation**, launched last week, is the [Global Innovation Commons](#). The project is described in a cover article in the German magazine Der Spiegel [Patent Lies: Who Says Saving the Planet Has to Cost a Fortune?](#)

Hopefully you're starting to put some pieces of the puzzle together. You can also read [this one page paper](#). In this paper, you will read the following:

*Due to changes in patent law in the early 1980's that effectively removed the need to reduce a stated invention to demonstrated practice, American, European, and Japanese corporations started a race to patent broad technology claims in fields ranging from hydroelectric power to **biochips** and fuel cells...G.I.C. specifically covers the fields of **Clean Energy**, Water, Agriculture and **Health Care**.*

Personally, I can't think of **anything** more boring than reading about patents so I'm not going to spend too much time on this, but you can dig further, if you like. You don't have to rely on conspiracy theories, you can read David's own words about Global Innovation Commons [here](#):

*Patents represent a **social contract** about **innovation** – the public, **via government**, **grants** limited-term **monopolies** to entrepreneurs as a way to **encourage innovation**, and the public reaps new knowledge and market access to new technologies. This **social contract** to “**promote science and the useful arts**” has in fact done little to achieve that goal, which has instead been pursued mostly through **public funding** of academic research and contracts with*

industrial enterprises. At best, patents have been a means to **manage market scarcity and thereby profits**. As a practical matter, they have been more useful as **litigation weapons** or tokens of individual achievement.

The project seeks to bring the advantages of the open source software development model – open participation, **faster innovation, greater reliability, cheaper costs – to technologies whose patent claims are no longer valid. This means that, as of right now, you can take a step into a world full of possibilities, not roadblocks. You want **clean water for China or Sudan** – it's in here. You want **carbon-free energy**–it's in here. You want **food production for Asia or South America** – it's in here.**

When one employs skills of discernment, by reading between the lines to discover the fundamental message or intention behind that message, then one might see these themes develop further...

and [David] is the author of the international legal framework for the **Heritable Knowledge Trust** and **Heritable Innovation Trust** programs.

More patents, trusts and indexes? Not my area of expertise, but you can watch David's [Video on "Quantum Disentanglement"](#) from the Breakthrough Energy Movement conference, (2012 Holland) and while you peruse, I would recommend looking out for the theme of **Global Economic Development**, which I deem as both relevant and prevalent.



QUANTUM DISENTANGLEMENT:
EMANCIPATING THE ECONOMICS FROM
EDISON AND EINSTEIN TO **EVERYONE**
A.K.A
POWERING THE COMMON WEALTH



dr. david e. martin

chairman, M CAM
batten fellow, university of virginia
dem@m-cam.com

There's nothing evil about it, it's *ethical*. It's for the common wealth.

A [commonwealth](#) is a traditional English term for a political community founded for the [common good](#) ... The noun "commonwealth", meaning "public welfare, general good or advantage", dates from the 15th century.

“It’s for your safety”... “[it’s for the common good](#)”... As an example - how many people have you heard recently state that they would support vaccine passports because it’s for “[the common good](#)”?

This concept and utilization of “the common good” meets many of the definition properties of propaganda – loaded language, intentional vagueness, bandwagon fallacy, glittering generality, etc.

How many times have we heard about this concept of the common good [throughout history](#)? Here’s just one example.

"The common good before the individual good. (Gemeinnutz geht vor Eigennutz)"
-- Adolf Hitler, February 1920

Continuing with David’s Bio:

*He has pioneered **global programs** to bring corporate and stock market transparency to multi-national extractive industries and has been instrumental in repatriating value to countries which have been subject to corporate and financial abuses. His work on **ethical** engagement and stewardship of community and commons-based value interests is at the forefront of **global financial innovation**. Dr. Martin is a **Batten Fellow** at the University of **Virginia’s** Darden Graduate School of Business Administration.*

[Wikipedia tell us:](#)

*The Batten Institute for entrepreneurship and innovation is one of the Centers of Excellence at the University of **Virginia’s** Darden Graduate School of Business Administration. It was founded in 1999 with a \$60 million gift to the Darden School from alumnus Frank Batten, chairman of Landmark Communications, founder of **The Weather Channel**.*

[Landmark Media Enterprises, LLC](#) (a spinoff of Landmark Communications, Inc.) is a *privately held **media company** headquartered in Norfolk, **Virginia** specializing in newspaper publishing, Internet publishing and software.*

Back to David’s bio:

*He served as **Chair of Economic Innovation for the UN-affiliated Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Organization** and has served as an advisor to numerous **Central Banks, global economic forums, the World Bank and International Finance Corporation, and national governments.***

Does this particular list of accomplishments that span the realms of governance, finance, and corporatism present David as a viable and authentic leading voice in the “opposition” or “resistance” movement when it comes to Vaccines or “Bioweapons” or whatever?

The [Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Organization](#) is an official (and now

defunct) NGO of the United Nations. [Read the letter](#) that their secretary wrote to the United Nations where they express their intent to advance the ten principles of the [UN Global Compact](#).

[Using the internet archive](#), we can find an [old version of their website](#) in which they explicitly state their intentions to achieve the [United Nations Millennium Development Goals](#).

[Wikipedia tells](#) us that the Millennium Development Goals:

were eight international development goals for the year 2015 that had been established following the Millennium Summit of the United Nations in 2000, following the adoption of the United Nations Millennium Declaration. These were based on the OECD DAC International Development Goals agreed by Development Ministers in the "Shaping the 21st Century Strategy".

You can also read about the 8 Millennium Development Goals on the [World Health Organization's website](#), which were replaced by the [Sustainable Development Goals](#). The [Sustainable Development Goals](#) are "17 goals with 169 targets that all UN Member States have agreed to work towards achieving by the year 2030."

When these globalists employ the word "sustainable", there's usually a [hidden agenda](#). This agenda is called Agenda 2030 ([formerly known](#) as [Agenda 21](#).) Here's a question: If David were really working **for** the people and **against** the globalists' agendas, would the UN make him the **Chair of Economic Innovation for the Intergovernmental Renewable Energy Organization**, an organization that has explicitly expressed their intention to **advance** these agendas? Does the UN have our best interests in mind? Is the U.N. *really* interested in helping the world's most downtrodden, oppressed and poor?

Here's another question: Why would the World Bank, IFC, national governments and numerous Central Banks hire someone as an **advisor**, unless that someone was serving their interests? While ethics are largely subjective, it is difficult for me to believe that these entities and organizations are engaged in "ethical" humanitarian issues, and are genuinely interested in *improving* the lot of the average human being. Based on their own words and documents, all of these entities that David is working with are advancing these nefarious global agendas. *A spokesperson for **global financial** and intangible asset accountability and quality reform, Dr. Martin has worked closely with the **United States Congress** and numerous trade and **financial regulatory agencies** in the United States, Europe, and Asia in advocating and deploying infrastructure to support growing reliance on contract and proprietary rights in business transactions. Under the leadership of Dr. Martin, M-CAM has supported the **modernization of banking**, intangible asset, tax, and accounting **laws** through its work with **oversight agencies and policy makers**.*

Do I need to comment? Let's move on.

*Dr. Martin has founded several for-profit and not-for-profit companies and organizations and serves on **several** boards. He was a **Co-Chair of the ADC (Australia Davos Connection) Forum's Leadership Retreat and Critical Infrastructure and Cybersecurity Councils.***

What do you think these “cybersecurity councils” have planned for us?

From the ADC's [website](#):

Shape the future

*The ADC Forum provides actionable insights on the critical issues important for **shaping our future**, working alongside **leaders from business, government, academia, and civil society**, both within Australia and from around the world.*

How do you feel about these global institutions making decisions to shape our future?

*Promoting business excellence by encouraging policy debate on **global issues**;*

- *Improving the quality of **global leadership**;*
- *Enhancing Australia's position in the region and the **world**;*
- *Encouraging Australian leaders to help improve the quality of life of all Australians;*
- *Encouraging Australia to play a responsible, and leadership role where appropriate, within the **global community**; and*
- *Exposing Australian leaders to international experts and key international leaders.*

Global issues, global leadership, global community.

Also from their [About Me Page](#), we learn that ADC was founded by [Ninian Stephen](#) who was a [Knight Commander of the Order of the British Empire](#).

Read through the ADC's Advisory Council and International Faculty Members and perhaps do some additional research on these individuals.

*He was the founding **Director** of Melbourne's **Centre of Applied Innovation**.*

The **Centre of Applied Innovation** is a joint venture between David's company - M·CAM International, and Melbourne Polytechnic.

From their 2016 [Mission Statement](#) (written by David):

*As a result, the opportunity presents to consider a **new paradigm in education** in which: **sensory perception** is integrated and expanded; **synthetic analytics** are refined and tested; and, **social integration** is aligned towards **purposeful engagement**.*

*In other words, what we seek to foster is not a working class to serve the productive and consumptive needs of **rent-based consumer industrialism** but rather a **fully interactive***

participant in the social enterprise that can create, assimilate, and critique dynamic ecosystems and contribute in an accretive fashion to society.

To that end, we are building a **transformative model of education** which is explicitly designed to equip the **learner-citizen** to have the elasticity and **malleable traits to thrive in a rapidly adapting ecosystem**. Rather than relegating individuals based on social, economic, class, ethnic, or cultural taxonomies, **our explicit objective is to maximize the integrated capabilities of each learner-citizen to offer maximal utility to the community.**

They are blatantly telling us what their **explicit objective** is. No conspiracy theories required. I assert that these are outside of the realm of the ethical humanitarian objectives they claim to be. It's up to you to decipher what it actually means. It's open for interpretation, that's why it's so cunning.

To accomplish this, our programs are designed to:

1. Develop **ecosystem IQ** to **increase the sensory perception and resilience of learners;**
2. Develop **pluralistic models** of adaptive engagement both with the persistent ecosystem as well as **social forms** and pursuits;
3. Appropriately synthesize legacy knowledge of culture (science, technology, social and physical engineering, and metrics);
4. Clearly articulate **value in its exchange for physical and experiential pursuits;**
5. Architect and deploy models to enable **consensus experiences;** and,
6. Maximize the optionality of engagement at liberty in generative and respectful practices.

Though on the surface this sounds altruistic and benevolent, when one employs critical reading skills and careful discernment, the profuse employment of propaganda techniques becomes evident. For instance, if one considers the meaning of the purposeful diction utilized, then the subterfuge becomes clear, as does the motive behind it. Here's an example.

pluralistic:

*relating to or advocating a system in which **two or more states**, groups, principles, sources of authority, etc., coexist.*

*Moving from the **Adam Smith and John Stuart Mill paradigms of rentier, industrialist and laborer to a model of intelligent integrated participant**, our efforts will be experiential, participatory, and relevant.*

David tells us what his objective is – to move away from Adam Smith and John Stuart Mill's free market principles, and commit to replacing them with vague overtures and nebulous word salad. "Intelligent integrated participant" – like what, a robot?

*And using our **state of the art intelligence**, analytical, and application systems, our **learner-***

*citizens will be able to apply their learning to pursuits ranging from traditional industries to **transformative social impact**.*

That sounds an awful lot like *The Great Reset* to me. You can download that book for free, [here](#).

*He served as **Chairman and CEO** of eSurface. He was the founding CEO of [Mosaic Technologies, Inc.](#), a company that developed and commercialized technologies in advanced computational linguistics, dynamic **data compression and encryption**, **electrical field transmission**, medical diagnostics, and stealth/anechoic. He was a founding member of Japan's Institute for Interface Science and Technology (IIST).*

Wow! How on Earth can one man possibly do all of this, in one lifetime? Oh right, I forgot. He's an **oracle!**

In 2002, David founded and served as **Executive Director** of the **Charlottesville Venture Group** in **Virginia**, which has an unknown amount of revenue and number of employees. [Charlottesville is home to the NGIC](#). This Charlottesville Venture Group has been around [since at least 1998](#). On [this page](#), we can see some of their meetings and speeches from 1998 including:

- **What Banks Can and Cannot do for Businesses in Development.** - Mark Giles, President and CEO of Virginia National Bank.
- **Business and the Media:** What the media see as newsworthy and why.
- "The Role of State and Local Governments in the Support and **Development of Technology Business**", Senator Emily Couric, [\[speech\]](#)
- **Global Export Opportunities for High Technology Firms and Export Assistance.** US Department of Commerce - Jeffrey L. Gren

In 2011, they held a 10 session education program called [FastTrac TechVenture](#) for **technology** and **life science** entrepreneurs.

At their [11th Annual Business Forum](#), their keynote speaker was [Krishna Kodukula](#), a **pharmaceutical professional** with decades of experience in the **biopharmaceutical** and non-profit sectors, in research and business roles.

If we go back to David's bio, we can read that:

*He has served as a **board member** for the [Research Institute for Small and Emerging Business](#) (Washington, D.C.), the Academy for Augmenting Grassroots Technological Innovations (India), the Charlottesville Regional Chamber of Commerce (**Virginia**), and the Charlottesville Industrial Development Agency (**Virginia**), Humanitad (U.K), **Global Urban Development**, and many other agencies dedicated to **ethical human development**.*

When I Google **Augmenting Grassroots Technological Innovations**, the only thing that comes up is David's Bio and various articles/videos about David. What is this organization? Do they have a website?

When I Google **Charlottesville Industrial Development Agency**, the only thing that comes up is David's Bio. What is this organization? Do they have a website?

If you're not familiar with Humanidad, you can start by reading their mission statement on [their website](#) which ends with:

‘one world – one humanity‘

Read the full page to understand what this organization is all about. Apply critical assessment about their goals and objectives. You can also read their initiatives and see the people involved with this organization [here](#). Also, have a look at their logo.



Go here to read about [Global Urban Development](#). You don't need conspiracy theories, they lay it all out on their website.

WHO WE ARE

PUBLICATIONS

GUD MAGAZINE

GUD HISTORY & EVENTS

ENERGY & CLIMATE
PARTNERSHIP OF THE
AMERICAS

FACING THE ENVIRONMENTAL
CHALLENGE

ANALYZING GLOBAL URBAN
DEVELOPMENT

ENVISIONING SUSTAINABLE
FUTURES

Now this next part is important and also a little confusing, so I'll do my best to keep it organized and coherent.

As international policy contributor, **economist** and **futurist**, Dr. Martin's work at **The Arlington Institute** (U.S.) included accurately forecasting the global financial crisis of 2008 and working with the launch of **Singapore's Risk Assessment Horizon Scanning initiative**.

Google's definition of futurist:

relating to a vision of the future, especially one involving the development of technology.

From The Arlington Institute's [website](#):

*In 1989 **John Petersen** founded [The Arlington Institute](#) (TAI), a non-profit, **future-oriented** research institute, the purpose of which was to work with the leadership of the national security community to change the idea of "national security" from death and destruction to a broader understanding of security that included, **environmental degradation, climate change, social value shifts, science and technology breakthroughs**, and other **global issues** that clearly contributed to our security. The "**think tank**" now serves as a **global agent for change** focusing on facilitating **the transition to a new world**.*

*Mr. Petersen's government and political experience include stints at the **National War College**, the Institute for **National Security Studies**, the Office of the **Secretary of Defense**, and the **National Security Council staff at the White House**. He was a **naval flight officer in the U.S. Navy and Navy Reserve** and is a decorated veteran of both the Vietnam and Persian Gulf wars. He has served in senior positions for a number of **presidential political campaigns** and was an elected delegate to the Democratic National Convention in 1984. He was twice the runner-up to be **Secretary of the Navy**.*

Here's their logo.



You can learn more about **John L. Peterson** [here](#). He founded the Arlington Institute (**where David worked**). They helped launch **Singapore's Risk**

Assessment Horizon.

So, what exactly is **Singapore's Risk Assessment Horizon**?

Well, we can read about them in this document called [Rising Robotics and the Third Industrial Revolution](#)

Emerging Technologies and Society The *Emerging Technologies and Society* project is a collaboration between **Singapore's Risk Assessment Horizon** Scanning Programme Office (RPO) in the National Security Coordination Secretariat (NSCS) and the Atlantic Council Brent Scowcroft Center on International Security's Strategic Foresight Initiative (SFI). Initiated by RPO, **the project focuses on the political, economic, and societal impacts of significant innovations arising from the science and technology fields.** Through a series of meetings with leading researchers and private enterprises in the **Silicon Valley**, the project explores topics ranging from **ubiquitous robotics** and its impact on **human capital developments**, to algorithmic risk, quantum computing, and their challenges to national security.

Here is a book that you can read if you want to understand what these technocrats have planned for us. It's called [Technocracy in America: Rise of the Info State](#) - by [Parag Khanna](#).

In [Technocracy in America](#), **Parag Khanna** notes that **Singapore** is often described as the “**world's best run company.**” Central to its model is **Singapore's Centre for Strategic Futures (CSF).**

Who is **Parag Khanna** and why am I bringing him up here?

[Parag Khanna](#) was a Young Global Leader of the **World Economic Forum**, a fellow at the **Brookings Institution**, a Senior Research Fellow at the Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy at the **National University of Singapore**, a researcher at the [Council on Foreign Relations](#), and a **senior geopolitical adviser to U.S. Special Operations Command.**

[Parag Khanna](#) is an Indian American specialist in international relations. He is the managing partner of [FutureMap](#), and was formerly the managing partner of [Hybrid Reality](#) as well as Co-Founder & CEO of Factotum.

^^^ **Click on those 2 links**, read the short blurbs and look at their logos. They're not hiding in the shadows, they are out in the open. It's important because **Singapore is a central part of this story.**

By governing through “scenarios”, experts and citizens can converge to build realistic scenarios from which to instruct and construct *policy*.

Singapore's CSF aggregates these scenarios, and is plugged into the [World Economic Forum's Risk Response Network](#) and the Davos-based **World**

Risk Forum.

[World Risk Forum London](#) is a one day launch event brought to you by the **Mining Journal intelligence team**, designed to bring industry leaders together to discuss and debate the biggest risks facing the industry. It will cover topics like the Ongoing Challenge of Infrastructure, engaging with the next generation of talent, environmental protectionism, and planning, and much more.

This [connects](#) us back to [Mining](#) in [Mongolia](#), which needs more research.

The World Economic Forum has held its conference in Davos for over 50 years. This year, they're doing it in **Singapore**.

As a reminder, here is the World Economic Forum's Logo. The agenda is in your face and in their logos, always.



Are you following along so far? Now stay with me.

Singapore's CSF's "sister outfit," is the **Horizon Scanning Centre (HSC)** - as part of the **Risk Assessment and Horizon Scanning (RAHS)** program (**which David Martin Helped Launch**). As a core tool of the RAHS program, the HSC convenes an [annual International Risk Assessment and Horizon Scanning Symposium \(IRAHSS\)](#) gathering of **futures practitioners**.

This **Singapore** horizon scanning initiative analyzes signals of potential "future shocks," utilizing computer-based tools for scanning and modeling, for the purpose of building resilient systems.

In reference to the horizon scanning initiative, **David said**:

*“The implication of RAHS and its foresight into economic turning points in the horizon is tremendous. Many financial institutions already conduct their own **risk assessment**. However, with a platform to share information, especially across markets, this will be a more robust method of economic risk assessment and horizon scanning.”*

What does all of this mean? Well, just follow along for a little while longer...

Dr. David E. Martin [helped with the launch of the RAHS](#) while working for the Arlington Institute. The Arlington Institute’s efforts came to the attention of the government of **Singapore** in 2001, resulting in a **two-year partnership** to develop a tool suite called **DIANE (Digital Analysis Environment)**.

[DIANE: Revolutionizing the Way We Collect, Analyze, and Share Information](#)

You can read more about DIANE [here](#).

DIANE represented the beginning of a revolution in what the Arlington Institute called “**anticipatory analysis**” — the process of analysis with the goal of [anticipating the emergence of specific futures](#). It was the success of **DIANE** that led to the development of the “national surprise anticipation center” — the **Risk Assessment Horizon Scanning (RAHS)** program.

Stick with me...

As mentioned, **The Arlington Institute (TAI)** was founded in 1989 by [John L. Petersen](#), whose prior endeavors were mainly on behalf of the **Department of Defense**, leading to early TAI collaboration with the **U.S. Coast Guard** (see “[The Road to 2012](#),” written in March 1993, by Petersen, and dedicated to his “best friend, **Diane**” - (whom I think is his wife now)).

[Petersen is a contributor to KurzweilAI.net](#) ([Richard Kurzweil](#) is a dark demon that everyone should be aware of) ... The Arlington Institute (TAI) provides assessment of major trends in key sectors (such as science, technology, energy, and **space**) defining the landscape of emerging futures, as well as [offering global scenario development](#) (including the use of “[wild cards](#)”) and agent-based **modeling/simulation** with respect to concerns pertaining to **climate change, nanotechnology, bio-terrorism, genetic modification and biotech, augmented intelligence, and global epidemics**.

[Several individuals from the Military and Intelligence sectors have served on the Board of Directors at TAI](#), including [Betty Sue Flowers](#), [Owen Wormser](#), and [James Woolsey, Jr.](#) (former CIA Director).

[TAI is committed “to playing a significant role in facilitating a **global transition to a new world that operates in a fundamentally different way from the past.**”](#)

There’s no need to get lost in salacious conspiracy theories about the New World Order — these are *their* words, on *their* websites for the world to see. They’re not hiding it, but they *know* that most people are never gonna go look, which is why I am writing this.

Read the entire page and read between the lines.

*What kinds of events could be the catalyst for a big, historical shift? That query led to an exploration of “wild cards,” rapidly moving, **surprise events** that had the potential of **quickly and fundamentally reshaping the global landscape**. In an attempt to understand the breadth of the possibilities, he wrote his second book, *Out of the Blue: Wild Cards and Other Big Future Surprises* in 1997 which became a **World Future Society** best-seller and was the first and only book on the subject written in English.*

Tse Hao Guang is the Strategist at the [Centre for Strategic Futures \(CSF\)](#) in Singapore. Here is what he wrote in this article called: “[Bio-surveillance in the Era of COVID-19](#),”

*“Canadian think-tank [Policy Horizons](#) has recently articulated the potential for a ‘**biodigital convergence**,’ where **biological and digital systems interpenetrate to change the way we live, work, and even define what is natural or human**. The rise of **bio-surveillance, accelerated by COVID-19, is undoubtedly one undercurrent of this driving force**. The need to **ensure safety and order through more direct and fine-grained monitoring of human bodies** has led to these new methods of sensemaking.”*

How do you feel about a biodigital future that is going to change the way we live? How do you feel about these folks proclaiming the need to ensure safety and order by monitoring human bodies, while they define what is natural or human?

*[Policy Horizons Canada](#) is a **federal government** organization that **conducts foresight**. Our mandate is to help the Government of Canada develop **future-oriented policy** and programs that are more robust and resilient in the face of **disruptive change on the horizon**.*

Who’s excited for some disruptive change on the horizon?! This technocratic takeover and the augmentation of nature and bio-digital convergence has been planned for a very long time. The intention is to propel civilization into a human 2.0 or post-human / transhuman existence. Today, this operation starts in **Singapore**.

David helped launch these initiatives. He’s not hiding it. Where do you think I found this information? ON HIS WEBSITE.

As mentioned above - In 2017, [Parag Khanna](#), Founder & Managing Partner of **FutureMap** — a data and scenario-based strategic advisory firm — wrote the book [Technocracy in America: Rise of the Info-State](#). Read this book for more details.

In this book, Khanna wrote the following, when discussing the notion of “Big Data.” He noted that Singapore’s prime minister is a computer scientist, and

“with the completion of a nation-wide fiber optic Internet roll-out, Singapore’s physical sensor network (‘Internet of Things’), provides enormous volumes of data...”

Khanna urged that Western democracy **be replaced by Singaporean technocracy**. What do you think will be the final event they need to bring about this technocracy system? A **cyberterrorism attack**, of course. [They’re already running simulations for this and planning it.](#)

These plans also include the [growing cyber insurance industry](#), which is directly connected to all of the **risk assessment** projects that David is involved with. They are helping to artificially inflate economic bubbles that present solutions to the problems *they* will create. This is an obvious example of the [Hegelian dialectic](#) which we often see repeated in their operations. They dress it up with phrases like “anticipatory analysis”, “surprise events” or “signals of potential disruption” — but I think this is just an attempt to hide what the real plan is — create the problem (cyber-attack), present the solutions (cyber insurance, internet passports, etc), and profit. Then, implement the new plan for **global technocracy**. Some might think that this perspective amounts to paranoid conspiracy theory, but read what they’ve written in their books and documents, the evidence is undeniable!

According to Khanna:

“. . . it’s time to admit that America needs less of its own version of democracy—much less . . . Democracy alone just isn’t good enough anymore.” ...The search for an optimal state form continues into the information age—and it should logically be called the [‘Info-State’](#).”

They’re telling us straight away that they **plan to do away with Democracy**. But Democracy was a horrible system to begin with — the United States isn’t a Democracy, the U.S. is a **Constitutional Republic**. Democracy is the cancer that’s partially responsible for the situation we find ourselves in today — the tyranny of the majority. That’s **my opinion**, maybe you disagree. But the fact is, the U.S. was never set up as or meant to be a Democracy. So even here, they are engaging in *deception*.

*“Info-states such as Switzerland and Singapore are also the places where we can witness the best efforts at **direct technocracy** . . . Experiments in direct technocracy are already visible around the world from Estonia and Israel to the UAE and Rwanda to India and China—across both democracies and non-democracies.”*

*“**Technocracy becomes a form of salvation** after society realizes that democracy doesn’t guarantee national success. **Democracy eventually gets sick of itself and votes for technocracy.**”*

That’s why they **LOVE** Democracy. With Democracy, all they have to do is convince the masses to vote in *their* favor. That’s how they’ve managed to get this far because they’ve used the fraudulent system of Democracy to achieve their objectives. They do this by terrorizing the public with made up stories about viruses, bioweapons, cyber-terrorism, climate change, etc. — **psychological warfare, fear and propaganda.**

In **2010**, Parag and Ayesha Khanna wrote an article for *Big Think* titled [“**Technocracy and Technology in Singapore.**”](#) They explained that Singapore:

*“wants to be a ‘living laboratory’ of R&D for the world’s **multinationals** while stimulating its own creative revolution towards the knowledge economy...But can it be done **the Singaporean way** —**technocratically**—instead of the organic Silicon Valley way?”*

*“By and large, Singapore is not pursuing scientific discovery for its own sake, but rather identifying key sectors where it can marry leading-edge technology with the massive market opportunities of Asia: **bio-medicine, clean-tech, and digital media** . . .*

Now, you might be thinking - well, what’s wrong with clean-tech? There’s nothing wrong with clean-tech! We all care about the environment. What’s troubling is the agenda that sits right behind these objectives.

*With respect to **bio-medicine**, it’s well-known that Asian societies have had fewer inhibitions with respect to research in controversial areas such as stem cells. What Singapore has in mind, however, is to **capitalize** on the convergence of Asia’s (read: China and Japan’s) aging and longer-living population trajectories. That means focusing on **nano-medicine** to improve the early detection of cancer, computerized medical devices to enhance the recovery of stroke patients, and **boosting the sustainable manufacturing of chemically and biologically synthesized drugs.** All of this requires a strategic hybrid of the research and development components of R&D, which Singapore has been building by luring some of the world’s **top clinical scientists** to its new **Biopolis** facility.”*

Biopolis is Singapore’s premier **biomedical sciences research hub.**

The Agency for Science, Technology and Research (**A*STAR**)—is located in the **Biopolis** complex, as described in the same 2010 article by Parag and Ayesha

Khanna:

*“Data mining, cryptography, and human-robot communication are also receiving investment from Singapore’s A*Star, showing the government’s interest in the **semantic web, artificial intelligence, and augmented reality.**”*

What is **cryptography**? [Wikipedia tells](#) us that cryptography

*is the practice and study of techniques for **secure communication** in the presence of third parties called **adversaries**.^[2] More generally, cryptography is about constructing and analyzing **protocols** that prevent third parties or the public from reading private messages...*

What else might help them implement this plan?

A **cyber-terrorism** attack, of course. What will happen after the cyber terrorism attack? They will force **internet passports** on the global population and take full control of online communication. We won’t be able to connect to the internet anonymously anymore. They will sell it to us under the guise of “cyber safety,” and “secure communication,” and they will tell us it’s needed in order to combat “dangerous misinformation” and “harmful content.” Beyond that, is the control of and the creation of a new digital currency. What do you think crypto is all about?

[Listen to their own words here.](#)

They aren’t hiding it. It’s not a conspiracy theory. It’s on the [World Economic Forum’s Youtube page.](#)

Are you going to fall for it again when it happens? Are you going to believe their next fake story about the cyber-terrorism attack? Or are you going to understand that it was all planned, just like 9/11, COVID19 and the rest of the scams that these hustlers have been engaged in for the last 100+ years?

And who do you think will present the solution to this problem? [The same people who will create the problem, of course.](#) That’s how it always works.

Jeremy Jurgens, World Economic Forum Managing Director:

*“I believe that there will be **another crisis**. It will be more significant. It will be faster than what we’ve seen with COVID. The impact will be greater, and as a result the economic and social implications will be even more significant.”*

Klaus Schwab:

“We all know, but still pay insufficient attention, to the frightening scenario of a comprehensive

*cyber attack [that] could bring a complete halt to the power supply, transportation, hospital services, our society as a whole. The COVID-19 crisis would be seen in this respect as a small disturbance in comparison to a **major cyberattack**.*

*To use the COVID19 crisis as a timely opportunity to reflect on the lessons the **cybersecurity** community can draw and improve our unpreparedness for a **potential cyber-pandemic**.”*

A*STAR is Singapore’s leading public sector initiative spearheading **economic oriented research to advance scientific discovery**.

Sounds right up David’s alley, doesn’t it?

[Sebastian Maurer-Stroh](#) is a Senior Principal Investigator in protein sequence analysis at A*STAR’s Bioinformatics Institute (BII). [Maurer-Stroh reported on the first two cases outside of China to have “tested positive for SARS-CoV-2,”](#) which was utilized to **suggest** the start of the “pandemic.” He was also an integral player in the [Zika virus scam in 2016](#), as [he was responsible for \[allegedly\] tracing the historical evolution of the Zika virus genome](#).

The World Economic Forum plays an obvious central role in this operation, and many of you don’t need a lesson about Klaus Schwab - you already know about all of that. Now take what you know and **connect the dots to David**.

This operation can only move forward in its current phase, if a virus exists. Without a virus, there’s no need for a vaccine or bio-surveillance, and no justification for any of what they have planned. The virus allows them to **speed up their plans** because it provides a “catalyst” or “emergency” situation. **That’s why David is selling a story about a Bioweapon and scheming with his patents**.

That’s what snake-oil salesmen do. They convince us that we have a fictitious problem, and then they sell us the elixir as the solution to the problem. They’ve been doing it for hundreds of years.

The next step will be the **cyber-terrorism attack**. [They already ran their simulation on](#) July 9, 2021. They’re not hiding. They’re out in the open.

UK based [QuantuMDx \(QMDx\)](#) is another **A*STAR** project. Click on the link and look at their project — Rapid PCR Testing. One of the main goals of their strategic collaboration, established in 2012, was to develop a **DNA sequencing nanowire biosensor**, to enable **rapid genomic sequencing**. A future development was to include a **nanowire platform with built-in sensing**

circuitry.

QMDx “owns the exclusive worldwide rights to DNA sensing and DNA sequencing using **nanowires**.” In 2015, QMDx [partnered with Scienon](#), a German life sciences company, to bring their **nanowire** array to market. The array consists of **nanowires** printed with **molecular probes**, and is used to transfer **biological material onto biosensor chips**.

[McKinsey & Company](#) is another company involved. It is a global management consulting firm with ties to **Singapore**. As a “[Tier 1 Member](#)” of The Advanced Remanufacturing and Technology Centre (ARTC) — an **A*STAR-led platform** comprised of public-private partnerships — [McKinsey launched a global network of Digital Capability Centres \(DCCs\), in 2017, with a key innovation hub in Singapore](#), to “*help companies harness the powerful **emerging technological changes**—known collectively as **Industry 4.0**—that are disrupting industries across the world.*”

On December 10, 2020, McKinsey published an article in the McKinsey Quarterly titled “[How COVID-19 is redefining the next-normal operating model](#),” wherein the firm presents its perspective on how “[pandemic accelerations](#)” should be incorporated into business models.

READ WHAT THEY SAY. THESE ARE THEIR WORDS, NOT MINE:

*“With everything disrupted, going back to the same old thing is a losing strategy. The strongest companies are reinventing themselves by **embracing pandemic-driven change**.”*

*“Business leaders tell us that the **metabolic rate of their organizations has soared**. Their companies have **accelerated** by adopting new ways to work. Boundaries and silos have been removed; **new technology has been adopted quickly, delivering digital products that customers suddenly needed**; decision making has accelerated and been pushed further down in the organization.”*

*“Leading CEOs have taken note of all this and have decided that **there is no going back**. They are actively taking advantage of this particularly malleable moment, where new ideas are becoming the foundation of new ways of doing business, to reinvent their companies in ways that simply make more sense for today’s—and tomorrow’s—economy. As historian Yuval Noah Harari puts it: ‘**That is the nature of emergencies. They fast-forward historical processes.**’”*

*“The exigencies of the pandemic have given many companies a tangible experience of **operating at unprecedented speed** (exhibit). Companies that don’t lean into this emergent shift run the risk of being leapfrogged by those that do understand why a swift, nimble, and versatile operating model is best and necessary for uncertain times like these.”*

*“**Thanks to the pandemic**, many companies have embarked on experiments in which they’ve organized around outcomes, in flattened structures that replace physical colocation with hybrid models.”*

The “pandemic” is the **GREATEST THING THAT THESE PEOPLE COULD HAVE EVER DREAMED OF!**

Do you think it’s all just a freakish and random set of happenstance coincidence, that the exact thing they needed to put their plan in motion – happened in such a way? Do you really think that they were all just sitting around, twiddling their thumbs, waiting for an emergency?

OF COURSE NOT. DON’T BE NAIVE. THEY PLANNED IT.

Now, if we understand that they planned it, then we can follow this path of evidence to its logical conclusion. There, we will also come to understand that they don’t **really** need a **virus**. They only need everyone to **believe** that there’s a virus. Just like they won’t need a real cyber-terrorism event; they will simply create a fictitious one.

This is the nature of **psy-war**. This is why they hammer their virus into our skulls, non-stop – psychologically suggesting it every chance they get. But they have **no evidence of a virus**.

I’m not suggesting that the illness isn’t real. [But it’s not caused by a virus.](#)

They are telling us the truth when they call it a “novel” coronavirus.

A “novel” is a fictional story.

David’s role in this is **clear**. He helped launch some of these initiatives in Singapore. Surely he’ll have an innocent explanation, and you are free to believe him, if you like. They don’t hide their intentions, they merely dress them up with shiny phrases like “innovation,” “ethical development,” and “global economic solutions.”

*[David Martin](#), has served as an advisor to **central banks, global economic forums, national governments, and the World Bank.***

*Prior to founding M-CAM®, Dr. Martin was the [founding CEO of Mosaic Technologies, Inc.](#), a company that **developed and commercialized technologies in advanced computational linguistics, dynamic data compression and encryption, electrical field transmission, and medical diagnostics.***

David will say he was doing humanitarian work. But that’s always how nefarious agendas operate. They hide their true intentions under the facade of helping the poor, saving the environment, or compassionate philanthropy.

He was a founding member of **Japan's Institute for Interface Science and Technology (IIST)**. His additional engagements include **domestic and international technology transfer** and clinical research in the fields of **linguistic genomics, fractal financial-risk modeling, and cellular membrane ionic signaling**.

David has altruistic explanations for all of this, which he'll be happy to extol in one of his numerous Youtube videos. It's up to **YOU** to decide if these are red flags or not. But one question to ask: How does someone who is involved with so many companies (including running his own), advising so many governments all over the world, directing, conducting business, writing, traveling the world giving speeches, and being an oracle - have so much free time on his hands to make so many YouTube videos? Doesn't he have a million companies to run and governments to advise? Where does he find the free time?

As a matter of curiosity, given that David Martin has direct ties to The Arlington Institute and its Intel and Singaporean affiliates, is it not surprising that he was chosen for the *Plandemic II: Electric Boogaloo* operation?

Or maybe it's all just a coincidence?

Personally, I think that this is why David glosses over the issue of the *existence* of the virus (the elephant in the room), and instead wants you to focus on *patents* that say a thing about a possible bioweapon. But patents aren't proof of anything, they're just words on a piece of paper. They want us focused on the bioweapon, so that they can put their global plan into motion. The bioweapon story helps them achieve these agendas because as long as everyone is divided and both sides are psychologically invested in the virus, whether as manmade or organic in nature, the plan will move forward to its next phase - the cyber-terrorism chapter. Without the virus, the bio-surveillance, the vaccines, the COVID passports, etc. – it all falls apart. This isn't speculation. I just laid it all out above, in detail – **from *their* documents, and *their* websites**.

So, now that you have this information, watch a couple of David's interviews with a **new perspective**. Like [this one that he did with Reiner Fuellmich on July 12](#). Notice how quickly he moves past the **central issue** of this entire scam, which is the *existence* of the virus. Notice how he casually just says that it was "reportedly isolated" and then moves on to talking about the patents. He wants the focus on the patents because they will eventually use this as part of a larger story to roll out their **Singapore** plan. This is the predictive programming phase, which is why there is constant mention of patents.

David has brought this patent aspect of the story to the forefront and made it the

most important part of the conversation. So what can we expect next? New legislation for [patent](#) reform? David has been [pushing for patent reform](#) since at least 2012, and [this article](#) refers to him as a “patent reform activist.”

So this story about Moderna filing patents is pretty convenient, isn't it? Won't this story help to make the case for patent reform? I see the narrative that's unfolding here, do you?

Do they need the patent reform to help implement their Singapore plan? Based on what I've read, I think it's a strong possibility. What lengths would these people go to, to gather support for their prized patent reform? Would they go as far as, I dunno - faking a bioweapon story?

I don't know much about patent law, but I'm guessing that buried somewhere underneath their buzzwords about “risk assessment,” “innovation,” “financial engineering,” “structured finance solutions,” “credit offsets,” “large scale infrastructure,” “civil society projects,” and all the new technologies they're developing is some kind of **patent reform scheme** that's vital to the success of the Singapore plan and the global technocratic takeover.

Coronavirus gives these criminals a foot in the door to make sweeping changes globally, powered by the U.N., the World Bank and the World Economic Forum.

To me, this whole script about Moderna's patents is suspicious. It's a cover story for something else. If I were Moderna, or Klaus Schwab or the Rockefeller Foundation, and I wanted to install a global technocracy but needed certain pieces put into place (such as patent reform in my favor) in order for my plan to move forward, do you know what I'd do?

I'd come up with a scam just like this one. I'd first create a lie about a virus that “jumped” from a bat to a human, and use this lie to strategically move some pieces on the chessboard into position (vaccines, social engineering, biosurveillance, censorship, etc.). Then, I'd create another lie about a bioweapon, use this to spread fear, divide the public and then create a subplot about patents (which I filed years ago, in preparation for this scam.) Then I'd hire a “patent reform activist” to “blow the whistle” on my patent shenanigans, which would result in public outrage. The public would then demand and beg for the exact patent reform I've already written.

Rather than pushing their pre-written solutions to their fabricated problems through Congress like they usually do, this time they're going global, through the

United Nations, the World Bank and the World Economic Forum, all thanks to a fictitious virus that they created out of thin air. I realize this is all conjecture on my part, but is it really that far-fetched? Isn't that what they always do?

Isn't it true that words like "reform" or "regulation" that are presented as beneficial to the public are usually just the opposite? If we understand who usually writes this type of legislation, then we have the answer.

This is why they have to keep the focus on the virus. Every successful magician gets you to focus on one hand, while he distracts you with the other. By diverting your attention, he captures you in an illusion and pulls one over on you. You were paying attention the entire time, but you missed it, because you were focused on the bells and whistles (viruses and bioweapons).

The virus is the illusion. Both sides will insist forever that they have a virus.

But [where is the virus?](#) The [CDC has admitted that they don't have a virus.](#) [FOIA requests](#) have yielded no evidence of a virus. Which **original** paper describes the **discovery** of the virus? Which text in that paper describes its **proper isolation**? Which text in that paper details the **negative control experiments** that were performed (as required), and which text in that paper **discounts toxicology** as a possible cause of the illness?

These are the only questions that matter. All other talk about patents is misdirection and sleight-of-hand deception tricks. So the rest of the hour of this video is meaningless nonsense, once we understand what's really going on here.

Why doesn't Fuellmich stop him right there and ask these questions? Hint - Because he is a fraud, too. Do you think Reiner "announce 100 exciting things and achieve none" Fuellmich is running for political office in Germany now, to fight *for* the people? Get real. Reiner's lawsuits are like Trump's sealed indictments - they are figments of imagination. Disagree? Then go find a case number for any of these so-called lawsuits. Don't bring up the [meaningless one from Canada](#), that's an obvious distraction and a scam. It was meant to fail.

Reiner is moving into politics now and forming a new party called "Die Basis," to divert attention from the failed legal efforts, to take votes away from any legitimate opposition parties, and to help determine future election outcomes. That's how the opposition is controlled. They want us to put our trust in false prophets so that we'll think someone's on the case. Then they get these pied pipers to run for office so that we'll put our trust back into the political system.

Well, guess what? There are no legitimate lawsuits and none of these people are fighting for us. They are all participating in a grand deception. An illusion.

They will also mock us while they perform these magic tricks, right to our faces.



They show us who Dr. Martin is connected to and it's obvious, if you know what to look for...

That's how these tricksters operate. As a side note - did you know that [pro-vaccine queen Dolores Cahill](#) is also running for political office in Ireland now, too? Do you think that this woman, who has spent her entire career working for Big Pharma and developing technology for vaccines, is actually fighting *for* the people of Ireland? Of course she's not! She's an actress and a pawn for globalists, engaged in deception. You can [watch these theatrics](#) she engaged in the other day to verify this. It should be clear that these are nothing but third-rate, pathetic staged acts for public consumption.

In that third video, notice the professional camera work and audio. Also take note of her "American campaign manager." These folks are all working from the same playbook, and they're all on the same team as the bad guys. The technocratic globalists put their false opposition minions in political office so that the people

will feel a sense of victory, and have their faith in the political system restored.

The public will remain docile and complacent because they think these deceivers are fighting the system, demanding answers, filing lawsuits and going after corruption. Nonsense. It's an elaborate ruse.

We can see the clues clearly throughout these videos, such as when she says "Anyone who dresses up as a guard..." meaning, he's not a real guard, he's an actor, dressing up in a costume ... and his name is Hugh Shovelin! That's right, they're "shovelin" it right in our faces. What more evidence do you need? Will you support RFK Jr. when he decides to run for office, too?

Consider [this other interview](#) that David did with that stooge Brian Rose (who, of course, is also running for political office now - same playbook, same template.) David wants us to believe that Moderna "has patents" from March 28, 2019 for Beta SARS Coronavirus, which is true.

David isn't lying. He's deceiving, which is worse than lying. Here's how it works - the part that's **true** is that Moderna actually **did** file a patent, years ago. It was part of their elaborate plan to get the patent reform they need. The deception is that they want us to believe that the patent proves a single damn thing about the existence of the "virus" (as they've defined it.) But it does not.

From the video, David says:

*"If on March 28, 2019 ... **the following sentence was written in a patent application:** 'because of a concern for re-emergence or a deliberate release of SARS Coronavirus was initiated' - that sentence was written into a patent application on March 28, 2019."*

Follow along to understand this deception and backwards logic. David is telling the truth - that sentence **was** written into a patent application ... but so what?

Anyone with \$100 can file for a patent. I can go into my backyard with a hammer, nails and some lumber and claim that I invented a Time Machine and then apply for a patent for it. **It means nothing**. David doesn't mention this obvious fact about applying for a patent. Why not? Why doesn't Brian Rose ask him about this obvious flaw in his argument?

David continues with the deception:

*"**Vaccine development** was (past tense) initiated - that means before March 28, 2019 - a deliberate release was not only contemplated, but Moderna wrote it into their patent applications."*

What does that prove? If Moderna were involved in a Global deception psychological warfare operation, then of course they would want us to believe in these fear-based fairy tales. So they'd fill out a patent application, a few years before the operation, to document their deception – which they would then use in the future to sell the conspiracy. That's how I'd do it.

Just because I filled out a patent application for my Time Machine, does that mean my Time Machine exists, and works? How dare you say it doesn't - HERE'S THE PATENT APPLICATION. HERE'S THE EVIDENCE!

David continues with the spin and misdirection:

"This was a patent application, that had first been submitted in 2015...and the US Patent Office had rejected it ... not once or twice ... over and over and over again ... but on March 28, 2019, suddenly a SARS BETA CORONAVIRUS specific vaccine ... and specifically not just SARS Beta Coronavirus, specifically the S1 Spike 1 protein, the thing that was allegedly ... modified in December 2019 in a bat cave in China - that was specifically referenced in March 2019."

Notice how he subtly and sneakily drops that strange bit in – about how it was modified from a “bat cave in China.” He’s suggesting that the bioweapon was created from a *previous* coronavirus that was found “in a bat cave,” and turned into a bioweapon. That’s a psychological trick but “these aren’t the droids you’re looking for” **only works on the weak-minded.**

David is engaging in fantasy and spinning for the conspiracy crowd - the ones who know that the official story is a lie. Those folks need to be herded and given another false story, which agrees, in part, with the official story. David provides the conspiracy candy.

By getting us to buy into the ***idea of a virus***, he is legitimizing Moderna and the rest of the vaccine poisoners, while at the same time conducting psychological warfare by *altering reality*. Because if the virus were created in a lab, what changes? Nothing. We still need the vaccines, right?

They will still move forward with their Singapore plan, right?

His logic goes like this (simplified) - If this sentence were written, then a bioweapon must exist.

*The company went public in 2018 - this patent application was written March 28, 2019 ... (around the time of the IPO) it is **reasonable to assume** that somebody knew that there was going to be ... are you ready for this - their words, not mine - 're-emergence for deliberate release of a BETA CORONAVIRUS.'*

IS THAT *REALLY* A REASONABLE ASSUMPTION?

Other than words on a patent application, is there any actual scientific proof of an accidental or deliberate “release” of a beta coronavirus? Is there any legitimate evidence from anywhere in the world (other than words on a piece of paper), that such a thing even exists in our physical reality, was **properly** isolated, and/or causes the illness? If so, then where’s the original paper? You might go find [the paper](#), but we’ve been through these papers dozens of times and they don’t follow the scientific method. No true isolation occurred, and no negative control experiments were performed. They know this, so they ignore it. They distract and dazzle us with patents, instead. Meaningless words on a piece of paper, which David and his followers tout as “irrefutable evidence.” Utter nonsense.

Do documents with words, sentences and paragraphs in them, prove that the **content** of those words, sentences and paragraphs are true? Or is it more logical to conclude (given the lack of physical evidence), that the documents are a fraud and deception?

When the Pentagon releases documents about UFOs and Extraterrestrial beings from another planet, is that really proof of anything? Is a “whistleblower’s” word about a thing *really* enough evidence that the thing is true?

If the DOJ released a document tomorrow which stated that your mom murdered JFK, would that be sufficient evidence for you? I mean, they have the documents, what more do you want?

David says that it's "reasonable to assume" that someone knew there was going to be a "deliberate release" of a beta coronavirus, based on nothing but words on a patent application.

Given the evidence that I’ve outlined above, I think it’s ***much more reasonable to assume*** that this whole story about patents is an elaborate scam, as part of a long-con to implement the global technocratic system they’ve been planning for decades. There’s a word for that – it’s called fraud.

[Dr. Martin also spoke here](#) (transcript provided) with **Robert F. Kennedy Jr.** in November 2020. In reference to his work as a patent researcher, David said:

*“..our business is, we actually have to **monitor every patent that issues anywhere on earth.**”*

Imagine having the knowledge of all patents around the world. With that type of

knowledge could come great **power**. Not only does David Martin aggregate this information and knowledge, he also [holds multiple patents](#) himself, that enable him to conduct **deep-tech computer modeling and analyses with this data**.

Does it make sense what all of these global initiatives are about yet?

*Dr. Martin's work as a Fellow of the [Batten Institute](#) at the Darden Graduate School of Business Administration at the University of **Virginia** and his related work at the Indian Institute for Management Ahmedabad, India, has brought unprecedented curricular focus to areas of **intangible-asset risk management**, finance, and accounting standards. In addition to his academic work, Dr. Martin has closely advised **innovation-based finance** and **investment programs** in India, Bermuda, Brazil, China, Denmark, the European Union, the United Kingdom, South Africa, the Islamic Republic of Iran, the United States, Mongolia, Egypt, Ecuador, Singapore, Germany, Slovenia, Vietnam, and the United Arab Emirates. He has served as the Constitutional and Economic advisor to the **Autonomy Committee of East New Britain** and New Ireland, Papua New Guinea and has worked with ethical trade frameworks for the Kingdom of Tonga, the Independent State of Samoa, Fiji, and Papua New Guinea.*

Here's a question - why is it that when I perform an internet search for "Autonomy Committee of East New Britain", the only thing that comes up is David's bio and all of his websites? What is this "Committee?" Do they have a website?

So far, I've come across several organizations that have no presence on the internet (at least none that I've found.) Are these fronts for some other covert operations?

You can do further research if you want. It's all there on his website.

*His work has been the subject of two internationally awarded **documentaries**, *Patent Wars* which highlights his work on reform of the **global** innovation system and *Future Dreaming: A Conversation with David Martin* which is a dialogue about humanity and its optimal interaction in the universe. He has spoken at the **United Nations General Assembly** on citizen-led peacemaking initiatives and has been featured on **Bloomberg television** and **HBO's Last Week Tonight with John Oliver**.*

Would the United Nations General Assembly invite David E. Martin to speak at their conference if he posed any sort of threat to their agenda? Of course they wouldn't – they invite him to speak because he **serves** their agenda.

Maybe the [United Nations](#) really is focused on peace, dignity and equality on a healthy planet. If that's the case, then why are they using the Flat Earth Map with 33 sections as their logo?

No, I don't believe the Earth is flat, although it is a little curious as to why David was in [Antarctica recently](#), maybe he was saving the penguins?) – I am simply showing how these people mock us right to our faces.



*A speaker, author, business executive and **futurist**, Dr. Martin's work has been engaged in every country on Earth. He works with his family in every endeavor of life. Together with his wife Kim, he directs the Breathing Enterprise workshops and facilitates implementation of Integral Accounting. Dr. Martin received his undergraduate (BA) from Goshen College, his Masters of Science from Ball State University, and his Doctorate (PhD) from the University of Virginia.*

They show us everything in plain sight. All the facts are right there. **He tells us he is a futurist.**

Recently, some people have started to point out some of David's questionable connections, and he made a cringeworthy video addressing this, which you can [watch here](#).

In this video, David accuses normal folks of "assassinating his character" simply for pointing out some of what I've outlined above.

But is this *really* character assassination? All I've done is taken words from David's own website, plugged them into my search engines and copy/pasted into this document. I've presented the **facts**, connected some dots and given you some of my **opinions**.

But **my opinions** don't matter, at the end of the day. I haven't assassinated his character, I've merely pointed out **exactly what he says in his own words, on his own websites.**

Is it “character assassination” to go to David’s website and read about his career achievements and the companies, government agencies and global institutions that he’s involved with, and has been working with for all these years?

Here’s another question - How can anyone actually conduct character assassination against someone who refers to himself as an **oracle**?

In this video, David openly admits to working with weapons labs. He openly admits to working with world governments. Watch as he mocks researchers and calls us “assholes.” Who exactly is doing the character assassination here? Isn’t that nothing but veiled gaslighting?

Also notice how he admits to being [controlled opposition](#). He doesn’t **actually deny** any of it. He laces it with faux-sarcasm, mockery and cheesy comedy, but his schtick only works for his **followers**.

David wants us to believe that worldwide governments would hire him to work on “humanitarian projects” like figuring out ways to “block high frequency radiation like 3G, 4G, 5G”.

Maybe that’s true, but in order to believe that story, one would first have to believe that **these worldwide governments actually have an interest in blocking high frequency radiation**. Do you believe that?

David says the Japanese government hired him to work on “Pulse Electromagnetic Therapy” ... “a technology that was built after the US dropped Atomic Bombs on Nagasaki and Hiroshima.” You are free to believe that story if it makes you feel good.

He mentions one of his “longest clients,” a company in Japan, that developed this technology, and then goes on to explain how the CEO that he worked for and that CEO’s father both won the “Imperial Medal” from the Emperor of Japan “for being some of the greatest humanitarians dealing with post radiation burns and I helped bring that technology globally in the 1990s.”

Obama won the **Nobel Peace Prize** a few years ago, after dropping hundreds of thousands of bombs on brown people, as part of the largest drone strike operation in history, too, remember?

Are medals and trophies actually an indication of authentic altruism or, rather, superficial rewards given to someone who has followed orders? What kind of person **brags** about working for **other people** who received token prizes?

David then says that he worked with the Government of Japan and was an advisor to the **Ministry of Science and Technology**. He's admitting it straight away. He's not lying, he's telling the truth.

The question here is whether or not you believe that the Japanese Government and/or the Japanese "Ministry of Science and Technology" has our best interests at heart. Do you believe they are "working for the people of Japan" and are humanitarians? There is no character assassination needed. He destroys his own credibility with his own admissions. The "character assassination" bit is just spin and fanciful misdirection.

Why would Japan hire a patent expert to work on such projects? I'm sure David has an innocent explanation for it all, wrapped in the blankets of philanthropy and humanitarianism, of course. These narcissists are always saving the world, after all.

Watch the video and notice his thespian antics and propaganda techniques. Watch how he pulls out that book and pretends to read about how to be "controlled opposition." At no point does he deny any of it, because he can't – so he wraps it in mockery, sarcasm and buffoonery.

Then he attempts a little self-deprecating humor by making fun of his bowtie. But nobody's making fun of his bowtie except for him. I don't need to do that. I don't need to stoop down to that level. I show the **facts**.

Look how he flashes his rings. Look how he puts them right up to the camera so everyone can see them up close. Mockery. He chooses to make it comical by playing on the "Deep State" meme. But I'm not claiming he's part of the Deep State. The Deep State narrative is just another manufactured conspiracy theory, used to divide us, just like the bioweapon one that he's selling. He's not part of the Deep State.

HE'S A GLOBALIST.

The folks he's working with and for are TECHNOCRATS AND GLOBALISTS.

So what he's doing here is called DAMAGE CONTROL. It's a desperate attempt at defending himself against what the facts reveal.

He thinks he can disguise his smug arrogance and condescending tone with these theatrics but his act is nothing but transparent, psychological

predatory/preparatory propaganda, which attempts to meet and dominate the arising revelations that challenge his persona, his plans and the entire false narrative that he's constructed.

These are just **my opinions**, of course - and you are free to disagree.

But notice what he doesn't mention in the middle of his act. **He never mentions Singapore.** Instead, he creates a feeble, farcical comedy out of the whole thing and distracts his viewers with sleight-of-hand techniques and red herrings.

But he doesn't **deny** any of the **facts** about his global business dealings. He dresses it up and makes a cartoon out of it so people will dismiss it. Then watch how he talks down to the viewers and **claims the moral high ground.** He says: "It's fascinating to me how desperate we've become ... to pretend like somehow or another we can make sense of nonsense ... the very definition of insanity is to try to apply rational, thoughtful logic to sociopathic behavior."

Fascinating indeed.

More? Okay. At 15 minutes into [this interview](#) with pro-vax deceiver Del Bigtree, David says that he was a member of the medical school faculty at the University of **Virginia** and that medicine is his training and background. He says he did submissions to the FDA and worked on the [CDRH](#) panels. So, now he has medical training, too? I'm not doubting his medical training specifically, but for me, it's suspicious that one person can become an expert in all of these different fields, and hold all these different positions, working closely with governments and banks all around the world, in one lifetime – finance, patents, law, author, public speaker, accounting, consulting, business, professor, researcher, mathematician, advisor, board member, economist, futurist, inventor, CEO, medical training ... **oracle?**

At 38-42 minutes into the same video, notice how David waves his magic wand and talks about all sorts of things having to do with "combining coronaviruses," "Gain of Function" etc., none of which can be verified in the real world, and none of which has been proven in a laboratory, anywhere in the world – all designed to sell the lie of the virus. David wants us to believe that it's okay to have a patent for a virus if it's used to make a **vaccine**, but it's not okay if it's used to make a biological weapon (that's where it crosses the line).

At 49 minutes, he legitimizes the idea of the virus by claiming that it was "harvested" from "known bat colonies." Again, more Sci-Fi spin and Hollywood


scriptwriting.

You may also [find](#) other [videos](#) of David making the case against the mRNA vaccines and showing his audience how it's not actually a vaccine by the legal definition. He has plenty of videos where he goes into this, in detail. David isn't lying about this, but he's not presenting the full story. The implication is that *these* vaccines are dangerous, but *regular* vaccines are generally safe. He doesn't come out and say it explicitly, but read between the lines to understand what's behind these statements.

Have a look at David's indexes - the indexes that he's been pimping all over the world. Go to M-SCAM's [website](#), and click on the indexes section, which shows just how well all of David's indexes have performed since COVID19 started. COVID19 has made David and his investors a lot of money.

Do you think that was all just a matter of random dumb luck?

Look at the graphs below. How do you think these indexes will do **after** the fake cyber attack (problem) and the technocratic system (solution) that is coming our way?



David and his banking/technocrat buddies in Singapore and the World Economic Forum will make even more money as they roll out their new technocratic slave system to the world and stamp out every last one of our freedoms, including our freedom of speech on the internet. All to protect us from “harmful misinformation”

and “dangerous content,” while they pretend to save the world and consolidate more resources. They’ll be “fully living” while the rest of us are fully suffering.

They will accomplish these objectives by flying the banners of internet safety, environmentalism, public health, philanthropy, humanitarianism and the “common good” – that’s how they are able to move their collectivist agenda forward.

If you understand that this massive global psychological warfare operation is being conducted by these organizations, isn’t it “reasonable to assume” that a guy who has been working closely with them for decades, directly on the projects they’ve created to implement all of these plans is part of all of this? Is it logical to trust this person, given all of the evidence I’ve laid out above?

So what more do you need? I showed you the transhumanism agenda. I showed you their plans for “modernization of banking,” “transformative social impact,” “social integration,” “human-robot communication”, “data-mining”, “cryptography,” “artificial intelligence,” “global epidemics,” “credit offsets,” “augmented reality,” “human development,” “chemically and biologically synthesized drugs,” “global scenario development,” “learner-citizens,” “bio-surveillance,” “ubiquitous robotics,” “equity indexes,” “science and technology,” “human capital development,” “genetic modification and biotech,” “augmented intelligence,” “global innovation”.

I showed you how all of these deceptive buzzwords are linked to the United Nations, The World Bank, The World Economic Forum, The World Health Organization and many other companies and organizations working to push these initiatives, ideas and agendas.

I showed you that they are “committed to playing a significant role in facilitating a global transition to a **new world**.” Those are all **their** words, not mine. I’m sure I could find even more if I spent more time on it, but this should be plenty.

I showed you the connections to Singapore, and the initiatives that **he helped launch**. Those initiatives that are now being put into motion because of COVID19, the perfect catalyst. I showed you the links to military and intelligence, including the CIA – and I showed you the overlap with the climate change / Agenda 2030 plan.

I showed the Sustainable Development Goals, the companies working on nano-tech, the desire for patent reform, the cyberterrorism plan and the cyber insurance market information. I showed you their intentions to push all of these

agendas, and I showed you how all of this is linked to **David**.

I showed you all of their masonic logos, illuminati symbolism and what they have hidden in plain sight, for all to see.

Are your eyes open?



Coronavirus wasn't created in a lab. It was created in the minds of psychopaths.

[Additional Sources](#)

ADDENDUM - SYNTHETIC CHIMERIC COMPUTER SIMULATION VACCINE BIOWEAPON - OH MY!

The purpose of this addendum is to add some clarity and additional information in regards to the "bioweapon" narrative, in light of David's most recent interviews, and to touch upon the story of the fake pandemic in general, from a high level.

For this discussion, we will compare what David said in the *Plandemic II* film with what he said in his recent interview with Fuellmich, as well as another interview he did with "Stew Peters."

Just to put it on the table, the definition of "stew" is "a state of great anxiety or agitation," and the definition of "peter" is "to decrease or fade gradually before coming to an end."

In this addendum, I intend to show – using his own words, how David E. Martin not only contradicts himself, but how his story makes no sense whatsoever. No conspiracy theories needed. His entire insane, false narrative falls apart easily just by closely examining the words that come out of his mouth. I will also present multiple possible motives for David's deception and lies.

From the beginning of the fake pandemic, there have been several versions of the mainstream's manufactured official **biowarfare** conspiracy theory. The main narrative includes fictional stories and subplots about gain of function, a Wuhan Lab, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, the U.S. Army, the CDC patents, deliberate or accidental leak, etc. – take your pick.

All of those theories were **obvious** red herrings for myself and many researchers, presenting slightly different versions of the same science fiction narrative – mad scientists creating deadly pathogens in secret labs, funded by the government/US Army/Chinese/whatever. The people who have promoted these theories have been proclaiming that they have evidence in the form of documents, emails, patents, etc. – flimsy at best, completely fabricated at worst. They have no real evidence, they are jesters and storytellers.

The purpose of these variations of the original mainstream conspiracy theory was merely to uphold and promote the lie of the **deadly pathogen**. That is ultimately what these gatekeepers are protecting – the pseudoscience of Virology and the religion of Germ Theory. There are probably even more gates behind those, as well.

The “biowarfare” angle of this story has evolved over time. In order to clear up some confusion about David's claims (or maybe add some), let's first review what he said in the film last year.

In the sensationalistic, shallow and emotionally manipulative [Plandemic II](#) propaganda film, David mentions “biological weapons” multiple times. He also explains how patents started showing up around Coronavirus (SARS-COV) in 1999, and mentions the “coronavirus outbreak that happened in Asia,” in 2003. Guess what? There was no “coronavirus outbreak” in Asia in 2003. Like the fake pandemic of 2020, that story is also a lie. David is using this lie to set up his next deception, all in the name of guarding the gates of Virology and Germ Theory.

David says SARS-COV was “patented by the CDC” after the 2003 “outbreak.” He also says:

*“the NIAID built an infectious replication coronavirus that **specifically targeted for human lung epithelia**—in other words, we made SARS—and patented it in 2002 before there was any outbreak in Asia. US Patent 7279327.”*

Really? How did they do that? How can anyone in the world “build” a replication for a virus that’s never been properly isolated? Also, if you [look up that patent number](#) you will find that it is for a recombinant pig coronavirus.

His evidence, as usual, is nothing but **patents**. But the real issue is, that like it’s [successor](#), SARS-COV was [also never properly isolated with purification](#) and no negative control experiments were performed to prove that it actually causes an illness. Disagree? Then go find the paper that proves otherwise. This is the **elephant in the room** that David and his cronies continually ignore, year after year, decade after decade. They will dance around it until the day they all die.

Approximately 7:45 into the film, David tells us:

*“They saw that a virus they knew could be easily **manipulated**, was something that was very valuable and in 2003 they sought to patent it...and they made sure that they controlled the **proprietary rights to the disease**, to the virus, and to its detection and all of the measurement of it.”*

Oh? And *precisely* how can one **easily manipulate** a virus that has never been isolated properly? If it’s that difficult just to *isolate* a virus, imagine how much more difficult it would be to actually *manipulate* one? Furthermore, how could they patent *detection* of the so-called virus – with which instrument or piece of technology? Also, how exactly does one patent a **disease**? And without first isolating the virus, how could anyone **measure** it, the way one could with a bacterium?

What I think this is – is an attempt to create a narrative that will eventually call for patent reform (more on this later).

At the same time, David’s lies are used to cause confusion for people who don’t understand that viruses don’t cause disease. Disease is caused by toxicity.

In order to understand the deception, we must first unspin their language. David says:

*“In 2002, there was a **recognition** that the coronavirus was seen as an **exploitable mechanism** for both good and ill.”*

A recognition? What does that mean? Who did the “recognizing,” and what did it entail? Were any valid scientific experiments performed, or other evidence to support this mysterious “recognition?” Or was it just a group of psychopaths who gathered in a boardroom and collectively declared their “recognition,” and then typed it out on an official letter-head?

He says that this indistinct “recognition” claimed that **coronavirus** “was seen as an exploitable mechanism” – “seen as” by whom? Who did the seeing? Also, why not just say “an exploitable pathogen” or “a virus that could be exploited?”

I think I know why – because if **coronavirus** doesn't exist, then it's a fictional concept, but a fictional concept can still be used as an **exploitable mechanism**. Here, David is telling us the truth, but he twists it up and ties it in knots. Our job is to untie the knots to understand what's *really* going on.

Also - what does he mean when he says that coronavirus was seen as an exploitable mechanism that could be used for *good*? Is he suggesting that it could be used to make a legitimate vaccine? So ... vaccines are good now?

Since it was obvious (to some of us) that there was no “deadly pathogen” since the beginning of the fake pandemic, it was *also* obvious that the story they were selling about a bioweapon was a **red herring**.

David continues:

*“On April 25, 2003, the US Center for Disease Control filed a patent ... on the coronavirus transmitted to humans...nature is prohibited from being patented. Either SarS Coronavirus was **manufactured**, therefore making a patent on it legal, or it was **natural** – therefore making a patent on it illegal.”*

As usual, deceivers present us with only two possible explanations for something, in order to weave their web of lies around us. But there's a third possibility which is – SARS-COV **doesn't actually exist**. It's not natural **or** manufactured, it's simply a fictional story. If this option is true, then a patent is a completely meaningless document and proves nothing.

For example, [here is a patent](#) for “*Gravity distortion and time displacement.*” Here's [another patent](#) for a “*Practical Time Machine Using Dynamic Efficient Virtual And Real Robots.*” If we apply David's logic, then time travel and gravity distortion must exist, because there are patents for these devices. If we apply rational thinking, common sense and logic, then we understand that these devices don't exist in the physical world and therefore, the patents are meaningless. David continues:
...in either outcome [manufactured or natural], both are illegal.

Essentially, David is asking us whether Santa Claus prefers cookies or cake with his milk.

David is setting up a false premise because he's excluding and ignoring a possible third option. There is no Santa Claus and there is no virus. The time machine that “allows travel across topologies by warping space-time,” doesn't *really* exist, all they have is the paperwork – and there is no *real* coronavirus, all they've done is create a fictional *concept* and file the paperwork with the USPTO. How is it that a patent expert doesn't understand this? Well, of course he understands it, he is simply playing games of deception.

In the real world – if we are going to follow the science, then we need evidence that the previous SARS-COV exists, in order for the rest of their stories to make sense. A patent filed with the USPTO is simply not sufficient evidence.

Thus far, we don't have enough evidence to prove the *existence* of SARS-COV, so we must apply Occam's Razor and proceed as if it doesn't exist, until the evidence shows otherwise. In fact, [we can dismiss their claims about a pathogen called SARS-COV entirely, without needing to provide any evidence at all.](#)

Taking it to its next logical step, if SARS-COV doesn't exist, then how could anyone have “modified” a thing that doesn't exist to create SARS-CoV-2?

In the film, David says:

*“In the spring of 2007, the CDC filed a petition with the patent office to keep their application **confidential and private** ... they actually filed patents on **not only the virus** [SARS-COV] but they also filed patents on its **detection** and a **kit to measure it**. Because of that CDC patent, they had the ability to control who was authorized and who was not authorized to make independent inquiries into coronavirus. **You cannot look at the virus, you cannot measure it, you cannot develop a test kit for it.**”*

In other words, **nobody can verify that it (SARS-COV) actually exists because the CDC shrouded it in secrecy by filing the patent. Instead of taking us to this logical point of argument, David spins us off in the other direction:**

*“And by ultimately receiving the **patents** that **constrained anyone from using it**, they had the means, they had the motive, and most of all they had the monetary gain from turning coronavirus from a **pathogen** to profit.”*

Hopefully we can all **acknowledge** how illogical and unbelievable his conclusions were last year – about turning “coronavirus” (a thing that doesn't exist) from a **pathogen** to profit. What David has *actually* shown us is exactly how the CDC turned a **fictional concept** into profit.

What happens next in the film is worth noting. The filmmakers used classic **propaganda** techniques to start suggesting the idea of a “release” or “lab-leak” and “gain of function” to the audience by overlaying suggestive headlines over David's narrative. They also presented other experts – Luc Montagnier and Meryl Dass – who insisted with certainty that the non-existent “pathogen” **must have been made in a lab.**

Dass said that she feels “*quite convinced that this was a laboratory designed **organism.***” Watch [this short clip](#) to see how they crafted the bioweapon narrative.

Fast forward to [David's interview with](#) Reiner “Empty Promises” Fuellmich (Fool much?).

One thing to notice in his interview with Fuellmich, is that David is **reading from a script**. You can see this clearly by watching his eyes and paying close attention to his pauses and speech cadence. So, who wrote this script for David? Did he write it himself, or did someone else write it?

It's worth noting that he's also reading from a script when he's answering questions, which means the questions are not spontaneous and this entire charade is a staged, theatrical production, fully rehearsed in advance. There are even times where his microphone cuts out and you can hear his voice with the same resonance and echo as the voices in the room that Fuellmich is sitting in. Are they all in the same room? That's just speculation on my part, but worth listening for...

Throughout the interview, David's primary focus is **patents** around SARS-COV and **fictional** genomic sequences. In my opinion, David is setting up an elaborate ruse in order to plant the seeds for a future argument that will demand that the patent law must be reformed so that he and his corporate friends can get whatever patents they need for their dystopian [AI](#), biosurveillance, digital technocracy.

Early in the interview, David rehashes the story he told in the film, about the CDC filing a patent on SARS-COV. Only now, his story has evolved – the “deadly pathogen of 2020” isn't a “**novel coronavirus**,” it's actually 22 years old and he bases this assertion on nothing but a patent that was filed in 1999.

Hmmm... but we already knew that. Why did it take David over a year to come to this conclusion? Isn't he an expert? And if he's now admitting that there was no “new” coronavirus, then what was all that previous talk about patents and **modification** for SARS-COV? If David is now admitting that SARS-COV-2 doesn't exist and never did, then how do we know that SARS-COV (the original) exists? (Hint - it doesn't.)

Yes, many of us understood that there was no “novel” coronavirus – but not for the reasons David gives. We understood this because it was clear that there was [no proper isolation of SARS-COV-2](#). But we **also understood** that there was **no proper isolation of SARS-COV either**, which David **conveniently leaves out**. He leaves this part out because he needs it for his next lie.

David is telling the truth here (there was no **new** coronavirus), but he's serving up a lie for dessert, because he has to guard the gates. Here's how it works – David finally admits (16 months later) that there is no “novel coronavirus” – but instead of telling us the real reason (there is no pathogen, either COV or COV-2), he introduces a new plot twist into the obscene bioweapon conspiracy theory:

“There wasn't a lab-leak, this was an intentional bio-weaponization of spike proteins to inject into people to get them addicted to a pan-coronavirus vaccine. This has nothing to do

with a pathogen that was released and every study that's ever been launched to try and verify a lab leak is a red herring."

WAIT WHAT?????

Hold on a second – so the story about a “deadly pathogen that was manufactured in a lab (modified SARS-COV to create a mutant SARS-COV-2) and intentionally or unintentionally released” isn’t true and was a red herring all along?



To be sure, David confirms this, [in this interview with “Stew Peters”](#) from July 19th when he says there is **no virus** and that the lab-leak deadly pathogen was a red herring.

But where exactly did this red herring come from? Oh, I remember, it came from Dr. Judy Mikovitz, Mikki Willis and, yes, David himself! **They** were the ones who gave us this red herring! It was **THEY** who planted this ridiculous conspiracy trash, which many of us have been **calling out as a red herring since the day they dropped it.**

As a **reminder**, David and Mikki Willis suggested and implied that the “virus” was “intentionally released.” [Watch this short clip.](#) It’s also worth noting that Youtube initially banned this clip when I uploaded it. After I appealed it and they saw that the contents **promoted** the bioweapon theory, they allowed it. Funny, isn’t it?

But so many of us **knew** it was a red herring from the start because it was **obvious** ... so David is telling us what we already knew since April, 2020 and now has a new story to tell? Oh, this should be good...

Yes, David now wants us to believe in a **new** red herring that contradicts his *original* red herring – that the biological warfare weapon wasn’t actually “released” as a deadly *pathogen*, it has been **weaponized as a spike-protein vaccine!**



Amazing, isn't it? The **same** people who sold us the **first** red herring (which was an obvious lie), are now finally admitting – a year later – that the original “deadly pathogen release” story was indeed a red herring, and that they were all **wrong**. Thanks for telling us what we already knew a year ago, Matlock – there has **never been** a “deadly pathogen” or a “lab-leaked bioweapon.”

So David is now admitting to the **entire world** that **all of the studies confirming isolation of SARS-COV-2 were a red herring**, but he still hasn't acknowledged that the **original** lies in this story come from the studies that proclaim to have isolated the original SARS-COV virus!

So in no uncertain terms, in [this interview](#), David admits there has **never been a virus or a lab leaked bioweapon**, but he is now asking us to believe in an even more outlandish theory...

The biological weapon wasn't released as a deadly pathogen you see ... it was (in David's words):

“A computer simulated synthetic chimeric computer generated code, uploaded by the Chinese in **January** [2020] – was given to Moderna so that your cells would produce the S1 Spike Protein Synthesis – not the actual virus...this was a **computer code uploaded by the Chinese** into U.S. manufacturing to **inject a pathogen** stimulant into the American population.”

Yes, that's right – as confirmed about 50 minutes into the interview with Fuellmich, David now has quite a new unbelievable whopper to sell to the public – in the form of a **“pathogen stimulating computer sequence!”**



WOW!!!!!!!

This red herring is even **more** terrifying and ridiculous than his **original** red herring!

David says that in November 2019, UNC Chapel Hill, NIAID and Moderna “began the sequencing of a spike protein vaccine, a month before an outbreak ever happened.”

😊 These people really are **bold** with their never-ending propaganda tricks and fear campaigns, aren't they?

David also tells [Stew Peters at 8:20](#) that **he sent this information** to the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of HHS in **April of 2020!**

So, David knew about this computer generated chimeric spike protein biological warfare vaccine weapon since April of 2020 and **didn't warn the public or blow the whistle until now????**

If he knew about this back then, then why didn't he tell Mikki Willis about it when they sat down for an interview? Why didn't he **warn the entire world** about this, so that people could avoid taking the clot shot? Why has he been sitting on this information for over a year? Also - if he knew about this in April 2020, then why was he still selling the red herring about the “lab leaked modified pathogen” last year?

If you skip to 42 minutes into the Stew Peters' interview, David says that a major media outlet had this information since November of 2019:

*“The article that was supposed to be published several weeks ago ... was submitted for review and has still not been published. Now why would you sit on evidence of that? ... it would not be worth our lives if we **sat on information** that allowed for the **genocide** of our fellow human beings...”*

Says the guy who sent this information to HHS in April of 2020, and didn't warn the public about this back then?

So, just to be crystal clear, let's recap – A year ago, they suggested to us via a Propaganda film – that there was a “**modified deadly pathogen**” that may have been “**released**” and they based this conclusion on the fact that “patents were filed by the CDC.”

Now, he is telling us that that story was a red herring all along (in other words, the patents weren't *really* evidence of anything) and what's *actually* happening is biological warfare via a computer code S1 spike protein vaccine created from a virus that doesn't exist, and the evidence for **this is again** – based on nothing but the **patents** that were filed. But if his patent evidence turned out to be BS last year, then why would his patent evidence for his new story be worth anything at all?

Finally, David says he sent this information to HHS which means he has been sitting on it for over a year and hasn't mentioned anything about this until now?

If David's story is true (it's not) - doesn't this mean that he has blood on his hands?

Hopefully we can acknowledge how laughably inconsistent and far-fetched these claims really are, and if you're still thinking he has any credibility left, consider his claims in [this interview](#), where he says that chromosomes are “antennae” and that he “proved it in a lab.” Really? Which lab? When? What were the details of those experiments? Any publications? I wonder if he'll share his lab results with the rest of us? You'd think such a discovery would win the Nobel Prize and make him world famous, no? Did anyone else verify his findings? He then says:

“They are putting an antennae into your body to screw up the transmissions of all of the wisdoms of the cosmos so that you are detached from being human. And if you knew what it really was, it is a wound, helical antennae and it is an antennae that was made so that we stayed in touch with our creation and our creator – that's what it was. And in 1953, we defiled it.”

Really? How did they accomplish that? Even if such a thing were possible or made any sense at all, how did they do it to billions of people across the planet, with 1950s technology? Maybe it was Aliens. Are these coherent statements, grounded in evidence and the scientific method? Or are they the insane ramblings of a mad man? David seems to be a great orator, uses his charisma to win audiences over, and loves to engage in theatrics, but is there really any verifiable evidence for *any* of these claims?

So, David finally admits that there was no virus and no pandemic and says that the story about the virus was a **misdirect**, as was the story about a deadly pathogen lab leak bioweapon. So, let's ask a few reasonable questions.

1) How did Johnny Patent manage to fall for these **obvious** misdirects, when so many of us normal folks saw them as the pathetic, limited hangout red herrings that they clearly were? Isn't this supposed to be one of the most "brilliant minds" out there – doing hard-hitting investigations into this entire ordeal? Is he stupid?

OR

2) Is there something else going on here? Well, you can decide for yourselves. Personally, I don't think he's stupid. I think he knows **exactly** what he's doing, and I think this was all planned years in advance. I think this guy is a controlled opposition spook, working for military and/or intelligence – who wants us to believe in an even more outrageous, more implausible story than his original misdirect, which was a blatant and transparent lie, from the beginning.

3) In either of the two scenarios above, why would anyone on Earth listen to David now, after he has openly admitted that the story of the virus was a **misdirect**?

Why would anyone in their right minds listen to a word this man has to say after he has **also** admitted that the "lab-leak pathogen bioweapon release" conspiracy theory – the one that **he** was promoting last year – was **also a misdirect**?

And why would anyone listen to a single word out of his mouth, when he admits that he **had this information** about a computer generated spike protein vaccine in **April of 2020**, sent it to HHS and didn't warn the public about this "upcoming mass genocide?"

Isn't it *more* logical to conclude that his current story is just a **new** red herring and he's **still lying**? Or are we gullible enough to fall for this Sci-Fi synthetic computer chimeric vaccine bioweapon story?

Isn't it breathtaking the lengths they will go, and the far-fetched fairy-tales they will conjure up, in order to guard the gates? What will they ask us to believe in next? The technology for the computer chimeric spike protein vaccine was given to Neil Armstrong by Aliens when he "walked" on the moon?

Like the 9/11 conspiracy clown show that sold us ridiculous stories (each one more preposterous than the last) about thermite, mini-nukes, directed energy weapons, these comedians now want us to believe in new insane theories – not only "deadly pathogens created in labs" but now an even more wild and unbelievable tale: a **computer simulated synthetic chimeric computer generated code uploaded by the Chinese and put into the Vaccine by Moderna!**

Someone call Donald Trump, it's time to NUKE CHYNAHH!!!!

Just as the multiple layers of farcical 9/11 “truthers” guarded the gates for the media, these jokers are guarding the gates for virology, while they continue to worship at the altar of their false religion of germ theory. They must protect the kingdoms of Virology and Germ Theory **at all costs, while they divide the public with terror stories about vaccines**. David is now attempting to gain the trust of the skeptics by revealing some of the truth (there is no virus or bioweapon pathogen), only to capture them in imagination and Sci-Fi storytelling and turn the entire thing into a clown show.

So let's just break this down logically. [What is a Spike Protein?](#)

Since [no virus](#) (SARS-COV or SARS-COV-2) has ever been [properly isolated](#), then no “spike protein” has been [isolated](#). You first need an [isolated virus](#) in order to [determine](#) if it even has a “spike protein,” and [nobody in the world has one](#).

Even the authors of the papers that allegedly claim isolation of SARS-COV-2 [admit](#) that there was no [true isolation](#).

Since SARS-COV was never properly isolated either, then the suggestion that it could have been *modified* is impossible, because how can one modify a thing that doesn't even exist?

Even David admits this in his interview with Fuellmich when he says (in reference to the delta variant):

*“There is no evidence that the Delta variant is somehow distinct from anything else on GISAID. The fact that we are now looking for a thing doesn't mean that it is a thing because we are looking at **fragments of things** ... and the fact is **if we choose any fragment** ... I could come up with variant OMEGA and I could say that I'm looking for this sub-strand of either DNA or RNA or even a protein and I could run around the world saying 'fear the omega variant' ... because of the nature of the way in which we currently sequence genomes which is actually a compositing process ... we don't have any point of reference to actually know whether or not the thing we are looking at is in fact distinct from either clinical or even genomic sense. And so, we're trapped in a world where unfortunately if you go and look (as I have) at the papers that isolated the Delta variant and actually ask the question – is the Delta variant anything other than the **selection of a sequence** in a systematic shift of an already **disclosed other sequence** – the answer is it's just an **alteration in when you start and stop what you call the reading frame**. There is no novel anything.”*

Yes, this is true, but why stop there? Why not take us ALL the way and discuss how the “disclosed other sequence” was found? Because he simply cannot do such a thing without exposing the entire fraud that is the pseudoscience of virology. There is no “novel anything” because there is no proof of the original thing. David admits there was no isolation of COV-2 or any Delta variant but what he won't do is admit that there was never any isolation of the original SARS-COV either. The entire thing is made up based on “fragments” that could be anything – likely just cellular debris as a result of detoxification.

So let's think about this critically.

Since [no virus or spike protein](#) has ever been [isolated \(with purification\)](#), then nobody can claim that the spike protein “belongs” to the virus or that it “binds” to a “cell receptor.” You would first need a [properly isolated virus](#) in order to determine if it has a spike protein that binds to a cell receptor or not.

There are no isolated *variants* (as David admits) because there are [no isolated viruses](#).

Which also means that there are no **isolated spike proteins** or **known cell receptors** to bind to – which also means that there aren't any known biological mechanisms for transmission and infection of anything, even if that “anything” would be synthetically created in a lab and injected into someone. The simple reason for this is because germs do not cause disease and disease is not contagious.

Take it one step further and you'll see how David's lies betray him. Since there are no isolated viruses or variants, and no isolated spike proteins or known cell receptors for them to bind to, then there can also be no ***intentional bio-weaponization of computer generated chimeric spike proteins to “upload” and put into a vaccine to inject into people.***

These people are selling fairy tales about unicorns and wookies.

In short, David's wild tale is another obvious lie, even more outrageous than his first one – which is why the media has been hyping all of the [fake vaccine injury stories](#) over the last few months and why everyone is in uproar about the vaccines today.

Now that's not a defense of vaccines by any means – **there is no such thing as a safe vaccine**. The entire concept of a vaccine is fraudulent once you understand that there are no viruses. Period.

Vaccines are toxic and they may be injuring and killing some people and/or causing long-term health issues – but there's obviously something fishy about the media's narrative at the same time, because they play both sides. The media is hyping up the vaccine injury narrative and planting false stories everywhere as part of their long con. The long con will introduce the “Safer Vaccine” movement with pro-vaccine agents like RFK Jr., Del Bigtree, and all the rest of the deceivers. Dr. Judy planted this seed in her interview with Mikki Willis when she said that the vaccines will kill millions. Please understand that the vaccine statistics (sold to us by the same folks who gave us the [fraudulent COVID statistics](#)) are most likely **inflated**. It's designed to spread fear, cause mass confusion and division and discredit researchers who understand the real dangers of vaccines.

They needed to manufacture this uproar so that David could come in with this “shocking

bombshell,” which he will undoubtedly turn into a political campaign for patent and/or antitrust reform and/or new vaccine related laws.

Even with this new story, David is *still* guarding the main gates and giving us another fantastic conspiracy theory to chase.

After David dropped this monstrous new bluff about a computer simulated code in the vaccine, he paused dramatically so that the audience could gasp and feign outrage, and then *immediately* followed it up with this:

“Under the Bayh-Dohl Act, the US Government already has a march-in right provision. That means if the US Government has paid for research, they’re entitled to benefit from that research at their demand or at their whim...”

Of course David leaves out the part that’s [inconvenient](#) for the narrative he’s creating, which is that “no federal agency has yet used this mechanism to license patent rights to others during the history of the Bayh-Dole Act.”

David and his Globalist technocrat buddies have been working on patent reform for many years. They desperately want this patent reform so that they can free up patents for “Global Innovation” - patents that will closely be monitored by David’s software of course – this is why the patents are always a central point of David’s investigation.

Problem (Biowarfare computer chimeric spike protein vaccine!)

Reaction (Gasp! Shock! Outrage!)

Solution (Patent reform)

The **Bayh–Dole Act**

*The Bayh-Dole Act was approved by the U.S. Congress to **address the concerns of commercialization of technology developed with federal funding**. One of the objectives of the legislation is to “ensure that the **Government obtains sufficient rights** in federally supported **inventions to meet the needs of the Government and protect the public against nonuse or unreasonable use of inventions**” ([35 U.S. Code § 200](#)). **Under the Bayh-Dole Act, nonprofit organizations (including universities) or small business firms may elect to retain title to any “subject invention” produced with federal financial assistance, such as a federal grant** ([35 U.S.C. § 202\(a\)](#)) - [Source](#)*

In this interview, David again spins a story about the negative effects of the Bayh-Dole Act. It’s convincing, but only if we look at it from one angle – the angle that he’s created – and only if we buy into his version of the story. But remember, every story has **more than** two sides to it. If we think critically about what he’s saying and look for a possible ulterior motive, we can find other reasons why David might be against the Bayh-Dole Act, namely so that he can get control of the patent information.

I’m not going to argue for or against the repeal of the [Bayh-Dole act](#), and I’m not even

sure it's directly related, but David mentions it in the film and in his interview with Fuellmich. There's no smoking gun here, but there's [plenty](#) of [information online](#) to try and make [sense](#) of it [all](#). More research is needed. The details are confusing without diving deep into patent reform and anti-trust laws, which are outside the scope of my research.

All I am doing is pointing out that **efforts** for some type of patent reform have been in the works for a long time and seem to be at the forefront of the narrative they are building.

For example – in 2013, David Martin wrote a [letter](#) to Congressman Bob Goodlatte, included in [this 2013 document](#) as part of a hearing before the House on the [Innovation Act](#). The Innovation Act was a bill that would “change the rules and regulations surrounding **patent** infringement lawsuits in an attempt to reduce patent lawsuits.” You can read about the negative impacts of the Innovation Act (which David supported) [here](#).

In his letter, David wrote:

*"We see **positive commercial benefits** flowing from **the ability to accurately catalogue, trace, record, and analyze the status of liens on these properties**. This function...should become a routine part of intangible asset transactions in the secondary markets."*

In that same letter, David recommended using his company's software for:

*"**Data capture**, dynamic analyte rendering, analysis, and actuarial modeling systems to **enable regulated financial institutions and government agencies to assess asymmetrical economic and intelligence risks**....Leveraging advanced **data-capture** and rendering technologies derived from intent-based communication modeling, high degrees of content uploading and updating capabilities are enabled."*

The software also has the ability to “detect plagiarism,” which means it can probably also be used to *avoid* detection of plagiarism, as well.

As noted, these technocrats are looking to [extend their Asia model globally](#), in their quest to digitize our world.

As Parag Khanna notes in [this article](#):

*Asia has been home to **tech pioneers** for decades. **Leading tech companies** in Japan and South Korea, for example, have some of the highest number of **AI patent filings**, [according to the World Intellectual Property Organization](#). The success of these and other East Asian conglomerates is also a testament to the quality of their talent and ability to **commercialize research**. Asians are placing emphasis on scaling applications in **industrial and home robotics, self-driving cars and smart city projects** such as one large automotive*

manufacturer's planned development in the foothills of Mount Fuji.

In [this article](#) titled: ***“Is Asia the key to the world’s future? Geopolitical strategist Parag Khanna thinks so,”*** we learn that:

“Three of the world’s top 10 patent-filing hubs – Tokyo, Osaka, and Nagoya – are in Japan, ahead of Shenzhen and San Jose. Korea’s bandwidth speeds are so fast that the country is effectively a **cloudfirst nation**. And by **mandating** that download and upload speeds be equally fast (rather than privileging download), **South Korea** has become a nation not just of **cultural consumers but of producers**, with content spread and adapted across Asian language markets.”

[Parag Khanna also writes:](#)

“In **India**, entrepreneurs in the **private sector**, not the government, are taking the lead in **transforming the economy**. India has succeeded in branding itself as the world’s leading destination for business process outsourcing (bpo), and even high-end operations such as ge medical labs and Hewlett-Packard research facilities are contributing to make **India a leader in technology innovation**. Four hundred of the Fortune 500 already have **operations in India**.

Already one of the **world’s largest producers of vaccines**, **India’s biotech sector is set for even greater growth** and has rapidly outpaced both China and South Korea in the filing of biotech patents. The potential in food processing and storage, telecommunications, financial services, and insurance is similarly vast. Microcredit enterprises have become stable business propositions, even in the area of agriculture, sparking hopes for a second, private-sector-led **Green Revolution**.”

Remember that David has done a lot of work in India, as we learned from his bio.

[Here’s another example](#) of David arguing for **patent reform** in Europe. Why Europe?

“As the **global fusion economy continues to evolve**, assumptions underpinning **industrial and intellectual property rights** need to be assessed to test their **adaptability for use today**. In an era of unprecedented capability for **multi-stakeholder competition or cooperation**, EUPACO serves as an ideal forum to review the consequences for past policy and emerge a **resilient model for future development and growth**.”

These globalists are always pushing for more technological and economic growth, aren’t they? And hey, I’m all for economic growth and progress to an extent, but where do we draw the line and shouldn’t we be cautious about to whom we give the keys?

Doesn’t David have a direct conflict of interest in all of this? He’s pushing for the use of **his software** so that he and his employers can have **direct access** to all of the patent information. Remember that David’s software has the capability to **monitor every patent that’s issued, anywhere on Earth**. As I mentioned, **having access to this type of information could give someone (or a group of people) a lot of power**.

If you’re still not convinced about this man’s agenda, please keep in mind that David is

also committed to [“Climate Investing” as we “Transition to a Low-Carbon World.”](#) which should be the final nail in his coffin.

David freely admits to the world that both his grandfather and great grandfather were **Freemasons**. Remember, he lives right down the road from Langley, VA where the **CIA offices** are located, and he went to school at University of **Virginia**. Also recall his direct ties to Singapore via the Arlington Institute, which has had multiple spooks on their Board of Directors. This is a feeble attempt at damage control on his part, since people are starting to call his words, actions, contradictions and global connections into question.



David Martin

1 hr · 🌐

What's in an image? That seems to be a giant mystery for those who wish to challenge the work I'm doing to identify the crimes being perpetrated by colluding racketeers under the guise of public health.

Many of you have asked. A few have decided to take fanciful liberties with interpretation. So here's what's in the Mosaic Collateral Asset Management (M-CAM) logo.

The logo contains two primary elements: the letters and the geometry. For those familiar with numerology, the 3 is important in all the work that Kim and I do as it forms the root of Integral Accounting. The geometry represents the 121 degree angle upon which hydrogen and oxygen

...

orient when forming WATER and the 109.5 degree angle to build the composition of the 6 carbon ring molecule GLUCOSE. All of the atomic angles of the WATER and fruit of the TREE OF LIFE are embraced in the symbol. A close examination of the angles in the orientation of all the elements show the atomic structure of what happens when LIGHT shines on LIFE.

While my grandfather and great grandfather were both Masons, our logo has no similarity to those symbols. My great grandfather had numerous connections to the Masonic influence in Washington DC including having a rather interesting involvement with the construction of the Washington monument. While Masonic symbols do contain important geometric elements, they are not informed by the magnetism that begets and sustains life.

David claims that his logo has “no similarity” with **Masonic** symbols. In other words, don't believe your lying eyes, just trust David.



If a man can be so brazen with his lies about things that we can see, right in front of us, then what kind of lies is he telling us about the things that we *can't* see? Things like viruses or fictional sequences of computer generated chimeric code that can be put into a vaccine? David is asking us to abandon our own rational thought, our senses, observations, intuition, and logical assessment in favor of a creative story that he's

come up with to try to explain away all of the problematic details of his business pursuits and his persona. These are classic signs of narcissistic gaslighting and psychopathy.

This man can make up any type of story he wants and sadly, most of the so-called “truthers” will believe him, because most people in the “truth community” are 2 Dimensional thinkers, playing chess on a 9D chessboard. I pass no judgement when I say this – we will all experience this evolution on our journeys, at some point. The key is to try to move past these layers of deception, as we did with the first layer.

So what will happen next? Well, these things are impossible to predict, but surely there will be a portion of the public that will receive David’s new “evidence” about a synthetic chimeric computer code bioweapon vaccine and become outraged. Perhaps some will take to the streets, [as they’re doing in France](#). Perhaps this segment of the global population will become so angry, they will demand that something must be done about the vaccine weapons and beg for new laws and/or patent reform to prevent this from happening again, playing right into the hands of these globalists.

And then what will happen after that? Well, then we can MANDATE the vaccines and implement the global digital vaccine passports!! What’s the problem? They’re safe now, thanks to our heroes in the fake vaccine resistance movement!

Part of David’s job as a controlled opposition disinformation agent is to turn the entire “resistance” or “skeptics” movement (or whatever you want to call it) into a cartoonish conspiracy clown show so that “normal” folks won’t take us seriously. They will instead equate us with buffoons like him. Normal folks understand that this is conspiracy trash, and because of his foolish antics, they will conflate serious, level-headed researchers like myself, whose [research is grounded in logic, evidence and reality](#) – with absurd nonsense like the theory this guy is pushing now.

This is called Discredit by Association and it is one of the oldest tricks in the book. The way it works is to give the public *some* truth but wrap it up in a bouquet of lies and ridiculous theories, while guarding the main gates. A prime example of this is David Icke. You can find a lot of truth in some of David Icke’s material, but he is immediately and easily discredited because of his insane Reptilian theories. The best way to discredit the truth, is to give it to a clown and let the clown do his job.

Here, David “The World is Run By Insurance Companies” Martin is doing the same thing. Unfortunately, well-intentioned researchers will believe his nonsense because they think that “patents” are real evidence of anything. They will follow false prophets like David because followers need leaders and the gullible, Hollywood lovers enjoy dramatic stories. Be your own leader, and create your own story.

There’s one more thing worth noting. In the trashy propaganda *Plandemic* film, David expresses criticism about the CDC when he says:

“...I don't have to remind many Americans that the CDC was the one that said you should use DDT in your homes.”

But notice how he left out the most important part? The most important part of that story is that it wasn't a virus that caused polio, it was the spraying (and injecting) of DDT (and other pesticides and toxins) that caused polio and other so-called “viral” diseases! The research on this is voluminous, undeniable and irrefutable. See also here, here, here, and here.

The reason he leaves out this part, is because they can't afford to have the public start thinking and researching for themselves or it might blow the lid off the entire scam. If the public starts looking for another cause of any alleged illness, their house of cards will start to crumble. David leaves that part out because if he mentions it, then the viewers might start adding 1+1. He can't reveal that part of the story because it would then raise doubts about the story he's selling (bioweapons and labs and viruses, oh my!). These are the ultimate gates that David is guarding.

So here is the bottom line. Viruses don't cause disease. There is no such thing as a virus. The entire field of Virology is a scam. Germs do not cause disease and disease is not contagious. Disease is caused by toxicity. All stories about viral diseases, biowarfare weapons and global pandemics are cover stories to hide the truth about disease, enrich Big Pharma and protect chemical companies like Monsanto-Bayer. They will gaslight the entire planet and proclaim that healthy people are “asymptomatic carriers”, all in the name of protecting these dark entities.

Armed with this knowledge, one will come to understand that the entire concept of a vaccine is completely irrelevant to human health, other than to destroy it. The religion of Germ Theory is not supported by real scientific evidence. Once we unlock these secrets, they will lead us to more revelations and new questions to ponder about the world around us and within us.


These are the ultimate gates that this man, and many other gatekeepers like him, are guarding. They will inch us towards the gates by revealing some truths, but they'll never let us through. Instead, they will give us incredible rabbits to chase – in order to keep us confused, distracted and researching the wrong things forever, while they profit from our ignorance and take full control of the planet.

Yes, they will dazzle us with wild, fear-based stories about graphene oxide or AI nano-bots in the vaccines, or whatever their favorite flavor-of-the-week conspiracy theory is – and they will have common, gullible, independent researchers scurry like cockroaches, chasing every one of these rabbits for decades. But what they will never do is give us the keys to the gates and allow us to pass through.

Finally, I would like to conclude by saying that this isn't just about David Martin. The

purpose of this document is to understand the larger and more important concept of controlled opposition. David just happens to be an easy target for the lesson. This far-reaching and elaborate deception is multiple layers deep. The disinformation mechanisms and the agents that carry them out are profuse and ubiquitous. My hope in sharing this example of one of them is that we can all learn to be more discerning and skeptical, learn how to research for ourselves and develop sharper cognitive skills. With those tools we may learn to be more judicious and start asking more questions, especially of those whom we *think* are on our side and profess to be experts, fighting for us.

Most of these so-called experts are not fighting for us. They are deceiving us, defiling us and destroying us. They are cabalistic puppets whom we credit erroneously with insight and wisdom. We must rise above these deeper layers of deception and reclaim our intuition, which they have tried so hard to oppress. These are not authentic leaders of any resistance. The only expertise these people possess are in the realms of deception, propaganda, psychological warfare and narcissistic abuse. One need look no further than his own social media to see this bizarre, psychopathic behavior displayed in full glory.



Is it normal human behavior to not only refer to yourself as an “Ambassador for Humanity” but to also broadcast yourself as such, to the entire world? Are these signs of genuine humility, sincere compassion for others and the world around us? Or are they

signs of unabashed self-importance, bravado, excessive need for admiration and extreme narcissistic personality disorder? The real Ambassadors of Humanity are your neighbors and the ones doing work quietly in your community. They are not globalists looking to profit off their philanthropy or amass a “following” to feed their own egos.

It's time to take our power back and start trusting in and relying on each other, instead of a bunch of conspiracy charlatans and [false prophets](#) that we've never even met. Once we learn to lift the multi-layered veil of illusion that they've constructed around us, we can unite in our new awareness and rid ourselves of this dark power structure that looms over us. We shall do this by shining our collective light into the dark corners of the box they've created – not the false light that they promote, but the true light that shines within each of us.

[Telegram](#)

[Patent Lies](#)

7/26/21

[Kevin McKernan debunks David Martin's](#)